## Searching for continuous gravitational waves: the remainder of the zoo

#### **Cristiano Palomba – INFN Roma**







Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Pisa, October 24-27 2023

GraSP23 || GravityShapePisa 2023

#### ``Standard'' sources

Spinning neutron stars, isolated or in a binary system
 Talks by Paola Leaci (Tuesday) and Pia Astone (Thursday)
 Poster by Lorenzo Mirasola

This talk is about ``less standard" sources
Long-transient signals (e.g. newborn magnetars)
Imprints of ultra-light DM
Sub-solar mass PBH inspirals

- Emission mechanism
- Data analysis aspects
- Results and perspectives

## **Gravitational Waves in a nutshell**

 Gravitational Waves (GWs) are solutions of the linearised Einstein field equations in vacuum:



$$h_{ij}^{TT} = \frac{2G}{3c^4 r} \ddot{Q}_{ij}^{TT}, \quad Q_{ij}^{TT} = \int \rho \left( x^i x^j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{ij} r^2 \right) d^3 x$$
$$L_{GW} = -\frac{dE_{GW}}{dt} = \frac{G}{5c^5} \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial^3 Q_{ij}^{TT}}{\partial t^3} \right)^2 \right\rangle$$

• Produced by the bulk motion of matter. Examples:

Coalescing compact binaries (black holes, neutron stars)



Supernova explosions



Transient signals (duration O(0.001-100) s)

Continuous Waves/Long-transients





Persistent or long-transient signals O(hours-days)

### **Basic features of CWs**

Narrow-band, nearly periodic signals, with duration such the effect of detector motion is not negligible



More complicated if the source is in a binary system (depends on up to 5 Keplerian parameters)

#### Amplitude (and phase) modulation

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Intrinsic source secular variation of the rotational frequency: spin-down (-up)

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

Very specific features that help in discriminating a real signal from noise

#### Expected amplitude much lower than for CBC signals

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

- We can exploit signal long duration to build-up SNR
- Need to develop DA pipelines to deeply dig into the detector noise. Computational efficiency is often a major issue.
- Once detected, a CW is forever! (not true in the case of long transient signals)

### Long-transient signals

Nearly periodic signals, with duration of hours – days

Typically, |spin-down/up| >> w.r.t. standard CWs (say, >> 10<sup>-8</sup> Hz/s)

Reference source: rapidly spinning newborn magnetar

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

Dynamo in fast spinning PNS: driven by differential rotation (→ toroidal magnetic field) + plasma or magneto-rotational instability
 [e.g. Dall'Osso, Stella 2103.10878 for a review]

- Millisecond magnetars can form in a significant fraction of both core collapse supernovae and in NS mergers (10-40%)
- Magnetars (+ accretion disk) can also power a fraction of observed GRBs, both short and long

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Magnetar model fit to observed GRB light curves [Dall'Osso, Stratta et al., ApJL 949 L32 (2023)] A newborn magnetar can be a strong GW emitter

- The strong inner magnetic field distorts the star shape
- Combination of GW and EM spin-down

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

Development of proper search methods is a very active field

Strong need to improve the sensitivity!

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

Distance reach of pipelines applied to the search of a post-merger signal from GW170817

![](_page_8_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### The example of the "Generalized Frequency-Hough" transform

A) Time-frequency "peak-maps" (built from "short" FFTs)
B) Variable transformation to make the signal "straight"
C) Apply classical Frequency-Hough transform to find significant peaks in a 2D histogram

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

10

• Sensitivity of the method limited by the short data segment duration ( $\propto T_{FFT}^{0.25}$ )

• Computing cost is a steep function of the segment duration ( $\propto T_{FFT}^4$ )

Several efforts carried in parallel to improve search sensitivity.

O Image processing: "triangular filter" in 2D-Fourier domain [L. Pierini arxiv 2209.07276]

Simulated signal in the 2D FT space space

Simulated signal in the time-frequency space

800

750

700

k [pixels] 920

600

550

500

200

400

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

Sapienza University of Rome (2022) Signal power confined in a limited region

Build a filter to keep the signal and rid-off the noise

11

- ML techniques [e.g. A. Miller, P. Astone,...CP et al, PRD100, 062005 (2019); L. Modaffari et al., PRD108, 023005 (2023)]
  - To speed-up analysis (still not clear if they provide better sensitivity)
  - To reduce impact of noise (and then improve detection efficiency): denoisers

Raw and denoised spectrogram (O3 H data + simulated signal) F. Attadio's Master Thesis – Sapienza University of Rome

12

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Multi-messenger approach to reduce the search parameter space
  - E.g. shock breakouts from core collapse SN
  - Early UV emission can pinpoint to the presence of a magnetar central engine
  - Light curve depends on magnetar parameters

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

S. Menon, D. Guetta, S. Dall'Osso, ApJ 955, 6 (2023): Maximum redshift for detection by planned ULTRASAT satellite (arxiv 2304.14482)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

All these efforts aim at reaching an horizon of at least 4-8 Mpc by LVK run O5

## Gravitational Wave signatures of DM

#### DM candidates cover ~90 orders of magnitude in mass

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

### GW detectors offer an ``opportunity window" for free

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

 In recent years, a growing body of literature on the potentiality of Gravitational Wave (GW) detectors as tools to probe DM has been produced (see e.g. Bertone+, arxiv:1907.10610)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

CW emission from boson clouds around Kerr BHs

(10<sup>-14</sup> – 10<sup>-11</sup> eV)

Picture credit: Ana Sousa Carvalho Sub-solar mass BH inspirals (M<0.01M<sub>sun</sub>)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Sub-solar mass BH binaries

#### Formation mechanisms (very qualitative!)

Inhomogeneities from the Big-Bang

Cloud of dissipative dark matter particles

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

micro-seconds after the Big-Bang

Primordial black holes

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **Current constraints on PBH abundance** (with caveats!)

![](_page_15_Figure_9.jpeg)

For masses < 0.01 M<sub>sun</sub> and just considering the early inspiral, PNO waveforms are sufficiently accurate

Moreover, limiting the search at the early inspiral significantly reduces the computing cost in front of a small sensitivity loss

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8 -1 -1.2

-1.4

-1.6

-1.8

80

Signal duration (for  $f_{max}$ =120 Hz), with  $T_{obs}$ =1 yr

-3

-3.2

-4.4

-4.6

20

30

40

50

frequency [Hz]

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

E. Velcani's Master Thesis – Sapienza University of Rome (2022)

10<sup>2</sup>

120 Hz

17

Frequency [Hz]

Long-transient/CW signal, depending on parameters

60

70

### Methods for the search of long-transient signals from newborn NSs can be adapted to light PBH inspirals

\* E.g. GFH algorithm [A. Miller, S. Clesse et al., 2012.12983]

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### GFH histogram

800

600

400

200

number count

# Sensitivity limited by short allowed FFT length

- Methods to boost the sensitivity are being explored:
  - "smart" construction of the parameter space grid [Rome, IFAE Barcelona groups]
  - resampling [joint Rome/ANU project]

Simulated PBH inspiral in O3 L data

o define a new time variable in which the signal is nearly monochromatic

→ Poster by Neil Lu on display on Thursday

### Ultra-light boson clouds

Massive bosonic fields around a Kerr BH induce a superradiance instability, in which the field is amplified, at the expense of the BH rotational energy

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Once formed, the cloud dissipates through the emission of CWs (emission time scale >> instability time scale)

[Arvanitaki et al., PRD81, 123530 (2010); Yoshino & Kodama, Prog. Rep. Theor. Phys. 043E02 (2014); Arvanitaki et al., PRD91, 084011 (2015); Brito et al., PRD96, 064050 (2017); East, PRL121, 131104 (2018); Baryakhtar et al., PRD103, 095019 (2021);

For vector bosons, stronger signals and shorter duration

Various DA methods have been developed and applied to search for CW-like signals from boson clouds (both for all-sky and directed searches)

 $\alpha = \frac{GM_{\rm BH}}{c^3} \frac{m_b}{\hbar}$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Result examples (scalar clouds)

Exclusion regions from all-sky O3 analysis (D=1kpc,  $\chi_i$ =0.5)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### All-sky searches (scalar bosons)

[D'Antonio, CP et al., PRD98, 103017 (2018); CP, DAntonio, Astone et al., PRL123, 171101 (2019); LVK, PRD105, 102001 (2022)]

Directed, post-merger BHs (vector bosons) [Jones, Sun et al., PRD108, 064001 (2023)]

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Directed searches (scalar bosons)

#### [Sun et al., PRD101, 063020 (2020);

Zhu, Baryakhtar et al., PRD102, 063020 (2020); LVK, PRD106, 042003 (2022);

D'Antonio, CP, Astone et al., accepted in PRD (2023)]

- $\circ$  10<sup>7</sup> 10<sup>8</sup> BHs are expected to exist in the Milky Way
- Signal superposition in all-sky searches, if most
   BHs are sorrounded by a boson cloud

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Robustness of current search method has been demonstrated in [Pierini, Astone, CP et al. PRD106, 042009 (2022)]
- Possible sensitivity improvement by tuning FFT duration in semi-coherent searches [R. Felicetti, Master Thesis, Sapienza University of Rome 2022]

### DM direct interactions

 Ultra-light DM can directly interact with interferometer optical components producing a potentially detectable signal

•It is not a GW signal, but nevertheless the interaction can cause a differential strain

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

•The mass scale to which detectors are sensitive is set by the particle field frequency:  $f_0 = \frac{m_A c^2}{h} \rightarrow 10^{-14} - 10^{-11} eV$  for Earth-bound detectors

 Dark Photon (DP) was originally introduced as an hypothetical vector boson that couples to SM charged particles through kinetic mixing [Holdom 1986]

Pierce et al. 2018, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 061102 Guo et al. 2019 Nature Communications Physics 2 Nagano et al. 2019, PRL 123, 111301 Morisaki et al. 2021, PRD 103, L051702 Michimura et al. 2021, PRD 102, 102001 Vermeulen et al. 2021, arXiv:2103.03783  DP coupling to the protons/neutrons of the detector mirrors induces a differential strain with two components:

Differential strain due to the spatial gradient of the DP field

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{\langle h_D^2 \rangle} &= C \frac{q}{M} \frac{\hbar e}{c^4 \sqrt{\epsilon_0}} \sqrt{2\rho_{\rm DM}} v_0 \frac{\epsilon}{f_0}, \\ &\simeq 6.56 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-23}}\right) \left(\frac{100 \text{ Hz}}{f_0}\right) \end{split}$$

Pierce+ 2018

Equivalent differential strain due to finite speed of light in detector arms

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{\langle h_C^2\rangle} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{\langle h_D^2\rangle} \frac{2\pi f_0 L}{v_0}, \\ &\simeq 6.58 \times 10^{-26} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-23}} \right. \end{split}$$
 Morisaki+ 2021

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

Stochastic and narrow-band signal

$$\Delta f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{v_0}{c} \right)^2 f_0 \approx 2.94 \times 10^{-7} f_0$$

Frequency spread due to the Maxwell-Boltzman velocity distribution of DPs

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

[Miller,...CP et al., PRD 103, 103002 (2021)]

It can be searched into the detector data with techniques adapted from those used in the search of "traditional" CW and/or stochastic signals

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

 Improvement of two orders of magnitude w.r.t. direct search experiments, assuming  $U(1)_{B}$ 

740,440

740.445

740.450

See also very interesting results on scalar DM coupled to GEO600 beamsplitter [Vermeulen+, Nature 2021]

## Conclusions

Search methods developed for ``standard" CW signals (from spinning NSs) can be used/adapted to search for ``less standard" sources

GW detectors can be also used as ``particle detectors", basically for free

A detection from exotic CW sources could well be possible right NOW

In the worst case, we are paving the way for enhanced DA methods to be used with 3<sup>rd</sup> generation detectors, like Einstein Telescope and Cosmic Explorer

## **BACKUP SLIDES**

### The fate of NSNS coalescence

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Multiple signals can be resolved!

Credit: L. Pierini

t - t<sub>o</sub> [days]

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Pierini et al. (2022) PRD 106(4), 042009]

## Future observations

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

lide 13

[Brito et al. (2017) PRL 119, 131101]

#### Indirect search looking at gaps in the BH mass-spin plane (Brito+ 2018, see also Ng+ 2021)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Impact of boson clouds on binary dynamics (and viceversa)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Credit: O. Hannuksela

Yang+ 2018 Hannuksela+ 2018 Baumann+ 2019 Choudhary+ 2021 De Luca, Pani 2021

....

• Dark Photon (DP) was originally introduced as an hypothetical vector boson that couples to SM charged particles through kinetic mixing [Holdom 1986]

Associated to a new U(1) gauge field

$$\mathscr{L} = -\frac{1}{4}A_{\mu\nu}^{'}A^{'\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_{\rm A}^{2}A^{'\mu}A_{\mu}^{'} - \epsilon_{\rm A}eJ_{\rm EM}^{\mu}A_{\mu}^{'}$$

It couples to baryon or neutron number

 $A'_{\mu\nu}$ : DP field strength tensor

 $A'_{\mu}$ : DP field  $m_{A}$ : DP mass  $\epsilon_{A}$ : DP coupling strength

• DP is a DM candidate, with relics abundance produced by e.g. the misalignment mechanism [Nelson & Scholz, PRD 84, 103501 (2011)]

The DP field can be described as a superposition of plane waves

$$\vec{A}(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i} A_i \cos(2\pi f_i t - \vec{k}_i \cdot \vec{x} + \phi_i)$$

 $\Delta f = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{v_0}{c}\right)^2 f_0 \approx 2.94 \times 10^{-7} f_0$  Frequency spread due to the Maxwell-Boltzman velocity distribution of DPs

## The peculiarity of KAGRA

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

• End and Input mirrors are made by **sapphire**.

- Beam-splitter and recycling mirrors are made by **fused-silica**.
- The force on the optics is composition-dependent!
- The effect can be observed by the auxiliary channels, which monitor the intra-optics distances.
- Will provide meaningful results in the next observing runs.

[Michimura *et al*. (2020) **PRD 102**, 102001]

Scalar DM coupling to GW detector beam-splitter → change in size and refraction index Vermeulen et al, Nature 600, 424 (2021)

# GEO600 best suited thanks to its sensitivity to optical phase differences (squeezing)

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)