# Study of different geometry for Mu2e Calorimeter



Antonio De Maria 09/26/2012

# Mu2e goal

$$R_{\mu e} = \frac{\mu^- + Al \rightarrow e^- + Al}{\mu^- + Al \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + Mg}$$

with a single-event-sensitivity (s.e.s.) estimated to be  $2.3 \times 10^{-17}$  for two years of data taking. Assuming R<sub>µe</sub>  $\approx 10^{-15}$ , Mu2e might observe 50 signal events with a background of < 0.5 events.

#### Detector Solenoid



The detector has three main components: the stopping target, the tracker and the calorimeter.

#### Calorimeter's features

• Radiation length :

$$X_0(g/cm^2) \approx \frac{716 \cdot A}{Z(Z+1)\ln(287/\sqrt{Z})}g/cm^2$$

• Longitudinal shower development:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = E_0 b \frac{(bt)^{a-1} e^{-bt}}{\Gamma(a)}$$

• Transverse shower development:

$$R_M(g/cm^2) \approx 21 MeV \frac{X_0}{\varepsilon(MeV)}$$

# Impact angle distribution



Theta (degrees)

# Baseline Geometry's Problem

- LYSO crystal's half size = 1.5 cm.
- Transverse distance covered from entry point to shower max is :

showerMax  $\cdot \sin(45^\circ) \approx 3.6 \cdot cm \cdot \sin(45^\circ) \approx 2.5 \cdot cm$ 

Most of the energy deposited by conversion electron is contained in a cell near the first cell hit.



# Simulation's features

- 10000 conversion electrons generated for every run.
- Events with maximum energy deposit in the calorimeter's edges crystals were not considered.

# Clustering Algorithm

f(x-1,y -1)	f(x-1,y )	f(x-1,y +1)	
f(x,y -1)	f(x,y)	f(x,y+1)	
f(x+1,y -1) ↑	<i>f(x+1,y)</i>	f(x+1,y+ 1)	

w(-1,-1)	w(-1,0)	w(-1,1)
w(0,-1)	w(0,0)	w(0,1)
w(1,-1)	w(1,0)	w(1,1)

convolution matrix

Elementary LYSO cell

#### Clustering Algorithm

$$S_{3\cdot 3} = \sum_{s=-1}^{1} \sum_{t=-1}^{1} w(s,t) f(x+s,y+t)$$

where w(s,t)=1 are the coefficients of the convolution matrix; f(x+s,y+t) are the values of energy contained in the group of cells under examination; f(x,y) is the energy contained in the central cell.

### Number of cells hit



# Energy deposit in 3x3 matrix

#### energy deposit in 3x3 matrix



#### Maximum energy cell = first hit cell

• Baseline geometry:

$$\frac{evt_{E\_max=E\_fhit}}{tot\_evt} = \frac{2331}{5414} = 43\%$$

• Rotated vane geometry:

$$\frac{evt_{E\_max=E\_fhit}}{tot\_evt} = \frac{2535}{4042} = 63\%$$

# Conclusions and next steps

- In this two months I have learned Mu2e software, writing some code files used to obtain data for event analysis.
- Next steps are:
  - Study of calorimeter's acceptance with the rotated vane geometry.
  - Implementation and development of position reconstruction algorithms.
  - Study of calorimeter's energy resolution.

# Charged-Lepton Flavour-Violation (CLFV)

 $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \gamma$  with current limit 2.4×10<sup>-12</sup>, established by MEG experiment

CLFV processes for which the theoretical predictions are verified with the next generation of experiments

 $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+$  with current limit  $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$ 

 $\mu^- N_{A,Z} \rightarrow e^- N_{A,Z}$  with a branching that depends on the material

## The Mu2e apparatus



The beamline of Mu2e has two main components: the production target (PT) and the Transport Solenoid (TS). The detector has three main components: the stopping target, the tracker and the calorimeter.



The calorimeter is used to select the signal events and to confirm the position and energy measurements provided by the tracker

# Backgrounds

Categories	Source	Events	Rate	
	μ Decay in Orbit	0.225	≈ 55%	
Intrinsic	Radiative µ Capture	< 0.002		
	Radiative π Capture	0.072		
Late arriving	Beam Electrons	0.036	$\approx 40\%$	
	μ Decay in Flight	< 0.063		
	$\pi$ Decay in Flight	< 0.001		
	Cosmic Ray	0.016		
Miscellaneous	Pattern recognition		$\approx 5\%$	
	Errors	< 0.002		
Total		pprox 0.42		