Beam Breakup and High Order Modes Instabilities Studies for Energy Recovery Linear Accelerators

Sanae SAMSAM | PhD School: FISICA DEGLI ACCELERATORI





Supervisor: Luca Serafini

Last year Exam

Outline







Motivation:

- Electron beams with large average current (mA) and high repetition rate
- Linacs with energy recovery \rightarrow ERL
- Interaction of electron beams with accelerator cavities (HOMs instabilities...)



BriXSinO Project

Brilliant source of X-rays based on Sustainable and innOvative accelerators







BriXSinO





Brilliant source of X-rays based on Sustainable and innOvative accelerators

Un acceleratore di elettroni innovativo verso la frontiera dell'alta intensità sostenibile, per i futuri acceleratori di particelle di larga scala, e per applicazioni avanzate con raggi X mono-cromatici e radiazione THz coerente

LASA aerial view and rendering of the building



- 5 mA of average cuurent.
- 100 MHz repetition rate.
- CW operation.
- Large recovery of the beam power > 90%

Buncher

Solenoid

Buncher

Photo Cathode

RF Cavities

Light sources installed in the arc :

36 m

- X-rays based on Compton Scattering
- FEL Oscillator for THz radiation

The ERL Cryomodule

Parameter	Value
Type Of Accelerating Structure	SW
Accelerating Mode	TM ₀₁₀ π- mode
Fundamental Frequency [MHz]	1300
Design Gradient [MV/m]	16.5
Intrinsic Quality Factor Q ₀	2X10 ¹⁰
Loaded Quality Factor Q _{EXT}	3.25X10 ⁷
Active Length [m]	0.81
Cell to cell coupling [%]	2.2
R/Q [Ohm]	774
Geometric Factor G [Ohm]	271
E _{peak} /E _{acc}	2.1
B _{peak} /E _{acc} [mT/MV/m]	4.2

Two main working modes: As ERL for light source at electrons energy ~ 50 MeV And double acceleration ~ 100 MeV



Why SRF

R_s

Surface resistance

 nΩ (SRF) versus mΩ (NC) → power losses in cavity are few 10 Watts versus MW! for same operating field and duty cycle (SRF readily allows CW)



Quality factor

• Q_0 values are $10^9 < Q_0 < 10^{11}$ (SRF) depending on temperature (and operating field) versus $Q_0 \approx 10^4$ level (NC)

R Shunt Impedance

• $R = T\Omega$ -level (SRF) vs. M Ω -level (NC)

HOMs will exhibit high shunt impedances in SRF cavities too.

Example:

For a cavity with HOM at f = 3 GHz, $Q_1 = 10^5 \rightarrow$ HOM will oscillate for $\tau = 5.3 \text{ } \mu \text{s}$ \rightarrow 1.6 km of length.

=> For CW operation, so many subsequent particles will experience the field that the first bunch left behind.



High Order Mode Evolution based on Energy badget

In-game parameters:



Theory and Modulation of HOMs

HOMEN's set of equations

Based on the concept of Energy Budget

The Stored Energy variation:

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_{L,n}} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}} + \frac{q_i^2 k_{loss,n}}{\tau_{cav}} \qquad / \square$$

Mode Oscillation amplitude based on SVEA (Slowly Varying Envelope Approximation) :

$$\frac{dA_n}{dt} = \frac{A_n}{2U_n} \frac{dU_n}{dt} / \mathbf{2}$$

Energy gain of the bunch:

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dt} = \frac{e}{m_0 c^2 \tau_{cav}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{RF}} V_{acc_{n,i}} / \textbf{3}$$

 $\frac{\text{n: mode number}}{\text{i: bunch number}}$ $q_i: \text{Bunch charge}$

$$\tau_{cav} = \frac{L_{cav}}{\beta(t_0)c}$$
$$\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}}$$

Theory and Modulation of HOMs

HOMEN's set of equations

Based on the concept of Energy Budget

The Stored Energy variation:

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_{L,n}} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}} + \frac{q_i^2 k_{loss,n}}{\tau_{cav}}$$

Mode Oscillation amplitude based on SVEA:

$$\frac{dA_n}{dt} = \frac{A_n}{2U_n} \frac{dU_n}{dt}$$

Energy gain of the bunch:

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dt} = \frac{e}{m_0 c^2 \tau_{cav}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{RF}} V_{acc_{n,i}}$$



EM field

Simulated Parameters





Simulated Parameters





Simulated Parameters



Simulated Parameters



Simulated Parameters



Simulated Parameters

•
$$w(t) = \frac{\Delta U}{qq'}$$
 Bunch length is
• $W(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{s} \lambda(s')w(s-s')ds$
• $k_{loss} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda(s)W(s)ds$

Longitudinal Impedance:

- bunch-length σ =2.2 mm
- Repetition rate ~ 100 MHz.

Main peaks relative to the longitudinal impedance :

1.3, 2.43, 3.84, 5.45 and 6.7 GHz.





HOM Damping

In case of **high current**:

- **HOMs damping** is of the utmost importance.
- The **absorbing material** suggested for this purpose is direct graphitesintered **Silicon Carbide SC-35** from Coorstek, which is the same used at Cornell.
- The Silicon Carbide (SiC) HOM absorber is 125mm long with hollow cylindrical shape and placed in the connecting pipes' middle.



Cross section of the HOM beam line absorber



HOM beamline absorber installed on the cavity string inside the clean room (courtesy to Cornell).

HOM Damping Results



Longitudinal impedance of 3 modules compared with 3 times the single module evaluation and the three modules with SiC absorber

Accelerating Mode TM010 in 7-cell SW SC cavity

HOMEN's set of equations

Based on the concept of Energy Budget

The Stored Energy variation:

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_{L,n}} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}} + \frac{q_i^2 k_{loss,n}}{\tau_{cav}}$$

Mode Oscillation amplitude based on SVEA approximation:

$$\frac{dA_n}{dt} = \frac{A_n}{2U_n} \frac{dU_n}{dt}$$

Energy gain of the bunch:

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dt} = \frac{e}{m_0 c^2 \tau_{cav}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{RF}} V_{acc_{n,i}}$$



Accelerating Mode TM010 in 7–cell SW SC cavity

•

HOMEN's set of equations

Only acceleration direction have been considered

The Stored Energy variation:

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_0} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}}$$

Energy gain of the bunch:

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dt} = \frac{e}{m_0 c^2 \tau_{cav}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{RF}} V_{acc_{n,i}}$$

Parameters	Value	
Quality factor Q ₀	$2.89 imes 10^{10}$	
Injection energy E	10 MeV	
RF frequency	1.3 GHz	
Klystron Power	12.6 kW	
Reptition rate	100 MHz	





20

Accelerating Mode TM₀₁₀ in ERL

ERL based on 1 module (1 SW SC cavity)

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_{L,n}} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}}$$

Parameters	Value
Quality factor Q ₀	2.89×10^{10}
Injection energy E	10 MeV
RF frequency	1.3 GHz
Klystron Power for Linac	12.6 kW
Klystron Power for ERL	?



- During acceleration or deceleration we apply RK4 method (flight time $\tau_{cav} \approx 5$ ns).
- When the cavity is empty : Analytical solution with time = t_s 21

Energy stabilisation in the ERL cycle (Accelerating Mode TM_{010}) (1/2)

Parameters	Value
Quality factor Q ₀	2.89×10^{10}
Injection energy E	10 MeV
RF frequency	1.3 GHz
One way Linac: P _{Kly}	12.6 kW
ERL: $P_{Kly} = P_{diss}$	0.28 W





HOMEN's set of equations

The Stored Energy variation:

$$\frac{dU_n}{dt} = -\frac{\omega_n U_n}{Q_{L,n}} + \delta_{1,n} \left| P_{\text{kly}} \right| \pm \frac{q_i V_{acc_{n,i}}}{\tau_{cav}} + \frac{q_i^2 k_{locs,n}}{\tau_{cav}}$$

Mode Oscillation amplitude based on SVEA approximation:

$$\frac{dA_n}{dt} = \frac{A_n}{2U_n} \frac{dU_n}{dt}$$

Energy gain of the bunch:

$$\frac{d\gamma_n}{dt} = \frac{e}{m_0 c^2 \tau_{cav}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{RF}} V_{acc_{n,i}}$$



High Order modes contribution in the ERL (2/2)

Parameters	Value
Loaded Q-factor Q _L	3.147 ×10 ⁷
Injection energy E	10 MeV
HOM frequency	2.43 GHz
k _{loss}	0.6 V/pC
ERL: P _{Kly}	0 W



The Electric field associated with f = 2.43 GHz First 300 bunches





Comparative Analysis between Two HOMs in the ERL (1/2)

Parameters	Value
HOM1 frequency	2.43 GHz
HOM2 frequency	10.43 GHz
k _{loss, HOM1}	0.6 V/pC
k _{loss, HOM2}	0.2 V/pC
ERL: P _{Kly,HOMs}	0







2 M bunches

Comparative Analysis between Two HOMs in the ERL (2/2)

Parameters	Value
HOM1 frequency	2.43 GHz
HOM2 frequency	10.43 GHz
k _{loss, HOM1}	0.6 V/pC
k _{loss, HOM2}	0.2 V/pC
ERL: P _{Kly,HOMs}	0

Bunch energy gain:





Yexit

9.900 19.925 19.950 19.975 20.000 20.025 20.050 20.075 20.100 ν

- ▶ k_{loss} (0.6 V/pC) => ± 3×10⁻³
 ▶ k_{loss} (0.2 V/pC) => ± 1.5×10⁻⁵

$$\gamma_{\text{exit}} > \gamma_{\text{exit}}$$



Beam energy fluctuations about $\pm 5 \times 10^{-3}$

 \geq

Presentation of the C-HOMEN

HOM power proportional to:



27

Fundamental mode Analysis (1/2)

Initial energy:



where $V_{acc} = E_{acc} \times L_{cav}$





Fundamental mode Analysis (2/2)

Initial energy:

$$\frac{R}{Q} = \frac{V_{acc}^2}{\omega_n U_n} \rightarrow U_0 = \frac{V_{acc}^2}{\omega_0(\frac{R}{Q})}$$
where $V_{acc} = E_{acc} \times L_{cav}$

 $U_0 = 28.40 J$ $\gamma_0 = 20$

> Final bunch energy gain ≈ 50 MeV Energy recovery theoretically is 100 %



Bunch energy gain for 3 coupled cavities

HOM Analysis with the Compact HOMEN (1/2)

	Frequency (GHz)	\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Q}	$\mathbf{k}_{loss}~(\mathbf{V/pC})$	\mathbf{Q}_{loaded}	$\mathbf{t}_{ch}~(\mathbf{ms})$
HOM1-	→ 2.63	17.45	0.14	$4.026\!\times\!10^7$	15.3
HOM2-	→ 2.43	108	0.6	3.147×10^{7}	12.95
HOM3-	2.42	30.82	0.24	3.137×10^{7}	12.96

- The accumulation of energy due to the mode with the highest loss factor value (kloss= 0.6 V/pC) is approximately 0.6 mJ after a characteristic time of 15.3 ms.
- The storage energy buildup within the cavity leads to fluctuations in the beam energy as it exits the cavity.



HOM Analysis with the Compact HOMEN (2/2)





Outlook:

- BriXSinO project is still in progress.
- Include more theoretical physics into HOMEN like Transverse beam dynamics and more theoretical studies in HOMEN and C-HOMEN.

- ✓ The models are able to study the effects of HOMs on beam quality and stability in SC cavities back and forth in CW operation.
- ✓ Assessing the beam's performance in an ERL at high average current levels and ensuring it meets the required beam quality for FEL is of significant importance.
- ✓ Energy recovery based on a theoretical and numerical model has been achieved and approved for TPTW.
- ✓ This activity will be very useful in the context of BriXSinO project which is under development at LASA laboratory in Milan.

Beam Breakup and High Order Modes Instabilities Studies for Energy Recovery Linear Accelerators

Sanae SAMSAM | PhD School: FISICA DEGLI ACCELERATORI





stituto nazionale di Fisica nucleare Sezione di Milano Thank you

Supervisor: Luca Serafini