A gravitational-wave perspective on neutron-star seismology

Nils Andersson









Weak interaction, affects reaction rates - cooling and internal viscosity

The main idea of **asteroseismology** is to match observed stellar oscillations against theory to gain insight into the involved physics.

- solar oscillations observed in 1960s and identified as modes in the mid 1970s (5 minute range)
- helioseismology: GONG network and SOHO satellite in the 1990s (note: Rossby waves in the Earth's ocean)
- space-based photometry with CoRoT and Kepler in the 2000s (high-quality seismology data for hundreds of main-sequence and subgiant stars and 10,000s of red giants)
- NASAs TESS mission and ESAs PLATO mission take this further (characterise host stars in exoplanet systems)

Want to use seismology strategy to probe neutron stars using gravitational-wave data.



From the GW perspective we need global modes which involve significant density variations.

- $\omega_{\alpha}/(2\pi) \sim \sqrt{GM/R^3} \sim 1 2 \,\mathrm{kHz}.$
- *p*-modes: Restored by the pressure of the fluid (speed of sound); higher frequencies
- frequencies, $\omega_{\alpha}/(2\pi) \sim 100 \,\mathrm{Hz}$.
- emission; $\omega_{\alpha} \sim \Omega$.
- during binary inspiral and trigger short gamma-ray bursts; $\omega_{\alpha}/(2\pi) \sim 100 \, \mathrm{Hz}$.

• **f-mode**: Fundamental oscillation of the star; scales with the average density,

• g-modes: Restored by buoyancy associated with temperature/composition gradients; lower

• inertial modes (including the *r*-mode): Restored by rotation; may be driven unstable by GW

• *i*-modes: Oscillation feature associated with the core-crust interface; may induce crust fractures





binary inspiral

f(Hz)

[adapted from Read]





GW signal from binary neutron stars differs from that of black holes due to the tidal deformability.

Effect of static tide enters at 5PN order through the induced quadrupole moment.

Characterised by the **Love numbers**

$$\Lambda_A = \frac{2}{3} k_{2A} \left(\frac{c^2 R_A}{G M_A} \right)^5$$

tidal deformability







dynamical tides

The **dynamical tide** is represented by resonances with individual oscillation modes.

Need global modes which involve significant density variations. Overlap integral

$$I_{\alpha} \equiv \int_{0}^{R} \delta \rho_{\alpha}(r) r^{l+2} dr$$

leads to the effective Love number:

$$k_{lm} = \frac{2\pi G}{(2l+1)R^{2l+1}} \sum_{\alpha'} \frac{I_{\alpha}^2}{\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}^2 [\omega_{\alpha}^2 - (m\Omega)]} \frac{I_{\alpha}^2}{(\omega_{\alpha}^2 - (m\Omega))} \frac{$$

Results in significant enhancement of tidal imprint near merger.











In the static limit $(\Omega \rightarrow 0)$ we get

$$k_{l} = \frac{2\pi G}{(2l+1)R^{2l+1}} \sum_{\alpha'} \frac{I_{\alpha}^{2}}{\mathscr{A}_{\alpha}^{2} \omega_{\alpha}^{2}}$$

If the fundamental mode dominates the sum, we expect a universal relation between mode frequency and tidal deformability. Numerical evidence that this relation is very robust.

universal f-Love relation





The g-modes carry information about the internal matter composition.

Sensitive to deviation from chemical equilibrium, e.g. the (local) Brunt-Väisälä frequency





beyond mass and radius





Merger dynamics should be within reach of next-generation detectors.

Requires robust nonlinear simulations with a reliable physics implementation Assuming a 3-parameter model

 $p = p(n, \varepsilon, Y_e = n_e/n_b)$ and stepping up the complexity, we may

- assume that reactions are fast enough that the matter remains in equilibrium, or
- slow enough that the composition is frozen, or
- add whatever other physics we may be interested in...

mergers







another "universal relation"

Numerical simulations suggest a more "surprising" universal relation, linking the tidal deformability (=cold EoS) to the peak frequency from the merger dynamics (=hot EoS).

The origin of this relation is not well understood.

Also do not (yet) know how "robust" it is...



I have outlined:

• the main idea behind asteroseismology and why it is relevant for GW astronomy (now and in the future)

I have not talked about:

- the technical state of the art (Newtonian vs relativity/ phenomenology vs precision)
- nonlinear tides (p-g instability?)
- other scenarios, e.g. core collapse supernovae or the gravitational-wave driven instability (f-mode/r-mode) in (isolated) spinning neutron stars
- starquakes/glitches/GW searches
- neutron star ocean modes/crust seismology/X-rays

Sir Isaac Newton 1643-1727 Albert Einstein 1879 - 1955

