#### Lost Muon Study on Muon G-2

Ludovico Mark Capparelli Fermilab Summer Internship 24th September, 2014 Supervisor: Dr. Adam Lyon





L. M. Capparelli

 Magnetic moment of any elementary particle is related to its intrinsic spin by the "g-factor"

$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{e}{2m_{\mu}c}\vec{S}$$

- Spin ½ point particles are predicted by the Dirac equation to have g=2
- But quantum loop corrections produce an anomaly.
- g is slightly different from 2

• In this experiment, a polarized beam of positive muons will orbit inside a magnetic ring with a "magic" momentum of 3.09 GeV

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = e\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega_c = \frac{eB}{\gamma mc}$$
$$(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B} = 0)$$

 Magnetic and electric fields cause the precession of the spin of the muon

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \vec{\mu} \times \vec{B} \implies \omega_s = \frac{geB}{2mc} + (1 - \gamma) \frac{eB}{\gamma mc}$$
Larmor precession
$$LM \text{ Canarallia}$$

• We measure the frequency

$$\omega_a \equiv \omega_s - \omega_c = a_\mu \frac{eB}{mc}$$

 Parity violations in the weak muon decay μ->ν+e cause the positron to be emitted preferentially in the direction opposite to the muon's spin



L. M. Capparelli

- Positrons will not remain on the stable orbit. They will fall on to a smaller radius and hit one of 24 calorimeters.
- Energy deposited in each calorimeter due to positrons is therefore correlated to muon spin





#### Systematic Errors

- Precision measurement plagued by systematic error
- $\omega_a$  affected by
  - Calorimeter gain
  - Pileup
  - CBO
  - Lost Muons ← The bulk of my studies



- If Muons are lost from the beam, the average polarization could change.
- Muons could exit "magic" orbit and hit calorimeters producing false signals
- My focus was to study these events
- Previous experiment studied these events from the data. We wish to understand them via MC Simulation

## Lost Muons - A few objectives

- Questions to answer:
  - What are the characteristics of events with lost muons?
  - How can we find these events in our data?
  - How do the muons exit the magic orbit?

- The first working hypothesis is that a muon exiting the "magic" orbit can hit more than one calorimeter. In fact, it is a MIP.
- On the other hand a positron will likely deposit all its energy.
- The rectangular calorimeters are divided into crystals.
- If a muon hits more than one calorimeter we expect correlations between which crystals where hit.
- Such correlations could allow us to infer when a hit is due to a muon

The crystals is our calorimeter



Crystal in our calorimeter(Ring is on the left)

L. M. Capparelli

- At first we are not interested on how exactly muons are lost from the beam.
- Most probable cause is muons whose momentum or initial position deviates enough from "magic" orbit so that it will hit passive ring material and lose energy.
- To make the losses "democratic" we fill our simulated ring with Xenon. Muons will lose energy via this interaction.

- This way we can find a generic distribution of muon hits on the crystals(Xtals) in a calo
- Most hits are ringside, as expected



Xtal hits on calorimeters

- Most lost muons will hit three Calorimeters.
- We analyze the distribution of the first of the three calorimeter hits.
- The first hit in the sequence is "usually" ring-side

Xtal hits on first (of three) calorimeters



24.09.14

- The hits on the second calorimeter are in the center(as we move to the right we move to a lower orbit)
- This is expected: a muon loses energy by interacting with the first calo

Xtal hits on second (of three) calorimeters



24.09.14

- The third hits are more on the innerside.
- This graph would probably need more simulation time to generate more statistics...



- We also analyze the difference between the xtal hit in two consecutive calos.
- As shown, after hitting a calo, muons move to a lower radius but stay, on average, at the same height (vertical axis)

Difference of Xtal hit on first and second calo (2nd - 1st)



- The important thing to study is the conditional probabilities that if a crystal is hit, it is part of a sequence of calorimeter hits by a muon.
- These probabilities would depend on the dynamics of the muon and the interaction with the calos.
- In a complex real event, these probabilities can allow us to determine if there are hits due to lost muons

 This graph shows the probability that, given an xtal hit, it is the first of a sequence of three hit calos



Probability that a hit on Xtal will be followed by a second hit

The second hit of a series again, happens most likely in the center of the calo...

Probability that a hit on Xtal is the second of a series(of 3)



24.09.14

• And the third is likely to come on the innermost side.



Third conditional hit

## Lost Muons – Energy Loss

#### Energy deposit of muon in Crystal

- Being a MIP, muons will likely lose less energy in a xtal than the electron. We found the distribution of energy loss of a muon in a crystal.
- The energy loss is centered around 130 MeV



## Lost Muons – Conclusions

- I Performed preliminary studies toward lost muon systematic uncertainty evaluation.
- I Determined lost muons topology using simulated events
- My work represent a first attempt in building the logic to discriminate lost muons vs real electron signal