Generalised Antenna Functions for Higher Order Calculations

Elliot Fox

IPPP Durham University

Work with Nigel Glover and Matteo Marcoli



Recap of Antenna Subtraction

• At NLO, we want to construct a subtraction term

$$d\sigma_{NLO} = \int_{d\Phi_{m+1}} \left[d\sigma_{NLO}^{R} - d\sigma_{NLO}^{S} \right] + \left[\int_{d\Phi_{m+1}} d\sigma_{NLO}^{S} + \int_{d\Phi_{m}} d\sigma_{NLO}^{V} \right]$$

- The only emission topology we encounter is a single unresolved emission between a pair of hard radiator partons
- We can reproduce this singular behaviour with terms like

$$X_3^0(i^h, j, k^h) M_m^0(..., \widetilde{ij}, \widetilde{jk}, ...) J(m)_m(..., \widetilde{ij}, \widetilde{jk}, ...)$$

Recap of Antenna Subtraction

• At NNLO, we need to construct subtraction terms such that

$$d\sigma_{NNLO} = \int_{d\Phi_{m+2}} \left[d\sigma_{NNLO}^{RR} - d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,RR} \right] \int_{d\Phi_{m+1}} \left[d\sigma_{NNLO}^{RV} - d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,RV} \right] \\ + \int_{d\Phi_m} \left[d\sigma_{NNLO}^{VV} - d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,VV} \right]$$

where

$$\int_{d\Phi_{m+2}} d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,RR} + \int_{d\Phi_{m+1}} d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,RV} + \int_{d\Phi_m} d\sigma_{NNLO}^{S,VV} = 0$$

- At the RR level we can have both single- and double- unresolved emissions
- At the RV level we have one-loop single-unresolved emissions, and bulk singularities
- This leads to more complex emission topologies

• Colour Unconnected

Both emissions are well separated in the colour string and share no common hard radiator

$$X_3^0(i^h, j, k^h)X_3^0(l^h, m, n^h)M_n^0(..., \widetilde{ij}, \widetilde{jk}, ..., \widetilde{lm}, \widetilde{mn}...)$$

Colour Connected

Both emissions are colour connected to each other and one of the hard radiators

$$\begin{aligned} X_4^0(i^h, j, k, l^h) &M_n^0(..., \widetilde{ijk}, \widetilde{jkl}, ...) \\ &- X_3^0(i^h, j, k^h) &X_3^0(\widetilde{ij}^h, \widetilde{jk}, l^h) &M_n^0(..., \widetilde{i}\widetilde{j}\widetilde{k}, \widetilde{j}\widetilde{k}\overline{l}, ...) \\ &- &X_3^0(l^h, k, j^h) &X_3^0(\widetilde{lk}^h, \widetilde{kj}, i^h) &M_n^0(..., \widetilde{i}\widetilde{j}\widetilde{k}, \widetilde{j}\widetilde{k}\widetilde{kl}, ...) \end{aligned}$$



Almost Colour Unconnected

Description of the two unresolved partons are colour unconnected, but share a common hard radiator

- Antenna functions have 2 hard radiators, so cannot cleanly describe this kind of singularity
- Instead, they are described by a non-trivial combination of \widetilde{X}_4^0 and Large Angle Soft Terms
- For high multiplicity processes, this is the bottleneck for the size and complexity of the subtraction terms

Double-Unresolved Emission Topologies

• Almost Colour Unconnected

1/

 Instead, we would like to be able to to describe the ACU singularities like

$$\begin{aligned} X_{5,3}^{0}(i^{h},j,k^{h},l,m^{h})M_{n}^{0}(...,\widetilde{ijk},\widetilde{ijklm},\widetilde{jkl},...) \\ &-X_{3}^{0}(i^{h},j,k^{h})X_{3}^{0}(\widetilde{jk}^{h},l,m^{h})M_{n}^{0}(...,\widetilde{ij},\widetilde{jkl},\widetilde{lm},...) \\ &-X_{3}^{0}(m^{h},l,k^{h})X_{3}^{0}(\widetilde{lk}^{h},j,i^{h})M_{n}^{0}(...,\widetilde{ij},\widetilde{jkl},\widetilde{lm},...) \end{aligned}$$

Double-Unresolved Emission Topologies

 Instead, we would like to be able to to describe the ACU singularities like

$$\begin{aligned} X^{0}_{5,3}(i^{h},j,k^{h},l,m^{h})M^{0}_{n}(...,\widetilde{ijk},\widetilde{ijklm},\widetilde{jkl},...) \\ &-X^{0}_{3}(i^{h},j,k^{h})X^{0}_{3}(\widetilde{jk}^{h},l,m^{h})M^{0}_{n}(...,\widetilde{ij},\widetilde{jkl},\widetilde{lm},...) \\ &-X^{0}_{3}(m^{h},l,k^{h})X^{0}_{3}(\widetilde{lk}^{h},j,i^{h})M^{0}_{n}(...,\widetilde{ij},\widetilde{jkl},\widetilde{lm},...) \end{aligned}$$

Advantages

 \checkmark A more algorithmic construction of subtraction terms \checkmark A reduction in the size of subtraction terms

A reduction in the size of subtraction term

 \checkmark Works for a single colour ordering

 \checkmark Reduced computational time ($\sim n!$ for n gluons)

 \checkmark No wide-angle soft terms

Recap of Designer Antennas

• In the original antenna subtraction scheme, antenna functions were extracted from matrix elements with the required infrared limits [Gehrmann-De Ridder, Gehrmann, Glover '05]



• In the designer antenna scheme, we build antennas directly from the set of infrared limits we want the antenna to have

 \checkmark We have a full set of unintegrated and integrated FF antennae [Braun-White,Glover '23]

$$X_3^0(i^h, j, k^h) X_4^0(i^h, j, k, l^h) X_3^1(i^h, j, k^h)$$

Tree level five particle three-hard radiator antenna functions

- We can construct a X⁰_{5,3}(i^h_a, j_b, k^h_c, l_d, m^h_e) antenna from its desired infrared limits
- We have a momentum-conserving on-shell 5to3 mapping which behaves correctly in all unresolved limits
- Hence we can use these antennas in RR subtraction terms



$$\begin{split} & L_1(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = S_b(i^h, j, k^h)S_d(k^h, l, m^h) \\ & L_2(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{ab}(i^h, j)P_{cd}(k^h, l) \\ & L_3(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{ab}(i^h, j)P_{cd}(m^h, l) \\ & L_4(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{bb}(k^h, j)P_{cd}(m^h, l) \\ & L_5(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = S_b(i^h, j, k^h)X_3^0(k^h, l, m^h) \\ & L_6(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = S_d(k^h, l, m^h)X_3^0(k^h, l, m^h) \\ & L_7(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{ab}(i^h, j)X_3^0(k^h, l, m^h) \\ & L_8(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{cd}(k^h, l)X_3^0(i^h, j, k^h) \\ & L_9(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{bc}(k^h, j)X_3^0([j + k]^h, l, m^h) \\ & L_{10}(i^h, j, k^h, l, m^h) = P_{cd}(k^h, l)X_3^0([j + k]^h, l, m^h) \end{split}$$

Leading Colour $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3$ jet RR subtraction term



- 12 terms compared to 42 in the traditional scheme
- Works for a single colour ordering
- Clear algorithmic construction

Analytic Integration: Mapping dependence

• We consider an unintegrated subtraction term

$$X(\{p\}) M(\{\widetilde{p}\}, \{\widetilde{q}\}) J_{n_p+n_q}^{(n_{\widetilde{p}}+n_q)}(\{\widetilde{p}\}, \{\widetilde{q}\}) dPS_{n_p+n_q}(p,q)$$

• We choose a mapping such that the phase space integral factorises

$$dPS_{n_{\widetilde{p}}+n_{q}}(p,q) \rightarrow dPS_{X}(p/\{\widetilde{p}\}) dPS_{n_{\widetilde{p}}+n_{q}}(\{\widetilde{p}\},\{\widetilde{q}\})$$

• The integrated subtraction term is then given by

$$\mathcal{X}(\{\widetilde{p}\}) M(\{\widetilde{p}\}, \{\widetilde{q}\}) J_{n_p+n_q}^{(n_{\widetilde{p}}+n_q)}(\{\widetilde{p}\}, \{\widetilde{q}\}) dPS_m(\{\widetilde{p}\}, \{\widetilde{q}\})$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}({\widetilde{p}}) = \int X({p}) dPS_X(p/{\widetilde{p}}),$$

Antennas with 2 hard radiators

• A generic $n \rightarrow 2$ mapping has the form

$$\{p_1,\ldots,p_{n_p}\} \to \{\widetilde{p}_I,\widetilde{p}_J\}$$

• The only available scale after integration is *s*₁, so on dimensional grounds

$$\mathcal{X}({\widetilde{p}_I,\widetilde{p}_J}) = c(\epsilon) (s_{IJ})^d$$

 It is straightforward to check that all mappings give the same c(e) and d

Analytic Integration: Mapping dependence

Antennas with 3 hard radiators

• A generic $n \rightarrow 3$ mapping has the form

$$\{p_1,\ldots,p_{n_p}\} \rightarrow \{\widetilde{p}_I,\widetilde{p}_J,\widetilde{p}_K\}$$

- Now we have have multiple available scales
 - s_{IJ} , s_{IK} , s_{JK} , s_{IJK} , $s_{IJ}+s_{JK}$, $s_{IJ}+s_{IK}$, $s_{JK}+s_{IK}$
- As before the overall dimensionality of is fixed, but the dependence on each individual scale is not

$$\mathcal{X}(\{\widetilde{p}_{I},\widetilde{p}_{J},\widetilde{p}_{K}\}) = \sum_{i} c_{i} (s_{IJ})^{\alpha_{i}} (s_{JK})^{\beta_{i}} (s_{JK})^{\gamma_{i}} (s_{IJK})^{\delta_{i}} + \dots$$

• Hence we have to use the same mapping for analytic integration as we do in the numerical implementation

Analytic Integration: $X_{5,3}^0$

 We split X⁰_{5,3} into 3 parts to make the integration more straightforward

 $\begin{aligned} X^{0}_{5,3}(i^{h},j,k^{h},l,m^{h}) &= X^{0}_{5,3;M}(i^{h},j,k^{h},l,m^{h}) + X^{0}_{5,3;L}(i^{h},j,k^{h},l|m^{h}) \\ &+ X^{0}_{5,3;R}(i^{h}|j,k^{h},l,m^{h}) \end{aligned}$

• $X^0_{5,3;L}$ and $X^0_{5,3;R}$ are related to \widetilde{X}^0_4 so can be integrated in the same way

Analytic Integration: $X_{5,3}^0$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{0}_{5,3;M} &\equiv X^{0}_{3}(i^{h}_{a}, j_{b}, k^{h}_{c}) X^{0}_{3}(k^{h}_{c}, l_{d}, m^{h}_{e}) \\ &- \mathbf{C}^{\downarrow}_{jk}((1 - \mathbf{S}^{\downarrow}_{j}) X^{0}_{3}(i^{h}_{a}, j_{b}, k^{h}_{c})) \mathbf{C}^{\downarrow}_{kl}((1 - \mathbf{S}^{\downarrow}_{l}) X^{0}_{3}(k^{h}_{c}, l_{d}, m^{h}_{e})) \end{aligned}$$

- This satisfies fully 8 out of the 11 limits, and only include terms with invariants built from momenta in one half of the $X_{5,3}^0$
- We can integrate this analytically over the mapping

$$egin{aligned} p_{l} &= & p_{i} + p_{j} - rac{s_{ij}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk}} p_{k}, \ p_{\mathcal{K}} &= \left(1 + rac{s_{ij}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk}} + rac{s_{lm}}{s_{lk} + s_{mk}}
ight) p_{k}, \ p_{\mathcal{M}} &= & p_{l} + p_{m} - rac{s_{lm}}{s_{lk} + s_{mk}} p_{k}, \end{aligned}$$

Real-Virtual Subtraction Term

• First we include the terms from the RR subtraction term that naturally land at the RV level



Real-Virtual Subtraction Term

- First we include the terms from the RR subtraction term that naturally land at the RV level
- Then we add in the single unresolved behaviour using loop*tree and tree*loop terms

B2g1ZepemT(a,i,j,b,1,2)

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 & -\left[+J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{ai}) + J_{2,GG}^{1,FF}(s_{ij}) + J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{jb}) \right] B_{2}^{Z,0}(a,i,j,b,1,2) J_{3}^{(4)}(\{p\}_{4}) \\ 2 & +\left[+J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{ai}) + J_{2,GG}^{1,FF}(s_{ij}) \right] B_{3}^{0}(a,i,j) B_{1}^{Z,0}((\widetilde{ai}),(\widetilde{ij}),b,1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 3 & +\left[+J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{ij}) + J_{2,QG}^{2,F}(s_{aj}) \right] B_{3}^{2,0}([ai],[ij],b,1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 4 & +J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{ij}) D_{3}^{0}(a,i,j) B_{1}^{Z,0}((ai,[ij],b,1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 5 & +J_{2,QG}^{1,FF}(s_{ij}) D_{3}^{0}(b,j,i) B_{1}^{Z,0}(a,[ij],[ib],1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 6 & + D_{3}^{1}(a,i,j) B_{1}^{Z,0}((\overline{ai}),(\overline{ij}),b,1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 7 & + D_{3}^{1}(b,j,i) B_{1}^{2,0}(a,(\overline{ij}),(\overline{ij}),1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 8 & + D_{3}^{0}(a,i,j) B_{1}^{Z,1}(a,(\overline{ij}),(\overline{ij}),1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ 9 & + D_{3}^{0}(b,j,i) B_{1}^{Z,1}(a,(\overline{ij}),(\overline{jb}),1,2) J_{3}^{(3)}(\{p\}_{3}) \\ \end{array} \right]$$



 \checkmark Gives the correct ϵ poles in all unresolved limits \checkmark Gives the correct implicit singularity behaviour in all unresolved limits

$$\otimes$$
 Gives incorrect $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ and $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ poles in the bulk

One-loop single-unresolved emission topologies

• X₃⁰ tree*loop terms are able to capture the singular behaviour from tree-level sub-graphs of a full matrix element



• X₃¹ loop*tree terms are able to capture the singular behaviour when the unresolved emission couples directly to a loop

One-loop single-unresolved emission topologies

- We also have a third case where the unresolved emission is neither directly connected to the loop nor fully detached from it
- In the traditional approach these explicit singularities were captured by a combination of LAST terms coming from the RR, but now we need a new structure to do this



One-loop four-particle three-hard-radiators antenna functions $(X_{4,3}^1)$

- To construct the $X_{4,3}^1$ we collect the leftover poles equipped with their mappings in the subtraction term, and assemble them into a function multiplying an X_3^0 antenna
- We find that in general we have 3 different mappings;
 - The dipole mapping with i scaled $\rightarrow X^{1}_{4.3;L}(i^{h}, j, k^{h}; l^{h})$
 - The antenna mapping $o X^1_{4,3;M}(i^h,j,k^h;l^h)$
 - The dipole mapping with k scaled $\rightarrow X^{1}_{4,3;R}(i^{h},j,k^{h};l^{h})$
- The analytic integration is mapping dependent, but now we have specified the mapping it is straightforward

One-loop four-particle three-hard-radiators antenna functions $(X_{4,3}^1)$

In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A^{1}_{4,3;L}(i,j,k;b) &= 0\\ A^{1}_{4,3;M}(i,j,k;b) &= \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{s_{ijk}\mu^{2}}{(s_{ik} + s_{jk})s_{j\tilde{k}b}}\right) + \frac{5}{3}\right)\right) D^{0}_{3}(i,j,k)\\ A^{1}_{4,3;R}(i,j,k;b) &= \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(\log\left(\frac{s_{kb}}{\mu^{2}}\right) - \frac{5}{3}\right)\right) D^{0}_{3}(i,j,k)\\ A^{1}_{4,3;T}(i,j,k;b) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log\left(\frac{s_{ijk}s_{kb}}{(s_{ik} + s_{jk})s_{j\tilde{k}b}}\right) D^{0}_{3}(i,j,k)\end{aligned}$$

 This contributes to poles in the bulk, but does not contribute in unresolved limits

Real-Virtual Subtraction Term



 \checkmark Gives the correct ϵ poles in all unresolved limits

 $\checkmark\,{\rm Gives}$ the correct implicit singularity behaviour in all unresolved limits

 \checkmark Gives the correct poles in the bulk

Elliot Fox (IPPP Durham University)

Virtual Virtual Subtraction Term

B1g2ZepemU(3,4,5,1,2)

$$\begin{split} & \text{Blg2ZepemU}(3,4,5,1,2) = \\ & 1 \quad -[\mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{34}) + \mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{45})]B_1^{Z,1}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 2 \quad + \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon}[\mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{34}) + \mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{45})]B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 3 \quad -[\mathcal{D}_3^1(s_{34}) + \mathcal{D}_3^1(s_{45})]B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 4 \quad - \frac{\beta_0}{\epsilon} \left[\left(\frac{s_{34}}{\mu_R^2} \right)^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{34}) + \left(\frac{s_{45}}{\mu_R^2} \right)^{-\epsilon} \mathcal{D}_3^0(s_{45}) \right] B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 5 \quad -[\mathcal{D}_4^0(s_{34}) + \mathcal{D}_4^0(s_{45})]B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 6 \quad -[\mathcal{A}_5^2(s_{34},s_{45})]B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \\ & 7 \quad -[\mathcal{D}_{4,3}^1(s_{34},s_{45}) + \mathcal{D}_{4,3}^1(s_{45},s_{34})]B_1^{Z,0}(3,4,5,1,2) \end{split}$$

 \checkmark We indeed get full pole cancellation with the two loop matrix element as expected

New map between layers of the subtraction scheme



Summary (RR)

- We already have clean ways to describe singularities that are
 - Single unresolved (3 parton description)
 - Colour connected double unresolved (4 parton description)
 - Colour unconnected double unresolved (6⁺ parton description)
- Almost colour unconnected double unresolved singularities would naturally have a 5 parton description
- The current treatment with \widetilde{X}_4^0 is very messy
- We have constructed and integrated 5 parton antennas with 3 hard radiators, $X_{5,3}^0$, that cleanly captures these almost colour unconnected double unresolved singularities
- At the RV level we need a new one-loop 4 parton three hard radiator non-divergent structure to cancel poles in the bulk
- ullet We have constructed and integrated all $X^1_{4.3}$ relevant for $e^+e^-
 ightarrow$ 3jet
- We have constructed subtraction terms for all colour layers of $e^+e^- \to$ 3jet and achieved poll cancellation

- Finish the validation of our new method compared with the old implementation
- Quantify the speedup we can achieve
- Fit this new formalism into the colourful antenna subtraction framework, and automate the construction of subtraction terms for arbitrary processes at any multiplicity
- Extend the formalism to include intial state radiation

Map between layers of the subtraction scheme



Designer Antenna Algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n;1}^{0} &= \mathbf{P}_{1}^{\uparrow} L_{1} ,\\ X_{n;2}^{0} &= X_{n;1}^{0} + \mathbf{P}_{2}^{\uparrow} (L_{2} - \mathbf{P}_{2}^{\downarrow} X_{n;1}^{0}) ,\\ &\vdots\\ X_{n;N}^{0} &= X_{n;N-1}^{0} + \mathbf{P}_{N}^{\uparrow} (L_{N} - \mathbf{P}_{N}^{\downarrow} X_{n;N-1}^{0}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{j}^{\downarrow}:\begin{cases} s_{ij}\mapsto\lambda s_{ij}, s_{jk}\mapsto\lambda s_{jk},\\ s_{ijk}\mapsto s_{ik}, \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{ij}^{\downarrow}: \begin{cases} s_{ij} \mapsto \lambda s_{ij}, \\ s_{ik} \mapsto (1-x_j)(s_{ik}+s_{jk}), s_{jk} \mapsto x_j(s_{ik}+s_{jk}), s_{ijk} \mapsto s_{ik}+s_{jk}. \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{C}^{\uparrow}_{ij}: \begin{cases} x_j \mapsto s_{jk}/s_{ijk}, (1-x_j) \mapsto s_{ik}/s_{ijk} \\ s_{ik}+s_{jk} \mapsto s_{ijk} \end{cases}$$

5to3 mapping limits

$$\begin{array}{l} \{A, B, C\} \xrightarrow{\text{DS } 12} \{a, b, c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{TC } 1||b||2} \{a, 1+b+2, c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{DC } a||1 \ b||2} \{a+1, b+2, c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{DC } a||1 \ 2||c} \{a+1, b, 2+c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{DC } a||1 \ 2||c} \{a, b+2, c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{SC } 1 \ b||2} \{a, b+2, c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{SC } 1 \ 2||c} \{a, b, 2+c\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{Soft } 1} \{a, \widetilde{b2}, \widetilde{2c}\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{Collinear } a||1} \{a+1, \widetilde{b2}, \widetilde{2c}\} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{Collinear } 1||b} \{a, (\widetilde{1+b})2, \widetilde{2c}\} \end{array}$$

Dipole and Tripole Mappings

The **dipole** mapping (with k rescaled) is an onshell momentum conserving map

$$\{p_i, p_j, p_k\} \rightarrow \{p_I, p_K\}$$

 $p_I = p_i + p_j - \frac{s_{ij}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk}}p_k,$
 $p_K = \frac{s_{ijk}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk}}p_k.$

The **tripole** mapping (with k rescaled) is an onshell momentum conserving map

$$\{p_{i}, p_{j}, p_{k}, p_{l}\} \rightarrow \{p_{I}, p_{K}\}$$
$$p_{I} = p_{i} + p_{j} + p_{l} - \frac{s_{ijl}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk} + s_{kl}}p_{k}$$
$$p_{K} = \frac{s_{ijkl}}{s_{ik} + s_{jk} + s_{kl}}p_{k}$$

For the 3 to 2 mapping $\{i,j,k\} \rightarrow \{I,K\}$

$$I = xp_i + rp_j + yp_k$$

$$K = (1 - x)p_i + (1 - r)p_j + (1 - y)p_k$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2(s_{ik} + s_{ij})}((1 + \rho_1)s_{ijk} - 2rs_{jk})$$

$$r = \frac{s_{jk}}{s_{ij} + s_{jk}}$$

$$y = \frac{1}{2(s_{ik} + s_{jk})}((1 - \rho_1)s_{ijk} - 2rs_{ij})$$

$$\rho_1^2 = 1 + 4r(1 - r)\frac{s_{ij}s_{jk}}{s_{ijk}s_{ik}}$$

Figure: gg Double Soft



Figure: ggg Triple Collinear



Elliot Fox (IPPP Durham University)

Figure: g Soft



Figure: gg Collinear



Generalised Antenna Functions

Figure: qgg Triple Collinear



Figure: qg gg Double Collinear



Figure: qg qg Double Collinear



Figure: qg Collinear



Generalised Antenna Functions