# NNLO+PS predictions for Z boson production in association with b-jets at the LHC

## Vasily Sotnikov

University of Zurich

based on Javier Mazzitelli, VS, Marius Wiesemann [arXiv:2404.08598]

High Precision for Hard Processes (HP2 2024), Turin (Italy)

10<sup>th</sup> September 2024





Established by the European Commission



# Introduction

# Motivation for $Zb\bar{b}$ production



## Motivation for $Zb\bar{b}$ production



## Phenomenological

- Important background to ZH(bb) and BSM searches
- Precision benchmark of pQCD
- Sensitivity to heavy flavor scheme: 4FS vs 5FS



[JHEP 07 (2020) 44]

## Motivation for $Zb\bar{b}$ production



#### Technical

- Matching NNLO QCD corrections for a genuine  $2\to 3$  QCD process to parton showers (PS) for the first time
- Extension of a NNLO+PS matching to a new class of processes
- Phenomenological application of one of the most complex two-loop amplitudes known

# $Zb\bar{b}$ history

## Theory

- NLO 5FS [Campbell, Ellis, Keith, Maltoni, Willenbrock '03]
- NLO 4FS [Febres Cordero, Reina, Wackeroth '08,'09] (see also [Campbell, Ellis, Keith '00])
- NLO+PS in MADGRAPH5\_AMC@NLO [Frederix,Frixione, Hirschi, Maltoni, Pittau, Torrielli '11] (+ multi-jet merging in 5FS)
- NLO+PS in SHERPA [Krauss, Napoletano, Schumann '16] (+ multi-jet merging in 5FS)
- NLO+PS combination 4FS + 5FS [Höche, Krause, Siegert '19] (see also [Forte, Napoletano, Ubiali '18])
- NNLO in 5FS one b-jet [Gauld, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover, Huss, Majer '20]

#### This talk

First NNLO and NNLO+PS computation in 4FS

# $Zb\bar{b}$ history

## Theory

- NLO 5FS [Campbell, Ellis, Keith, Maltoni, Willenbrock '03]
- NLO 4FS [Febres Cordero, Reina, Wackeroth '08,'09] (see also [Campbell, Ellis, Keith '00])
- NLO+PS in MADGRAPH5\_AMC@NLO [Frederix,Frixione, Hirschi, Maltoni, Pittau, Torrielli '11] (+ multi-jet merging in 5FS)
- NLO+PS in SHERPA [Krauss, Napoletano, Schumann '16] (+ multi-jet merging in 5FS)
- NLO+PS combination 4FS + 5FS [Höche, Krause, Siegert '19] (see also [Forte, Napoletano, Ubiali '18])
- NNLO in 5FS one b-jet [Gauld, Gehrmann-De Ridder, Glover, Huss, Majer '20]

#### This talk

First NNLO and NNLO+PS computation in 4FS

## LHC $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV measurements

- [ATLAS, arXiv:2003.11960]
- [CMS, arXiv:2112.09659]
- [ATLAS, arXiv:2204.12355] (large R jets)
- [ATLAS, arXiv:2403.15093]









# **Computational setup**

# **Computational setup**

 $\text{MiNNLO}_{\rm PS}$  method

## $\text{MiNNLO}_{\rm PS}$ for color singlet production

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

#### Step 1: $q_T$ resummation formula

Consider color singlet production  $pp \to F + X$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathrm{res}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}q_T} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} H \ (C \otimes f) (C \otimes f) \right\}$$

- Differential in Born phase space  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $q_T$
- N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation is NNLO accurate upon integration over  $q_T$

## $\text{MiNNLO}_{\rm PS}$ for color singlet production

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

#### Step 1: $q_T$ resummation formula

Consider color singlet production  $pp \to F + X$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}q_T} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} H \ (C \otimes f) (C \otimes f) \right\}$$

- Differential in Born phase space  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $q_T$
- N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation is NNLO accurate upon integration over  $q_T$

Step 2: match to *Fj* **O** NLO

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}} + \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}} - \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}}$$

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

#### Step 1: $q_T$ resummation formula

Consider color singlet production  $pp \to F + X$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}q_T} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} H \ (C \otimes f) (C \otimes f) \right\}$$

- Differential in Born phase space  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $q_T$
- N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation is NNLO accurate upon integration over  $q_T$

#### Step 2: match to Fj @ NLO

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}} + \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}} - \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}}$$

Pull out Sudakov exponent, expand to  $\alpha_s^3$ :

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{MiNNLO}} \sim e^{-S} \left( \mathrm{d}\sigma^{(1)}_{Fj} \left( 1 + S^{(1)} \right) + \mathrm{d}\sigma^{(2)}_{Fj} + D^{(3)} \right)$$

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

#### Step 1: $q_T$ resummation formula

Consider color singlet production  $pp \to F + X$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}q_T} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} H \ (C \otimes f) (C \otimes f) \right\}$$

- Differential in Born phase space  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $q_T$
- N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation is NNLO accurate upon integration over  $q_T$

#### Step 2: match to Fj @ NLO

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}} + \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}} - \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}\right]_{\mathsf{f.o.}}$$

Pull out Sudakov exponent, expand to  $\alpha_s^3$ :

$$d\sigma^{\text{MiNNLO}} \sim e^{-S} \left( \underbrace{d\sigma_{Fj}^{(1)} \left(1 + S^{(1)}\right) + d\sigma_{Fj}^{(2)}}_{\text{MINLO'}} + D^{(3)} \right)$$

$$(F \text{ and } Fj \text{ NLO "merged"})$$

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

#### Step 1: $q_T$ resummation formula

Consider color singlet production  $pp \to F + X$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\mathrm{d}q_T} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} H \ (C \otimes f) (C \otimes f) \right\}$$

- Differential in Born phase space  $\Phi_{\rm F}$  and  $q_T$
- N<sup>3</sup>LL resummation is NNLO accurate upon integration over  $q_T$

#### Step 2: match to *Fj* @ NLO

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma = \mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathrm{res}} + \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}\right]_{\mathrm{f.o.}} - \left[\mathrm{d}\sigma_F^{\mathrm{res}}\right]_{\mathrm{f.o.}}$$

Pull out Sudakov exponent, expand to  $\alpha_s^3$ :

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\mathrm{MiNNLO}} \sim e^{-S} \left( \mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{(1)} \left( 1 + S^{(1)} \right) + \mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{(2)} + \underbrace{D^{(3)}}_{\mathrm{NNLO accuracy}} \right)$$

Note:  $e^{-S}$  exponentially suppresses  $q_T \rightarrow 0 \implies$  NNLO subtraction

## $\text{MiNNLO}_{\rm PS}$ for color singlet production

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

Step 3: upgrade POWHEG Fj @ NLO generator

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{\mathsf{pwg}} &= \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{Fj}} \;\; \tilde{B}^{Fj} \;\; \times \; \left\{ \Delta_{\mathsf{pwg}}(p_T^{\mathsf{min}}) + \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{rad}} \; \Delta_{\mathsf{pwg}}(p_{T,\mathsf{rad}}) \frac{R_{Fj}}{B_{Fj}} \right\} \\ \tilde{B}_{Fj} &\sim \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{\mathsf{NLO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{Fj}}} \end{split}$$

- Generates events in  $\Phi_{\rm Fj}$  distributed according to  $\tilde{B}^{Fj}$
- Replace  $\tilde{B}^{Fj} \longrightarrow \frac{d\sigma^{\text{MiNNLO}}}{d\Phi_{\text{Fi}}}$ , such that  $\int dq_T \frac{d\sigma_{Fj}}{d\Phi_{\text{Fi}}}$  is NNLO accurate

## $\text{MiNNLO}_{\rm PS}$ for color singlet production

[Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '19] [Monni, Re, Wiesemann '20]

Step 3: upgrade POWHEG Fj @ NLO generator

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{\mathsf{pwg}} &= \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{Fj}} \;\; \tilde{B}^{Fj} \;\; \times \; \left\{ \Delta_{\mathsf{pwg}}(p_T^{\mathsf{min}}) + \mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{rad}} \; \Delta_{\mathsf{pwg}}(p_{T,\mathsf{rad}}) \frac{R_{Fj}}{B_{Fj}} \right\} \\ \tilde{B}_{Fj} &\sim \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{Fj}^{\mathsf{NLO}}}{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{Fj}}} \end{split}$$

- Generates events in  $\Phi_{\rm Fj}$  distributed according to  $\tilde{B}^{Fj}$
- Replace  $\tilde{B}^{Fj} \longrightarrow \frac{d\sigma^{\text{MINNLO}}}{d\Phi_{\text{Fj}}}$ , such that  $\int dq_T \frac{d\sigma_{Fj}}{d\Phi_{\text{Fj}}}$  is NNLO accurate

#### MiNNLO<sub>PS</sub> accuracy

- NNLO for inclusive observables (differential in  $\Phi_{\rm F}$ )
- Resummation from parton shower (PS) preserved (e.g. LL in  $p_T$ )
- No unphysical scales

## Extension to heavy quark pair (+singlet) production

 $pp \to Q\bar{Q} + F$ 

Resummation structure more complex

[ Zhu, Li, Li, Shao, Yang '12] [Catani, Grazzini, Torre '14]

$$d\sigma_F^{\mathsf{res}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} \mathbf{H} \ (C \otimes f)(C \otimes f) \right\} \longrightarrow$$
$$d\sigma_{Q\bar{Q}}^{\mathsf{res}} \sim \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}q_T} \left\{ e^{-S} \left[ \mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{H} \mathbf{\Delta}] \ (C \otimes f)(C \otimes f) \right]_{\phi} \right\}$$

- Additional radiative factor  $\Delta$  of soft origin [Catani, Devoto, Grazzini, Mazzitelli '23]
- Cast into a sum of color-singlet-like contributions to connect to  $\rm MINNLO_{PS}$  formalism [Mazzitelli, Monni, Nason, Re, Wiesemann, Zanderighi '20]
- Explicit integration of (subtracted) soft current required (up to 4-fold numerical integration)







# **Computational setup**

**Two-loop corrections** 

$$e^{-S} \Big[ \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{H}\Delta] \ (C \otimes f)(C \otimes f) \Big]_{\phi} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad H = \frac{\langle \mathcal{R} | \bar{\mathbf{h}}^{\dagger} \bar{\mathbf{h}} | \mathcal{R} \rangle}{\left| \mathcal{M}^{(0)} \right|^{2}}, \quad |\mathcal{R}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} \left| \mathcal{M} \right\rangle,$$
$$\bar{\mathbf{h}} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s})$$

- Operator  $\mathbf{Z}^{-1} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(lpha_s)$  absorbs IR divergences [Becher, Neubert '09]
- Two-loop corrections contribute to hard-virtual function H through  $2\operatorname{Re}\langle \mathcal{R}^{(0)}|\mathcal{R}^{(2)}\rangle$
- Need five-point two-loop amplitudes for  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Zb\bar{b}$ ,  $gg \rightarrow Zb\bar{b}$  with massive b, currently out of reach [see Bayu's talk]

Scale hierarchy  $m_b \ll \mu_h \implies$  factorization [Mitov, Moch '06]:

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{M}\rangle &= \mathcal{F} \left| \mathcal{M}_0 \right\rangle \; + \; \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{m_b}{\mu_h} \right), \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(l)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2l} f_i \log \left( \frac{m_b}{\mu_R} \right)^i \\ |\mathcal{M}\rangle^{(2)} &= \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(0)} \right\rangle + \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(1)} \right\rangle + \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(2)} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Intuition:  $1/\epsilon$  poles in 5FS scheme ( $|M_0\rangle$ ) related to  $\log \frac{m_b}{\mu_R}$  power in 4FS ( $|M\rangle$ ) via "massification".

Application to NNLO Wbb production [Buonocore, Devoto, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Rottoli, Savoini '22]

Scale hierarchy  $m_b \ll \mu_h \implies$  factorization [Mitov, Moch '06]:

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{M}\rangle &= \mathcal{F} \left| \mathcal{M}_0 \right\rangle \; + \; \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{m_b}{\mu_h} \right), \qquad \mathcal{F}^{(l)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2l} f_i \log \left( \frac{m_b}{\mu_R} \right)^i \\ |\mathcal{M}\rangle^{(2)} &= \mathcal{F}^{(2)} \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(0)} \right\rangle + \mathcal{F}^{(1)} \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(1)} \right\rangle + \left| \mathcal{M}_0^{(2)} \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Intuition:  $1/\epsilon$  poles in 5FS scheme ( $|M_0\rangle$ ) related to  $\log \frac{m_b}{\mu_R}$  power in 4FS ( $|M\rangle$ ) via "massification".

Application to NNLO Wbb production [Buonocore, Devoto, Kallweit, Mazzitelli, Rottoli, Savoini '22]

Recently extended to include contributions from closed b loops [Wang, Xia, Yang, Ye '23]:

$$\mathcal{F} \ket{\mathcal{M}_0} \ o \ \mathcal{F}' \ \mathbf{S} \ket{\mathcal{M}_0},$$

 ${\bf S}=1+{\bf S}^{(2)}$  soft function (operator in color space) with additional  $\log\frac{m_b}{\mu_R}$  contributions. [see Guoxing's talk]

$$|\mathcal{M}\rangle = \mathcal{F} \mathbf{S} |\mathcal{M}_0\rangle$$

 $|\mathcal{M}(\epsilon)
angle = \mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \,\, \mathbf{S}(\epsilon) \,\, |\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)
angle$ 

- Factorization formulated for divergent quantities
- Higher orders in  $\epsilon$  required in  $|\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)\rangle$ , (e.g. 1-loop to  $\epsilon^2$  for NNLO)
- Modern analytic methods compute finite remainders  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} |\mathcal{M}\rangle$  directly

 $|\mathcal{M}(\epsilon)
angle = \mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \,\, \mathbf{S}(\epsilon) \,\, |\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)
angle$ 

- Factorization formulated for divergent quantities
- Higher orders in  $\epsilon$  required in  $|\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)\rangle$ , (e.g. 1-loop to  $\epsilon^2$  for NNLO)
- Modern analytic methods compute finite remainders  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} |\mathcal{M}\rangle$  directly

#### Finite formulation

Multiply by  $\mathbf{Z}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}^{-1}$  and insert  $1 = \mathbf{Z}_0 \mathbf{Z}^{-1}$ :

$$|\mathcal{R}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Z}_0 |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle = \bar{\mathcal{F}} \bar{\mathbf{S}} |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle$$

 $\bar{\mathcal{F}}\text{, }\bar{\mathbf{S}}\text{ finite, }\bar{\mathcal{F}}\text{ diagonal in color space}$ 

 $|\mathcal{M}(\epsilon)
angle = \mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \,\, \mathbf{S}(\epsilon) \,\, |\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)
angle$ 

- Factorization formulated for divergent quantities
- Higher orders in  $\epsilon$  required in  $|\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)\rangle$ , (e.g. 1-loop to  $\epsilon^2$  for NNLO)
- Modern analytic methods compute finite remainders  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} |\mathcal{M}\rangle$  directly

#### **Finite formulation**

Multiply by  $\mathbf{Z}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}^{-1}$  and insert  $1 = \mathbf{Z}_0 \mathbf{Z}^{-1}$ :

$$|\mathcal{R}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Z}_0 |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle = \bar{\mathcal{F}} \bar{\mathbf{S}} |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle$$

 $\bar{\mathcal{F}}\text{, }\bar{\mathbf{S}}\text{ finite, }\bar{\mathcal{F}}\text{ diagonal in color space}$ 

Evaluate 2-loop contribution as

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{m_{b} \ll \mu_{h}}^{(2)} \right\rangle = \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \left| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \right|^{2} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(1)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$$

 $|\mathcal{M}(\epsilon)\rangle = \mathcal{F}(\epsilon) \mathbf{S}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)\rangle$ 

- Factorization formulated for divergent quantities
- Higher orders in  $\epsilon$  required in  $|\mathcal{M}_0(\epsilon)\rangle$ , (e.g. 1-loop to  $\epsilon^2$  for NNLO)
- Modern analytic methods compute finite remainders  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}^{-1} |\mathcal{M}\rangle$  directly

#### Finite formulation

Multiply by  $\mathbf{Z}_{m_k \ll \mu_k}^{-1}$  and insert  $1 = \mathbf{Z}_0 \mathbf{Z}^{-1}$ :

$$|\mathcal{R}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}\rangle = \mathbf{Z}_{m_b \ll \mu_h}^{-1} \mathcal{F} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Z}_0 |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle = \bar{\mathcal{F}} \bar{\mathbf{S}} |\mathcal{R}_0\rangle$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$  finite,  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  diagonal in color space

Evaluate 2-loop contribution as

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{m_{b} \ll \mu_{h}}^{(2)} \right\rangle = \\ \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle|^{2} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(1)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle + \\ \operatorname{log}(m_{b}) \operatorname{terms} \qquad \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$$

 $\log(m_b)$  terms

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{m_{b} \ll \mu_{h}}^{(2)} \right\rangle = \\ \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle|^{2} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(1)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$$

Massless two-loop  $pp \rightarrow Z b \bar{b}$  process simpler, still on the cutting edge. We evaluate  $\operatorname{Re} \left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$  based on analytic results [Abreu, Febres Cordero, Ita, Klinkert, Page, VS '21] [Chicherin, VS, Zoia '21]

- Leading color approximation  $(1/N_c^2 \text{ supressed})$
- Contributions with Z coupling to closed quark loops discarded (estimated negligible at NLO).



$$\operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{m_{b} \ll \mu_{h}}^{(2)} \right\rangle = \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle|^{2} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{(1)} \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(1)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{(2)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \right\rangle + \operatorname{Re}\left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$$

Massless two-loop  $pp \rightarrow Z b \bar{b}$  process simpler, still on the cutting edge. We evaluate  $\operatorname{Re} \left\langle \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_{0}^{(2)} \right\rangle$  based on analytic results [Abreu, Febres Cordero, Ita, Klinkert, Page, VS '21] [Chicherin, VS, Zoia '21]

- Leading color approximation  $(1/N_c^2 \text{ supressed})$
- Contributions with Z coupling to closed quark loops discarded (estimated negligible at NLO).

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Finite formulation} \implies \mbox{further approximations only in} \\ \mbox{Re} \left< \mathcal{R}_0^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{R}_0^{(2)} \right>. \end{array}$ 

No approximations in log-enhanced terms (very important!)



**Computational setup** 

Implementation

- Extension of MINNLOPS to  $Q\bar{Q} + F$  processes implemented within POWHEG-BOX-RES
- Some contributions to D evaluated by numerical integration (requires some care!) [Devoto, Mazzitelli, in preparation]
- PYTHIA for QCD,QED showers, MPI, hadronization
- OPENLOOPS for tree and one-loop amplitudes, including color- and spin-correlated

#### Massless two-loop corrections

Numerical code based on analytic results [Abreu, Febres Cordero, Ita, Klinkert, Page, VS '21], one-mass pentagon functions in PentagonFunctions++ [Chicherin, VS, Zoia '21]

- ▲ Challenging numerics: huge expressions  $O(1Gb) \implies$ high memory usage, elaborate numerical stability checks and rescue through higher precision
  - Noticeable fraction of total CPU time for two-loop contributions

- Extension of MINNLOPS to  $Q\bar{Q} + F$  processes implemented within POWHEG-BOX-RES
- Some contributions to D evaluated by numerical integration (requires some care!) [Devoto, Mazzitelli, in preparation]
- PYTHIA for QCD,QED showers, MPI, hadronization
- $\bullet~\mathrm{OPENLOOPS}$  for tree and one-loop amplitudes, including color- and spin-correlated

#### Massless two-loop corrections

Numerical code based on analytic results [Abreu, Febres Cordero, Ita, Klinkert, Page, VS '21], one-mass pentagon functions in PentagonFunctions++ [Chicherin, VS, Zoia '21]

- ▲ Challenging numerics: huge expressions  $\mathcal{O}(1\text{Gb}) \implies$ high memory usage, elaborate numerical stability checks and rescue through higher precision
  - Noticeable fraction of total CPU time for two-loop contributions

SOON: new "simplified" analytic form [de Laurentis, Ita, Page, VS, in preparation]:  $17 \times$  faster,  $11 \times$  less memory

# Phenomenology

	$\sigma_{ m total} \; [ m pb]$	ratio to NLO
NLO+PS	$32.21(0)^{+16.4\%}_{-13.4\%}$	1.000
MINLO'	$22.33(1)^{+28.2\%}_{-17.9\%}$	0.693
$\mathrm{MiNNLO}_{\mathrm{PS}}$	$50.58(4)^{+16.8\%}_{-12.2\%}$	1.588

- $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV},$  $m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \in [67 \,\text{GeV}, 116 \,\text{GeV}]$
- Main Born scale  $\mu_R = m_{b\bar{b}\ell\ell}$
- Hadronization, MPI, QED shower off
- Effect of PS negligible on inclusive production

	$\sigma_{\mathrm{total}} \; [pb]$	ratio to NLO
NLO+PS	$32.21(0)^{+16.4\%}_{-13.4\%}$	1.000
MINLO'	$22.33(1)^{+28.2\%}_{-17.9\%}$	0.693
$MINNLO_{PS}$	$50.58(4)^{+16.8\%}_{-12.2\%}$	1.588

- $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV},$  $m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \in [67 \,\text{GeV}, 116 \,\text{GeV}]$
- Main Born scale  $\mu_R = m_{b\bar{b}\ell\ell}$
- Hadronization, MPI, QED shower off
- Effect of PS negligible on inclusive production

• NLO not reliable, huge NNLO correction, not due to gluon PDF luminosities

	$\sigma_{\rm total} \; [{\rm pb}]$	ratio to NLO
NLO+PS	$32.21(0)^{+16.4\%}_{-13.4\%}$	1.000
MINLO'	$22.33(1)^{+28.2\%}_{-17.9\%}$	0.693
$MINNLO_{PS}$	$50.58(4)^{+16.8\%}_{-12.2\%}$	1.588

- $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV},$  $m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \in [67 \,\text{GeV}, 116 \,\text{GeV}]$
- Main Born scale  $\mu_R = m_{b\bar{b}\ell\ell}$
- Hadronization, MPI, QED shower off
- Effect of PS negligible on inclusive production

- NLO not reliable, huge NNLO correction, not due to gluon PDF luminosities
- MINLO' prediction unphysical due to large uncompensated  $\log m_b$ , fixed by double-virtual corrections

	$\sigma_{\rm total} \; [{\rm pb}]$	ratio to NLO
NLO+PS	$32.21(0)^{+16.4\%}_{-13.4\%}$	1.000
MINLO'	$22.33(1)^{+28.2\%}_{-17.9\%}$	0.693
$\mathrm{MiNNLO}_{\mathrm{PS}}$	$50.58(4)^{+16.8\%}_{-12.2\%}$	1.588
MINNLO <sub>PS</sub>	-7%	
$(\mathcal{R}_0^{(2)} = 0)$	-170	
MINNLO <sub>PS</sub>	-0.1%	
(no $b$ loops in $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ )	0.170	

- $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV},$  $m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \in [67 \,\text{GeV}, 116 \,\text{GeV}]$
- Main Born scale  $\mu_R = m_{b\bar{b}\ell\ell}$
- Hadronization, MPI, QED shower off
- Effect of PS negligible on inclusive production

- NLO not reliable, huge NNLO correction, not due to gluon PDF luminosities
- MINLO' prediction unphysical due to large uncompensated  $\log m_b$ , fixed by double-virtual corrections
- Massless finite remainder contributes 7% of inclusive cross section (smaller in CMS fiducial region), not flat over phase space!

	$\sigma_{\rm total} \; [{\rm pb}]$	ratio to NLO
NLO+PS	$32.21(0)^{+16.4\%}_{-13.4\%}$	1.000
MINLO'	$22.33(1)^{+28.2\%}_{-17.9\%}$	0.693
$\mathrm{MiNNLO}_{\mathrm{PS}}$	$50.58(4)^{+16.8\%}_{-12.2\%}$	1.588
$\mathrm{MiNNLO}_{\mathrm{PS}}$	_7%	
$(\mathcal{R}_0^{(2)} = 0)$	-170	
MINNLO <sub>PS</sub>	-0.1%	
(no $b$ loops in $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ )	0.170	

- $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV},$  $m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \in [67 \,\text{GeV}, 116 \,\text{GeV}]$
- Main Born scale  $\mu_R = m_{b\bar{b}\ell\ell}$
- Hadronization, MPI, QED shower off
- Effect of PS negligible on inclusive production

- NLO not reliable, huge NNLO correction, not due to gluon PDF luminosities
- MINLO' prediction unphysical due to large uncompensated  $\log m_b$ , fixed by double-virtual corrections
- Massless finite remainder contributes 7% of inclusive cross section (smaller in CMS fiducial region), not flat over phase space!
- $\log m_b$ -enhanced contributions from closed b loops small (larger in CMS fiducial region)

Compare to CMS  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \, {\rm TeV}$  complete Run 2 data [Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 092014]

- Unfolded to fiducial region
- Backgrounds ( $t\bar{t}, Z + jets$ ) subtracted by MC simulations

$\sigma_{ m fiducial}$ [pb]	$Z+\ge 1$ <i>b</i> -jet	$Z+\ge 2$ <i>b</i> -jets	-
NLO+PS	$4.08\pm0.66$	$0.44\pm0.08$	• Hadronization, MPI included
$MINNLO_{PS}$	$6.72\pm0.91$	$0.79\pm0.10$	• Apply experimental definition
NLO+PS (5FS) [CMS]	$7.03\pm0.47$	$0.77\pm0.07$	of <i>b</i> -jets (at the level of
CMS	$6.52\pm0.43$	$0.65\pm0.08$	hadrons)

- $\bullet$  Tension between NLO+PS(4FS) and data lifted upon inclusion of NNLO
- Excellent agreement between  $MINNLO_{PS}$  and NLO+PS(5FS)

## Differential distributions: 1-b-jet



## **Differential distributions:** 1-*b*-jet



- NLO+PS fails to describe normalization
- $p_T^{b\text{-jet}_1}$  shape significantly corrected
- $\bullet~\mathrm{MiNNLO}_\mathrm{PS}~$  predictions in remarkable agreement with data
- Theory uncertainty still larger than experimental in most bins



- Normalization slightly higher than data
- $\bullet$  Still  $\rm MiNNLO_{PS}\,$  predictions in good agreement with data (also seen in other 2-b-jet distributions)

## Angular separation between Z boson and leading b-jet



 $\bullet\,$  Data not described well at high  $\Delta R^{Z,b_{\text{-jet}}}$ 

## Angular separation between Z boson and leading b-jet



- Data not described well at high  $\Delta R^{Z,b_{\text{-jet}}}$
- Traced to  $\Delta Y^{Z,b\text{-jet}_1}$
- Resummation of m<sub>b</sub> logs (5FS) especially important?

# **Conclusions & Outlook**

## Conclusions

- First NNLO QCD predictions for  $pp \rightarrow Zb\bar{b}$ , also matched to PS
- Inclusion of NNLO corrections largely lifts discrepancy between 4FS and 5FS predictions

## Conclusions

## Conclusions

- First NNLO QCD predictions for  $pp \rightarrow Zb\bar{b}$ , also matched to PS
- Inclusion of NNLO corrections largely lifts discrepancy between 4FS and 5FS predictions

## Outlook

- Detailed comparison to fixed order NNLO predictions [Work in progress]
- Comparison to NNLO 5FS predictions (b jets!)
- Careful study of PS and MPI effects
- Significantly improved implementation of two-loop corrections [de Laurentis, Ita, Page, VS, in preparation]
- Eventually public event generator

## Conclusions

#### Conclusions

- First NNLO QCD predictions for  $pp \to Z b \bar{b},$  also matched to PS
- Inclusion of NNLO corrections largely lifts discrepancy between 4FS and 5FS predictions

## Outlook

- Detailed comparison to fixed order NNLO predictions [Work in progress]
- Comparison to NNLO 5FS predictions (b jets!)
- Careful study of PS and MPI effects
- Significantly improved implementation of two-loop corrections [de Laurentis, Ita, Page, VS, in preparation]
- Eventually public event generator

# Thank you for your attention! Stay tuned!

This work has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme grant agreement 101019620 (ERC Advanced Grant TOPUP).





European Research Council Established by the European Commission

# Backup

[arXiv:2112.09659]

Final state:  $Z \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$  (electrons or muons), and at least one (or two) *b*-jets.

- $71\,{\rm GeV} \le m_{\ell^+\ell^-} \le 111\,{\rm GeV}$
- Lepton selection:  $p_T^\ell > 25~{\rm GeV}, \quad p_T^{\ell_1} > 35~{\rm GeV}, \quad |\eta^\ell| < 2.4$
- Events with more than two leptons vetoed
- Leptons "dressed" by adding the momenta of all photons within  $\Delta R^{\gamma,\ell} \leq 0.1$
- Anti- $k_T$  b-jets with R = 0.4,  $p_T^{b\text{-jet}} > 30 \,\text{GeV}$  and  $|\eta^{b\text{-jet}}| < 2.4$
- b tagged if at least one b-flavored hadron in the jet
- Overlap between leptons and b-jets vetoed:  $\Delta R^{\ell,b\text{-jet}} > 0.4$

## Two-loop numerical stability

• Learn to detect unstable points very well, switch to higher precision dynamically.



## New analytic representation

#### New analytic representation after basis change and iterated pole subtractions

[de Laurentis, Ita, Page, VS in preparation]





- Significantly improved stability.
- 17 times faster evaluations, 11 times less memory.