

stituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Sezione di Bologna

# NAIA/AMS-02 Italy Meeting: Status of Nuclei Analysis B, C, N & O

Alejandro Reina Conde, INFN Sezione di Bologna Alberto Oliva, INFN Sezione di Bologna

Friday 21<sup>th</sup> of April, 2023

### **Some useful information**

#### • NAIA v1.0.0 ntuples

- First 10 years of AMS-02 pass8 data, from Bartels 2426 to Bartels 2560.
- MonteCarlo pass8 B1236 for boron, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen.
- RTI v7/v8, Valerio is re-processing it (maybe done already?)

**Carbon 8.5 years flux : folded acceptance first iteration, no background subtraction** 



# **Inner-L1 selection**

#### **Orbital Cuts**

A good second Livetime > 0.05 Zenith Angle < 40 Alignment (IMD-PG<sub>IL1</sub>I<35 um, IMD-PG<sub>IL9</sub>I<45 um) Not in SAA  $R_{Inner-L1}$ >1.2x $R_{ctf}$ 

Not using the new RTI cuts propossed by Qi Yan

#### **Selection cuts**

Physics trigger  $\beta$  with  $\ge 3$  hits  $\beta > 0.3$ Inner Tracker Y hits  $\ge 5$ L2Y&(L3YIL4Y)&(L5YIL6Y)&(L7YIL8Y) Normalize Inner  $\chi^2_Y < 10$ L1XY hit on track with good status Normalized Inner-L1  $\chi^2_Y < 10$ Charge cuts on L1, UTOF, and Inner Tracker:  $Q_{L1}-Z > -0.16(Z-3)-0.46$ 

- -0.6< Q<sub>UTOF</sub>-Z <1.5
- IQ<sub>Inner</sub>–ZI < 0.45

Additional L1 charge cut (purity cut):

•  $Q_{L1}-Z < 0.65+0.03(Z-5)$ 

# **Top-Of-Instrument (TOI) correction**





The estimation has been done using Boron, Carbon, Nitrogen, Oxygen and Neon MonteCarlo.

carbon64\_20200420V2N\_B1215401RAMCKY10COMBUNF0LDT0I\_totalQYAN.root
oxygen64\_20200420V2N\_B1220402RAMCKY10COMBUNF0LD\_totalQYAN.root

### **Purity not implemented still**

#### This is purity for 10 years pass7 CIEMAT ntuples

The purity is above 99% for the three nuclei in the entire rigidity range.





#### From AMS Nitrogen paper: this residual background is < 5% over the entire rigidity range

### **Forward Unfolding Factor**



Has been estimated using the Matrix from the MonteCarlo.

The response model used for pass7 is not being use here, since a revision of it is needed:

- New reconstruction
- New fitting algorithm

#### No pass8 public results from Qy still

### **FUM Fluxes vs MIT**



### FUM fluxes vs Perugia



### Pass7 8.5 years comparison 06/April/2021



11

### **Bartels with <27 days of data taken**

<b>BR number</b>	N° of days		<b>BR number</b>	N° of days				
2426	22		2531	12		2549	0	Empty
2459	24		2532	21		2550	0	Empty
2471	19		2533	6		2551	0	Empty
2472	0	TCCS	2535	2	Decision	2552	15	
2473	3	TCCS	2536	19		2553	22	
2504	24		2537	7		2554	21	
2523	16		2538	19		2555	25	
2524	8		2540	12		2558	25	
2526	3	Decision	2541	0	Empty	2559	24	
2527	24		2542	0	Empty	2560	21	
2529	26		2543	7				
2530	11		2548	17				

### **Tracker data/<data> correction**

- Commissioning, 3 first BR
- Thu Dec 1 16:35:34 UTC 2011 dead 6 X-side ladder 3 L2X, 1 L3X, 1L4X, 1L5X
- Thu May 8 15:04:08 UTC 2014 dead 1 L7Y
- Tue Feb 28 17:10:43 UTC 2017 dead 1 L8Y
- Tue Sep 24 06:27:23 UTC 2019 1 L8Y "revived" after power cut
- Check ELOG for the last 1.5 years (It seems there is no huge jumps)





### **PickUp-L1 data/<data> correction**

- Commissioning, 3 first BR
- Thu Dec 1 16:35:34 UTC 2011 dead 6 X-side ladder 3 L2X, 1 L3X, 1L4X, 1L5X
- Mon Oct 29 09:38:41 UTC 2012 dead 1 VA L1X
- Mon Dec 3 22:02:01 UTC 2018 suddenly low signal on 1 L1X (-810)
- Mon Apr 29 15:21:19 UTC 2019 came back 1 L1X (-810)
- 12/12/2020 we had power cut with had an AMS shutdown sue to failing power on 4B \*\*\*not clear
- 17/02/2020 we had some major reconfiguration, maybe with some power cycling \*\*\*not clear





### **Unbiased hit L1 data/<data> correction**

- **Commissioning**, **3 first BR**
- Thu Dec 1 16:35:34 UTC 2011 dead 6 X-side ladder 3 L2X, 1 L3X, 1L4X, 1L5X
- Mon Oct 29 09:38:41 UTC 2012 dead 1 VA L1X
- Mon Dec 3 22:02:01 UTC 2018 suddenly low signal on 1 L1X (-810) .
- Mon Apr 29 15:21:19 UTC 2019 came back 1 L1X (-810)
- Check Yi Jia dates 12/12/2020 we had power cut with had an AMS shutdown sue to failing power on 4B \*\*\*not clear
- 17/02/2020 we had some major reconfiguration, maybe with some power cycling \*\*\*not clear







### **Pass7 Unbiased hit L1 data/<data> correction**

- Commissioning, 3 first BR
- Thu Dec 1 16:35:34 UTC 2011 dead 6 X-side ladder 3 L2X, 1 L3X, 1L4X, 1L5X
- Mon Oct 29 09:38:41 UTC 2012 dead 1 VA L1X
- Mon Dec 3 22:02:01 UTC 2018 suddenly low signal on 1 L1X (-810)
- Mon Apr 29 15:21:19 UTC 2019 came back 1 L1X (-810)
- 12/12/2020 we had power cut with had an AMS shutdown sue to failing power on 4B \*\*\*not clear
- 17/02/2020 we had some major reconfiguration, maybe with some power cycling \*\*\*not clear



### **Background Subtraction vs Time**

#### **MISSING:** Purity vs time



#### **Applied:** TOI vs time



The rate is from the specific Bartels rotation

### **Forward Unfolding vs Time**

The flux model for each BR is given by the best-fit flux model obtained from the average flux FUM multiplied by a parametrization of the  $\Phi^{BR}/\Phi^{AVG}$  ratio by a spline allowed to fluctuate only at low rigidities.

This effectively allows to study the solar modulation effects on the unfolding.



#### Chisquare of model vs time



### Bartels inside high solar activity period and vice-versa.

Higher solar activity means lower flux, which means higher unfolding factor value, e.g, less correction.

### **Fluxes vs Time**



# Conclusion

- Pass 8 NAIA B, C, N & O fluxes has been presented. The agreement with MIT and PG is good, but some work is still to be done: Purity, Oxygen disagreement, etc.
- Proposal (if Federico agrees) would be to present at least 10 years of this 4 nuclei in the June general meeting, so we have at least that for the conferences.
- We need to have the standard things we had in the past, C/O, B/C+O ratios, the plots with Voyager, etc, at some point. And probably the new favorite plot: The Hysteresis.
- We need to think about this plots and some interpretations, since those questions will arrive at the moment we present these results to Prof. Ting.

# **Back Up Slides**

# **Beta Efficiency Selection**

#### Denominator

- Standard selection for Inner-L1 with no UTOF and LTOF related cuts.
- Track in fiducial L1+Inner volume.
- Tighter cuts on standalone charges (no beta correction).

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- $\beta$  calculated with  $\geq$  3 hits
- $\beta > 0.3$

UTOF and LTOF charge cuts are done separately

### **Beta Data/MC Correction**



# **Beta Charge Efficiency Selection**

#### Denominator

- Standard selection for Inner-L1, without cuts on UTOF and LTOF charges.
- R<sub>sec</sub> <= 0.5 GV (no high-R positive second track)

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- UTOF standard charge cut

# **Beta Charge Data/MC Correction**



# **Tracker Efficiency Selection**

#### Denominator

- Physics trigger
- $\beta$  calculated with  $\geq$  3 hits
- $\beta > 0.3$
- $\beta > \beta(1.2xR_{ctf})$
- An unbiased XY hit on L1 with position inside L1 fiducial volume
- TOF in inner Tracker fiducial volume with margin of 4.5 cm
- Unbiased hit on L1 < 9 cm from TOF extrapolation to L1</li>
- Tighter cuts on UTOF, LTOF and UnbiasedL1 charges.

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- Inner Tracker Y hits  $\geq 5$
- L2Y&(L3YIL4Y)&(L5YIL6Y)&(L7YIL8Y)
- Track in fiducial Inner-L1 volume
- Norm.  $\chi^2_Y$  Inner < 10
- $Q_{Inner} > Z 2.5$

Inner Tracker Charge cut is done separately

# **Tracker Data/MC Correction**



# **Tracker Charge Efficiency Selection**

#### Denominator

- Standard selection without QInner cut
- Tighter cuts on UTOF, LTOF and UnbiasedL1 charges.
- $Q_{Inner} Z > 2.5$

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- Q<sub>Inner</sub> standard charge cut

### Inner Tracker Charge Data/MC Correction



# L1 Unbiased Hit Efficiency Selection

#### Denominator

- Standard selection excluding L1 related cuts
- Tighter cuts on Q<sub>UTOF</sub>, Q<sub>Inner</sub> charges.

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- Q<sub>UL1</sub> standard charge cut

# L1 Unbiased Hit Data/MC Correction



# L1 Hit Association to Track Efficiency Selection

#### Denominator

- Standard selection excluding L1 related cuts
- $-0.16(Z-3)-0.46 < Q_{UL1}-Z < 0.65+0.03(Z-5)$
- Tighter cuts on UTOF, InnerTrack charges.
- R<sub>sec</sub> < 0.5 GV (no high-R second track)

#### Numerator

- Denominator
- L1XY hit on track with good status
- Normalized L1-Inner  $\chi^2_{\rm Y}$  < 10
- Normalized L1  $\chi^2_{\rm Y}$  < 10
- Standard L1 charge cuts

MIT people are studying this efficiency. Maybe it would be better to apply a different cut instead of the normalized  $\chi^2_{\perp}$ 

# L1 Hit Association to Track Data/MC Correction

From pass7 to pass8 reconstruction, the position matching window for **Pass7 – Old Code - CIEMAT Ntuples** external layer hits have been increased by a factor 5. 0.94 **Pass8 - New Code - NAIA Ntuples** 0.92 0.9 0.9 Efficiency 0.88 Efficiency 0.86 0.84 0.85 0.82 Data Data MC 0.8 MC ı**.**∿4 χ<sup>2</sup>/n.d.f.=0.788 1.05 1.04 1.02 Data/MC 1.03 Data/MC 1.02 0.98 1.0 K05:  $\chi^2$ /NDF=1.534 0.96 0.99 10<sup>2</sup> 10 10<sup>2</sup> R[GV] 10 **Rigidity** [GV]

# **Raw True Acceptance**

R[GV]

Raw True Acceptance

**Pass7 – Old Code - CIEMAT Ntuples** 



# **Raw Folded Acceptance**

Weighting the MonteCarlo with a combination of MIT 10 years fluxes and Galprop, we can obtain a folded acceptance.



### **Boron 10 years vs MIT**

MIT is pass7 data, no pass8 results are public from Qi Yan



### **Carbon 10 years vs MIT**

MIT is pass7 data, no pass8 results are public from Qi Yan



### **Oxygen 10 years vs MIT**

MIT is pass7 data, no pass8 results are public from Qi Yan



Maybe add here a plot of the old comparison Since we had this problem before

### **Forward vs Folded Acceptance methods**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Folded acceptance method is just the first iteration Forward unfolding for Oxygen need some extra tunning

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Pass8 updates from Qi Yan presentation

Not only that, the pass8 reconstruction (V6) is using more advanced track finding algorithm than pass7. Here lists a number of other updates:

a) Better tracker Cluster (Hits) reconstruction:

fix missing Clusters (Hits) issue existing in all previous pass: <=pass7

- b) More powerful inner track candidate builder together with more robust quality estimator
- c) More efficient noisy Hit filter
- d) New missing Hit refinding algorithm
- e) Higher external hit (tracker L1 or L9) picking-up efficiency
- f) Including all latest track fitting algorithms: Choutko (with bugs fixed), Kalman, GBL, ... and all alignments: V5 (Inner/PG, external layers/PG+CIEMAT), V6 (Inner, external layers)
- g) New algorithm of tagging primary track (particle)

# **Qinner charge distribution**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Loose cut on Charge is needed.

Vertical tracks producing gamma rays most probably.

It is a tracker inefficiency, not a tracker charge one, that is why we put it in the tracker numerator.

# **Trigger Data/MC Correction**

Standard selection with trigger as a last cut.

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)