



# Studies of the CMS Electromagnetic Calorimeter performance in the electron test beam

Roberta Arcidiacono Universita' degli Studi di Torino & INFN on behalf of the CMS-ECAL Group

CALOR 2008 - Pavia - 26,30 May







- CMS ECAL in short
- Test Beam data taking & setup (Barrel)
- Test Beam 2006 goals
- **Performance studies:** noise, position and energy resolution, detector response linearity, inter-calibration
- Conclusions



**CALOR 2008** 



-> this method subtracts the pedestal P on event-to-event



# Test Beam Data Taking



- 2004 Test-beam: 1 Super Module with e-, detailed system test
- 2006 Test-beam(s)
  - 9 SM calibrated/studied in H4 with electron beam
  - Combined test with HCAL in H2 (1 SM) with pion/electron beam
  - Cosmic test bed for inter-calibration (all 36 SMs)





# Test Beam Scope in 2006



H4 beam line: electrons 15-250 GeV

Inter-calibration (9 SM) Shower containment Noise Position Resolution Irradiation (6 xtals) **Response Linearity Energy Resolution** Gain Ratio Synchronous Running **Xtals Laser Monitoring** 70 days of data taking

~ 2 billions electrons collected

H2 beam line: e+- 1-100 GeV, Pions

Combined Calorimeters Test ECAL performance @ low energies Collect  $\pi^{0}$  data sample



**CALOR 2008** 









Ad hoc system to measure bending magnets current (B6 and B7, which select the beam momentum) with < 10-3 precision. Precision achieved on Beam Energy ~2 ‰

**C8** 

4 planes of scintillating fibers Hodoscopes
(1mm Ø fibers). Position resolution = 150 µm .
~ CMS pointing geometry reproduced.



#### **CALOR 2008**







Studied applying amplitude reconstruction to Pedestal events (random triggers)

- Low frequency (pick-up) noise taken away by pre-sample measurements.
- Small high frequency noise observed with negligible effect on amplitude reconstruction
- NB: test of 2 SM in 3.8T solenoid magnetic field (MTCC) demonstrated the same performance



## no coherent noise

### Histogramming the fitted noise $\sigma$ for many channels:





## **Position Resolution**



 $X_{ECAL} = \sum w_i x_i / \sum w_i$  sum on 3x3 or 5x5 matrix

 $w_i = w_o + \log(E_i/E_{TOT})$   $w_o = 5$ 

- 25 xtals studied
- reproducibility of results

demonstrated on different SM





**CALOR 2008** 



# **Energy Resolution**





3x3 matrix for 25 Xtals of SM16 - Optimized weight

Energy summed in a

- Impact point restricted to 4 × 4 mm<sup>2</sup>
- 30000 events in each crystal
- Inter-calibration (measured using beam data @ 120 GeV) accuracy convoluted into resolution



#### **CALOR 2008**





# Shower Containment



Х

X

X

Х

Х

Х

Series of runs at 120 GeV centred on many points within matrix 3x3 Results averaged to simulate the effect of random impact positions

Impact point correction is based on energy deposits in the crystals matrix (should be usable for photons!) Correct by a function of log ratios of energies in  $\Sigma$  3x3

- universal in  $\eta$  (and  $\phi$ )
- energy independent





- no systematics studied
- gain switch occurs @ 150 GeV

Differential Linearity in [20-180] GeV < 0.2 %

180

-0.008

-0.01

20

40

60

80

### R. Arcidiacono

120

140

160

Beam [GeV]

100







## Linearity investigated with e- 2-9 GeV e+ 9-100 GeV















- Electron Test Beam studies demonstrate that CMS ECAL will meet its ambitious design goals
- Intrinsic ECAL resolution has been measured in large arrays of crystals, at a range of energies

$$\left(\frac{\sigma}{E}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{3.37\%}{\sqrt{E}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{0.107}{E}\right)^2 + \left(0.25\%\right)^2$$

- Linearity in the range [2-180] better than 0.5%
- ECAL is pre-calibrated, installed, commissioned and ready to be calibrated 'in situ'