



LAPPD Project

Test Beam Facility MC6-7 Simulation

Livio Verra Italians Summer Student, Final Presentation Supervisor: Mandy Rominsky September 27th, 2017



Summary

- Mid Term recap:
 - LAPPD project;
 - MC6 & MC7 simulation;
- Progress:
 - Theoretical prevision;
 - Simulation tricks;
- Results;
- Conclusions.



Large Area Picosend PhotoDetector:

Goal of the project is manufacturing <10 ps time resolution photodetector.

Key elements:

- Glass body, minimal feedthroughs;
- MCPs made using atomic layer deposition;
- Transmission line anode;
- Fast and economical front-end electronics;
- Large area, flat panel photocathode.







Criteria for sub-psec timing:

- Fast source: a psec source of many photons (e.g. Cherenkov light from a charged particle traversing radiator or the entrance window of a photodetector);
- psec-level pixel-size (10-20 μm pores in MCP);
- High gain: the gain has to be high enough that a single photon triggers, i.e: the first photon "in" determines the leading edge of the pulse and consequently the timing.





My work:

- Time of Flight LAPPD will be tested at Fermilab Test Beam Facility so as to prove high time resolution:
- Having a precise knowledge of beam's shape, energy, composition through experimental setup is necessary in order to position detectors in the most convenient location.



MC6 & MC7 simulations



Where does FTBF receive beam from?

- Main Injector provides 120 GeV/c protons in 4.2 secondspills, with approximately 60 seconds of repetition rate.
- Spills are made up of batches: 7 batches covered 11,2 µs (size of Main Injector); every batch is composed by 84 RF buckets (size of booster).
- Only the first batch over 7 has particles.





⁷ Batches = 1 MI Cycle = 11.2 microSec



Test Beam Facility

 The beam is transferred till M02 enclosure: it's then split in two beams so as to deliver particles to both MTest and Mcenter.



🚰 Fermilab

MC6 Structure

- 120 GeV/c protons hit the copper target: then they are guided (with interaction products) along the beam line.
- MC6 provides a low-intensity beam, of a chosen mean momentum, parallel to the floor.



🌫 Fermilab

MC6 simulation

- Target cage;
- Collimators;
- *Q3* quadrupoles;
- Dipoles;
- *Q4* quadrupoles;
- Trims

Simulation program: G4beamline (Geant4 implementation)



- Mean Momentum of particles is selected by:
 - Momentum Collimator: it physically stops above 90 GeV/c momentum particles (most of the beam);
 - Dipoles: they are all fed by the same power supply, hence they provide the same field, set for selecting mean momentum.



• Selecting momentum implies selecting species:



🛟 Fermilab

Note: electron and gamma tracks are killed for reducing simulation running time

MC7 structure

- MC7 has calibrated instrumentation (counters, Cherenkov detectors, etc.) and can be customized for fitting experimental requirements;
- In this case, MC7 is made up of some detectors, a copper target (approximately 6 m downstream the last MC6 quad) and a collimator;
- LAPPD setup will be straight after the collimator, in the beam direction, so as to take advantage of the shielding effect.



MC7 simulation



Theoretical previsions:

- Considering particles momentum and distance, it's possible to calculate the system capability of solving different species;
- Couples of interest are:
 - π+ / μ+;
 - π+ / K+;
 - π- / e-;
- Calculations have been executed considering particles travelling in vacuum along a straight path.

π + / μ + resolution plot:



15 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

π+ / K+ resolution plot:



16 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

π-/e- resolution plot:



17 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

Simulation tricks:

- 2-million-event simulation requires 12 hours running;
- Simulating one spill (~ 500 millions particles) would take 3000 hours;
- For having statistically significant results, avoiding too long running time:
 - Consider spatial and momentum distribution of every species reaching the end of MC6 in 2-million-event simulation;
 - Proportionally calculate the number of events coming to MC7;
 - Run simulations equivalent to 500-million-event one.







19 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

Results:

- Analysis code takes as input two selected Time-of-Flight VirtualDetectors and considers particles hitting both of them;
- It calculates β value using Time of Flight and position of the hit;
- It calculates error $\Delta\beta$ propagating errors on measurements:
 - 1 ps of time resolution;
 - $-7 \mu m$ on transversal positions;
 - 8 mm on longitudinal position (thickness of the Cherenkov glass).



8 GeV/c setup results:



21 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

16 GeV/c setup results:





64 GeV/c setup results:



🛟 Fermilab

23 9/27/2017 Livio Verra I Italians Summer Student Final presentation

Conclusions:

- MCenter provides a large momentum range and the possibility of having a low intensity beam;
- Choosing a low momentum setup, it's possible to have a quite "clean" muon beam;
- Simulation results are confirmed by real historic data: it's precise and reliable;
- The farer detectors are, the more precise measurements are, and the more "clean" the beam is.



Conclusions:

- LAPPD Time-of-Flight setup will consist of three detectors;
- Since the beam composition and properties, and MC7 structure, the best placement will be 7.5 m of distance between detectors;
- Hence, the following configurations will be available:
 - 7.5 m, using detectors 1 & 2;
 - 15 m, using detectors 1 & 3;
 - 7.5 m, using detectors 2 & 3.



Conclusion: What I gave Fermilab:

- Database of MC6 & MC7 outlines;
- Simulation of beam lines.



Conclusion: What Fermilab gave me:

- New knowledge about particle accelerators and transfer systems;
- Taste of working in a real scientific environment;
- PATIENCE.



Many thanks for your attention

