

Dark matter searches with the KM3NeT telescope

Clara Gatius Oliver, on behalf of the KM3NeT Collaboration Nikhef - Amsterdam





RICAP Conference, September 2024

What can neutrinos teach us about dark matter?

• Cosmological observations set few constraints on the nature of dark matter



- ✓ Correct cosmological properties
- ✓ Arise naturally in many particle physics theories
- \checkmark Many and diverse set of implications for observable phenomena

What can neutrinos teach us about dark matter?

• Cosmological observations set few constraints on the nature of dark matter



• Neutrino excess from regions with high dark matter density

- ✓ Correct cosmological properties
- ✓ Arise naturally in many particle physics theories
- \checkmark Many and diverse set of implications for observable phenomena

What can we measure with the KM3NeT?

• Different annihilation channels (100% branching ratio)



• WIMP dark matter candidates with masses:

 $M_{DM} \in [1 \text{ GeV}, 100 \text{ TeV}]$

- Lower limit given by detector capabilities
- Upper limit by cosmological constraints

- Regions with high dark matter density:
 - Galactic Centre (dark matter halo)
 - Sun (capture of local halo dark matter)

KM3NeT telescope

- Water Cerenkov detector
- Sensitive to GeV-PeV neutrino energies
- KM3NeT/ARCA 28 detection units (full detector 230)
 KM3NeT/ORCA 23 detection units (full detector 115)

- AL SHOT

Detection unit

- Digital Optical Module

KM3NeT telescope

Different type of neutrino interactions \rightarrow different event topologies

- AL MURT

Analysis method: event selection

• Optical background (⁴⁰K decays and bioluminescence) \rightarrow removed by looking at coincidences between optical modules.

Selection:

- Atmospheric muons \rightarrow cut events coming from above the horizon
- Atmospheric neutrinos \rightarrow irreducible background.
- Quality cuts on reconstruction variables+ Boosted Decision Tree

Good data – Monte Carlo agreement thanks to improvement in calibration, reconstruction and simulations.

Analysis method: source modelling

• Neutrino flux from WIMP annihilations

Galactic Centre
$$\frac{d\Phi_{\alpha}^{c}}{dE \, dt} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2m_{\chi}^{2}} \frac{dN_{\alpha}^{c}}{dE} \int_{\Delta\Omega} \int_{l.o.s.} \rho^{2}(\theta, l) dl \, d\Omega$$

- Dark matter density: NFW profile
- Spectra oscillated through vacuum.
- Line-like feature $u \overline{
 u}$ annihilation channel.
- Simulated with Charon [1].

$$\frac{d\phi_{\alpha}^{c}}{dE \ dt} = \frac{C_{r}}{8\pi d^{2}} \frac{dN_{\alpha}^{c}}{dE}$$

C_r = capture rate

Equilibrium between capture and annihilation processes. Flux depends on WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section (spin dependent or independent).
Simulated with WimpSim [2].

Analysis method: detector response

Acceptance of the dark matter neutrino signal given the detector response.

Detector response characterised by:

- Effective area
- Angular error
- Energy error

Which improve with a growing detector.

9

Analysis method: Log likelihood approach

- Binned and un-binned log likelihood method
- Dark matter signal events \rightarrow as a function of the reconstructed energy and direction
- Background expectation \rightarrow as a function of the reconstructed energy and direction
 - Uniform in right ascension
 - Declination dependent
 - Derived from MC data or scrambled data if possible
- Log likelihood minimised
- Creation pseudo-experiments varying the signal strength → signal strength limit at 90% C.L. obtained from the test-statistic distribution.
 - 90% C.L. translated into:
 - Thermally averaged annihilation cross-section limit/sensitivity (Galactic Centre searches)
 - Spin dependent cross-section or neutrino flux limit/sensitivity (Sun searches)

Results: Galactic Centre

Annihilation cross section sensitivity for the full KM3NeT/ARCA detector (1yr)

- Best sensitivities for the $\nu \bar{\nu}$ annihilation channel.
- Dependence on dark matter mass given by:
- Larger neutrino flux for smaller $m_{\text{DM.}}$
- Better reconstruction of higher energy events.
- Better signal-background discrimination at higher energies.

Results: Galactic Centre

Annihilation cross section sensitivity/limits for the **full and partial KM3NeT/ARCA detector**, $\tau^+\tau^-$ **channel**. Comparison to other experiments [3,4,5,6,7,8,9].

Annihilation cross section sensitivity for a partial KM3NeT/ORCA detector, $\tau^+\tau^-$ channel.

Comparison to KM3NeT/ARCA partial detector and ANTARES [9].

Results: Sun

Spin dependent cross-section upper limit for dark matter in the Sun, with a partial KM3NeT/ORCA detector.

Compared to other experiments [11,12,13,14].

Conclusions

- First limits on WIMP dark matter properties with KM3NeT, in the Sun and Galactic Centre.
- Very wide range of the mass parameter space explored by KM3NeT/ORCA and KM3NeT/ARCA.
- Sensitivities improve further with growing detectors and livetime.
- KM3NeT quickly reaching ANTARES limits.

• Promising sensitivities from dark matter in the Galactic Centre with the full KM3NeT/ARCA detector.

• Ongoing efforts to improve the sensitivity at lower masses with novel reconstruction methods for single-line events.

Thanks for your attention!

Flux sensitivities for dark matter in the Sun with full ANTARES dataset, extending the mass range to lower masses with Neural Network singleline reconstruction. Previous ANTARES result [10].

ANTARES work in progress

References

[1] Charon, https://github.com/charon/charon/

- [2] WimpSim, <u>http://wimpsim.astroparticle.se/code.html</u>
- [3] H.E.S.S. Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129.11 (2022): 111101.

[4] A. McDaniel, M. Ajello, C.M. Karwin, M. Di Mauro, A. Drlica-Wagner and M.A. Sanchez-Conde, Physical Review D 109 (2024): 063024.

[5] MAGIC Collaboration Phys. Dark Universe 35 (2022): 100912.

[6] VERITAS Collaboration, Physical Review D 95 (2017): 082001.

[7] HAWC Collaboration, The Astrophysical Journal 945 (2023): 25.

[8] IceCube Collaboration, Physical Review D 108 (2023): 102004.

[9] ANTARES Collaboration, S.R. Gozzini, PoS(ICRC2023) 1375

[10] ANTARES Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 759 (2016): 69.

[11] IceCube Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 (2017): 146 [erratum: Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019): 214].

[12] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015): 141301.

[13] PICO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016): 052014.