Diagnostics for a Plasma Wakefield Accelerator

WP13 EuPRAXIA electron and photon diagnostics workshop

Mark J. Hogan / Senior Staff Scientist / FACET and Test Facilities Division Director

June 12, 2023







Why am I here giving this talk?



Rasmus and I have been discussing these topics for twenty years!

FACET-II: a National User Facility with a Portfolio of PWFA Experiments

Beam-driven plasma wakefield acceleration with electrons (now) and positrons (future)

Demonstrated @ FACET

Gradient:

>100GeV/m (*Nature Communications 2016*)
 Energy Gain & Energy Spread:

• 9GeV with 2% (*PPCF 2015*) Efficiency:

• 30% instantaneous (*Nature 2014*) Normalized Emittance:

• 100 mm-mrad

- Proposed @ FACET-II Gradient: • >10GeV/m
- Energy Gain & Energy Spread: • 10GeV with < 1%

Efficiency:

- 30% overall
 Normalized Emittance:
 - < 10 mm-mrad

FACET-II experiments are focused on 10GeV stage with high-energy extraction, high-efficiency and preserving beam emittance ~10µm level



Demonstrated @ FACET

Normalized Emittance:

- 1.5 <u>mm-mrad</u> Bunch Charge & Duration:
- 20pC & 100fs
 Energy & Energy Spread:
 - 0.5 GeV with 2%

Proposed @ FACET-II

Normalized Emittance:

- 0.01 mm-mrad
 Bunch Charge & Duration:
- 20pC & 20fs
 Energy & Energy Spread:
 - > 1 GeV with 1%

Development of high-brightness plasma-based injectors

Beam Brightness scales with plasma density. Short FACET-II bunches are predicted to enable collider level emittance beams

Approach of this presentation

- I will give an overview of diagnostics that I have found to be useful for PWFA experiments over the years
- I will correlate types of diagnostics with the goal or problem being addressed but I will not delve into specific design details such as resolution – these depend on detailed requirements of specific experiments (beam energy, peak current, emittance...)
- I will cite examples from my own work and collaborations as well as that of other groups and give references where available

Beamlines of Three Active PWFA Facilities Have Many Similarities



FLASHForward @ DESY



SPARC_LAB @ INFN



Common ingredients:

- Final focus and **Imaging spectrometer magnets**
- Collimation systems
- Plasma targets and lasers
- BPMs and Toroids
- Bunch length monitors: TCAVs, EOS, Pyro
- Profile monitors: OTRs, YAG, LANEX, Cherenkov

Emittance Preservation Requires Understanding Optics at per-mille Level





Source: Lindstrøm et al., PRAB 23, 052802 (2020).

The waist beta function is ~10 x 10 mm.

- > mm-level movements required 0.1%-level changes in quad currents.
- > Online beta-function monitor (Hz updates) using two BPMs.
 - > ~mm precision in placing the beta function.
- Online tool was crucial in placing the focus precisely (no time for quad scans for every 0.1% change in final-focus quads.)
- > We never used in-waist screens (CTR makes it impossible to use).



Online beta-function GUI (measured live with 2-BPM technique)

SLAC

In use at ATF2, FACET-II and FLASHForward – slide courtesy of Carl Lindstrøm

Imaging Spectrometer After the Plasma Performs Many Functions



- Measure energy spectrum after the plasma (point to point imaging R12 = R34 = 0)
- Typically combined with dual imaging systems:
 - Lower-resolution with higher acceptance (wakefield amplitude, affect on drive beam, quantifying efficiency...)
 - Higher-resolution with limited energy acceptance (precision measurements of witness bunch energy and energy spread)
- Through dispersion can access drive and witness beams independently
- May be scanned to stitch together spectrum, change imaging planes, perform quad scans
- Screens can be calibrated for charge measurement

SLAC

https://www.nature.com/articles/nature05538

Spectrometer Diagnostics Overview



Energy Spectrum Reveals Details of the PWFA Interaction



Non-invasive Energy Spectrometer at FACET/FACET-II

- With strongly compressed beams energy-spectrum correlates with compression
- Enables shot-to-shot comparison of before and after plasma for systems with drift and/or jitter
- Non-invasive single shot





Emittance Measurement with Dispersive Quad Scan in Spectrometer

« Dispersive » quad scan

Scan M₁₂, keep M₃₄=0. $\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle_{11}$

$$\langle x^2 \rangle_{\rm im} = M_{11}^2 \langle x^2 \rangle_{\rm ob} + 2M_{11}M_{12} \langle xx' \rangle_{\rm ob} + M_{12}^2 \langle x'^2 \rangle_{\rm ob}$$





Advantages:

- No assumption on Twiss/emittance vs energy
- Measure energy-slice emittance
- Can resolve lower emittance than butterfly (M12 range vs energy spread used to fit butterfly)
- Can measure no plasma case and beams with very low energy spread **Disadvantages**:
- Multishot

SLAC

• Only for non-dispersed plane



FACET-II data courtesy Doug Storey

Butterfly Emittance Measurement

- First developed at LWFA Facilities and successfully implemented at FACET and FACET-II
- Energies further from the focus → Increasing contribution from divergence → Wider on image
- Divergence angle and position of the butterfly determines the emittance, exit beta function and the exit plane



FACET data courtesy Sebastien Corde



Advantages:

• Single shot

Disadvantages:

- Assume α , β and ε independent of γ
- This is wrong when there is a mismatch, which is expected to happen when emittance growth occurs
- Only for non-dispersed plane

Emittance Measurement by Scanning Spectrometer Object Plane

- The imaged beam size is shown for a range of object planes around the plasma cell, measured with the plasma cell extracted (orange points) and inserted into the beam path (blue points)
- Fits of the virtual-waist evolution (orange and blue lines) demonstrate that the normalized emittance, ɛn, was preserved to within the fit error
- The measurement was performed by scanning the object plane of a point-to-point imaging spectrometer, first with the plasma cell extracted (b, 210 shots) and subsequently inserted (c, 420 shots)



Fig. 2 Preservation of projected, normalized ensittence a, The strength etna sizes is showitted a (2022) f object planes around the plasma cell, measured with the plasma cell extracted (orange points) and inserted into the beam path (blue points). The screen resolution (green dotted line) is negligible. Fits of the virtual-waist

Transverse Deflecting Cavities



TCAVs Are Powerful and Versatile Diagnostic Tools



https://journals.aps.org/prab/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.18.030704





In the end, the plasma tells you if the bunch was straight or not (final straightening is in-situ).

PolariX TDS: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-82687-2

Pau Gonzalez (PhD thesis, Uni. Hamburg, 2022 SLAC

Litos Group at CU Boulder is Developing a New Generation of Diagnostics for Transverse Wakefield Studies at FACET-II

- Single crystals provide standard measurement of longitudinal spacing
- Difference signal provides horizontal offset
- First generation will measure one transverse ction
- Calibrated with stage translation







Simulations predict longitudinal bunch-to-bunch separation resolution of ~10 fs and relative transverse offset resolution of ~1 μ m for realistic FACET-II PWFA beams.

Use single shot information to correlate emittance growth vs witness beam offset

SLAC

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.165210 and https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1852444



Next steps are to transition from qualitative to quantitative diagnostic



Relative Bunch Length Monitors



Great for feedbacks and quick correlations when you just want a scalar value

Extreme Beams Can Be Challenging







Traditional diagnostics become consumables

- New Wire Card
- Laser heater is installed and awaiting commissioning to mitigate microbunching
- Differential pumping system removed vacuum windows from experimental area

FACET-II has unique challenges related to high intensity beams that require new approaches



ML/AI Based Virtual Emittance Diagnostic Under Development



Steady progress on O'Shea ECA for non-destructive emittance measurement along the FACET-II linac



Injector TCAV Commissioning with ML-based Bunch Length Prediction

- TCAV used to measure current profile and characterize shot-toshot current/bunch length variations in the injector
- Bunch length variations correlated with injector RF, magnet, laser parameters.
- ML model used to predict changes in the bunch length from non-destructive inputs
- Non-destructive LPS diagnostic to be used for tuning/data analysis in upcoming runs



ML based diagnostic successfully predicts bunch length at the injector exit. Extension to 2D LPS to follow.

E327 - Virtual diagnostic for longitudinal phase space prediction and optimization



ML based LPS diagnostic feasibility demonstrated at FACET-II. Upcoming work focused on robustness + multiple locations/beam configurations

Summary

- Decades of PWFA experiments have employed a wide variety of conventional and novel diagnostics to address various physics challenges
- Recent experiments focussed on beam quality and emittance preservation at SPARC_LAB, FLASHForward and FACET-II provide a good template for EuPRAXIA to draw from:
 - A well designed spectrometer to characterize energy, energy spread and emittance
 - High resolution BPMs upstream and downstream of the plasma for precise waist location and matching to strong focussing at the entrance of the plasma up-ramp
 - A transverse deflecting structure and appropriate downstream screens to measure the current profile and ensure the beams are straight entering the plasma
- ML/AI tools are showing promise and may provide virtual diagnostics for long term stability
- Thank you to Riccardo Pompili, Carl Lindstrøm and my FACET-II colleagues for their helpful comments and contributions