# Recent experimental precision measurements at CMS

Alessandra Cappati

LLR, École Polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3

On behalf of CMS Collaborations

HP2 2024 10 Sept<mark>ember 2024</mark>



EuroTechPostdoc2 Programme





### Motivation for precision measurements

CMS

Precision measurements  $\rightarrow$  pivotal role in **refining** the **SM** 

Test self-consistency of the SM

- look for tensions in direct or indirect measurements
- deviations could arise from new physics
- $\rightarrow$  contribute to shaping a more comprehensive model of the origin of matter and cosmology
- $\rightarrow$  understanding features that affect the early universe and its eventual fate

(e.g. shape of the BEH vacuum potential and the EW vacuum stability)





### Z and W cross-section

**Z cross-section** @13.6 TeV,  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  fundamental measurement and crucial validation for Run3 data

$$\begin{split} (\sigma_{\rm fid}\mathcal{B})_{\rm measured} &= (0.7635 \pm 0.0004({\rm stat}) \pm 0.0069({\rm syst}) \pm 0.0176({\rm lumi}))\,{\rm nb}, \\ (\sigma_{\rm fid}\mathcal{B})_{\rm predicted} &= (0.7666 \pm 0.0065({\rm PDF})^{+0.0021}_{-0.0045}({\rm scale}))\,{\rm nb}, \end{split}$$





### Evolution of Z and W production cross-section (leptonic decays)

- $\rightarrow$  comparison with N3LO in QCD predictions (with MSHT20aN3LO PDF set)
- $\rightarrow$  precise (~2% precision) comparison between theory predictions and experimental measurements



CMS-PAS-SMP-22-017 5.04 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13.6 TeV) Multiboson production: WZ



W a WZ cross-section @13.6 TeV in leptonic final states  $\rightarrow$  very clean final state (85% S/B purity after selection) q W Ζ 34.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13.6 TeV) CMS Preliminarv **CMS** Preliminary MATRIX JHEP 2002 (2020) 087 Total WZ Cross Section (pb) 00 07 05 05 09 09 total sta Results in good agreement 5 TeV (0.302 fb<sup>-1</sup>), PRL 127 (2021) 191801 ..... NNLO OCD × NLO EWK Scale uncertainty 7 TeV (4.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>), EPJC 77 (2017) 236  $\sigma_{total} (pp \rightarrow WZ) \pm (stat) \pm (syst) \pm (lumi) \pm (theo)$ with NNLO QCD x NLO EW 8 TeV (19.6 fb<sup>-1</sup>), EPJC 77 (2017) 236 13 TeV (137 fb<sup>-1</sup>), JHEP 07 (2022) 032 predictions (MATRIX): 13.6 TeV (34.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>), CMS-PAS-SMP-24-005  $53.4\pm3.0\pm3.3\pm0.8\pm0.2~\text{pb}$ eee  $54.7^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$  (scale)  $54.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2 \ \text{pb}$ eeu 52.9 ± 2.1 ± 1.4 ± 0.7 ± 0.1 pb uue  $55.2 \pm 1.2$  (stat)  $\pm 1.2$  (syst)  $\pm 0.8$  (lumi)  $\pm 0.1$  (theo) 55.9 ± 1.9 ± 1.1 ± 0.8 ± 0.1 pb μμμ 10  $55.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.1$  pb pp NNLO QCD x NLO EWK (MATRIX) Inclusive 3.3% relative uncertainty (inclusive) pp NLO (MATRIX)  $\rightarrow$  competitive with Run2 UNLO to NNLO 1.0 90 40 50 60 70 80 100 12 6 8 10 14 CMS-PAS-SMP-24-005  $\sqrt{s}$  (TeV)  $\sigma_{total} (pp \rightarrow WZ) (pb)$ 



Fundamental EW parameter Important measurement to test the SM

Recent result @13 TeV, measured via  $\mathbf{Z}/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mathbf{II}$ 

mixing angle:  $\sin^2 \theta^{\ell}_{eff}$ 

- from forward-backward angular asymmetry A<sub>FR</sub> (same as Run 1)
  - $\rightarrow$  cancellation of detector acceptance and efficiencies syst. unc.
- fit A₄ coefficient while unfolding diff distributions
  → smaller theory and PDF unc., useful for future combination

Most precise measurement at hadron colliders, precision comparable to LEP

 $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^\ell = 0.23157 \pm 0.00010 ({\rm stat}) \pm 0.00015 ({\rm syst}) \pm 0.00009 ({\rm theo}) \pm 0.00027 ({\rm PDF}) \pm 0.00027 ({\rm PDF}) \pm 0.00009 ({\rm theo}) \pm 0.00027 ({\rm PDF}) \pm 0.00009 ({\rm theo}) \pm 0.0$ 



$$\sin^2\theta_{\rm eff}^{\ell} = k^{\ell} \left(1 - m_{\rm W}^2 / m_{\rm Z}^2\right)$$

$$A_{FB} = \sigma_F - \sigma_F / \sigma_F + \sigma_F$$
$$A_{FB} = \frac{3}{8} A_4$$





 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  and  $\tau$  9-2

+ Observed  $/ Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$   $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee/\mu\mu$ 

Uncertainty

Bkg. unc. — γγ→ττ ♦ Obs. – bkq.

5 6

**Constraints** on **a**<sub>r</sub> from m<sub>vis</sub> distribution

 $\rightarrow$  5.3 $\sigma$  observed

 $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ 

CMS

1500

1000

500

100

50

0

2 3

Bkg.

Events

First **observation** of  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  in pp collisions

138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

8 9

Ntracks

Jet mis-ID

Events

Obs./Exp.

 $a_{\tau} = 0.0009^{+0.0016}_{-0.0015} \text{ (syst)}^{+0.0028}_{-0.0027} \text{ (stat)}$ 

300

250

200

150

100

50

1.5

0.5

 $\mu \tau_{\rm b}, N_{\rm tracks} = 0$ 

- Observed

 $\mathbf{Z}/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 

 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ 

100

CMS

138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)

 $Z/\gamma^*$  ( $\rightarrow$  ττ) + VV

Jet mis-ID

Uncertainty

 $-SM a_{\tau} - a_{\tau} = 0.008$ 

500

m<sub>vis</sub> (GeV)

150











 $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{OPAL} \\ ee \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \gamma \end{array}$ PLB 434 (1998) 188

#### $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{L3} \\ ee \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \gamma \end{array}$ PLB 434 (1998) 169

DELPHI  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  ( $\gamma$  from e) ÉPJC 35 (2004) 159

ATLAS  $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$  ( $\gamma$  from Pb) PRL 131 (2023) 151802

CMS  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  ( $\gamma$  from Pb) PRL 131 (2023) 151803

CMS  $\rightarrow \tau \tau$  ( $\gamma$  from p) This result

— 68% CL — 95% CL SM -0.1-0.050.05 0 a<sub>τ</sub>





### Deep connection to both EW and QCD sectors

Large  $m_t \rightarrow$  Yukawa coupling ~ unity  $\rightarrow$  key parameter for vacuum stability

Excellent setting to **test pQCD predictions** (cross-section,  $\alpha_{s}$ ..)

Production and decay sensitive to new physics (anomalous couplings, CP violation, spin correlation..)



### top quark pair production



CMS-TOP-20-006

tt cross-section @13 TeV, II+jets events

- $\rightarrow$  complete set of differential results
  - production and decay observables
  - single, double, triple differential
  - particle and parton level (for comparison to pQCD computations)

 $\rightarrow$  1D distribution reasonably well described  $\rightarrow$  2D/3D often not well described by any generator



Additional jets

tW production



**Single-top +W** cross-section @13.6 TeV, in  $e_{\mu}$  channel

- sensitivity to V<sub>tb</sub> and b-PDF
- tW @NLO interferes with tt
- large  $t\overline{t}$  background  $\rightarrow$  ML to separate tW from  $t\overline{t}$





auark mass





.

#### **Direct measurements**



**Improvements** to the measurement **over the past years**: better calibrations, alternative techniques, improved theoretical modelling

**Indirect measurement**, from cross-section  $\rightarrow \sim 1\%$  precision

#### Direct measurement, from top quark decays

 $\rightarrow$  better precision

Most precise result to date from ATLAS+CMS comb:  $m_t=172.52 \pm 0.33 \text{ GeV}$ 

Projection for total uncertainty on m, for different techniques





Higgs boson

Over 10 years after the Higgs boson discovery

 $\rightarrow$  Many new measurements possible, new channels accessible

 $\rightarrow$  H properties studied with **precision** 



- main production processes and decay channels established
- measurement more differential
  - search for decays to second generation
- search for HH

- H



### H production cross-section

CMS

H fiducial cross-section **@13.6 TeV**, in 4I and  $\gamma\gamma$  final states  $\rightarrow$  crucial validation for Run3 data and objects (e,  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma$ ) performance

measurements still statistically dominated

Syst dominated by

 $\rightarrow$  photon scale/resolution ( $\gamma\gamma$ )

 $\rightarrow$  electron efficiency (4I)



### Cross-section for production/decay mode

CMS

Signal strength: ratio of the measured cross-section and the SM expectation



Simplified Template Cross-Sections

More data available  $\rightarrow$  probe the Higgs kinematics in a more model independent way

STXS: categorize events in simplified kinematic regions  $\rightarrow$  maximize sensitivity to isolate BSM effects while reducing theory dependence

STXS Stage 1.2 : splitting based on number of jets and kinematic selections  $(p_{\tau}^{H})$ 







 $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$  channel great handle for **large jet** 

multiplicity region

key to Higgs boson properties characterization (production and decay)  $\rightarrow$  test SM predictions for full spectra of variable of interest  $\rightarrow$  measured in fiducial phase space  $\rightarrow$  largely **model independent** 

CMS 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) Full Run 2 results from CMS (fb)  $\sigma_{\text{fid}} \left( \text{fb} \right)$ CMS Observed p-value(POWHEG): 0.84 10<sup>3</sup> Observed - no regularization in different decay channels  $d\sigma_{fid}/dD_{0-}^{dec}$ Systematic uncertainty gg→H (POWHEG) + XH  $aa \rightarrow H (NNLOPS) + XH$ SM (POWHEG + JHUGen + Pvthia) XH = VBF + VH + ttH (POWHEG) AC (POWHEG + JHUGen f\_=1 + Pythia) Consistent with SM, meas.  $10^{2}$ (LHCHWG YR4, m, =125.38 GeV) still stat. limited 10 In  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4I$  ME discriminants. sensitive to **HVV** anomalous Ratio to NNLOPS 2.5 Ratio to SM 1.5 2 couplings 1.5  $\rightarrow D_0^{\text{dec}}$  sensitive to possible 0.5 0.5 3 2 **CP-violation effects** ٦Ô 0.3 040.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 N<sub>iets</sub>  $D_{o}^{dec}(4e+4u)$ JHEP 08 (2023) 040 Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 081805

### Anomalous couplings





![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

Measurement in decay channels with **good resolution**:  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  and  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4I$ 

In H→4I

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

**CMS** Preliminary

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

SM H width (4.1 MeV)  $\rightarrow$  inferred from off-shell/on-shell cross-section ratio in pp $\rightarrow$ H $\rightarrow$ ZZ (4l+2l2 $\nu$ )

 $\frac{\sigma_{offshell}}{\sigma} \propto \Gamma_H$ CMS Preliminary 138 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)  $\sigma_{onshell}$ Observed Expected **Off-shell** region ( $m_{4l}^{reco} > 200 \text{ GeV}$ ) studied in 4l off-shell + on-shell 20  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$  and  $H \rightarrow \ddot{Z}Z \rightarrow 2I2\nu$ 4l off-shell + on-shell + 2l2v off-shell -2 dln L combination with on-shell  $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ +  $\Gamma_{\rm H} = 2.9^{+2.3}_{-1.7} \,{\rm MeV}$ 10 In agreement with SM predictions 95% CL In addition: direct constraint on  $\Gamma_{\rm H}$ : <330 MeV @95% CL 68% CL zero off-shell H production hp excluded at  $3.9\sigma$ -15 10  $\Gamma_{H}$  (MeV)

CMS-PAS-HIG-21-019

A.Cappati

Higgs boson width

## CMS

### HH production

HH production  $\rightarrow$  directly study Higgs boson self-coupling and Higgs potential

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

destructive interference in SM  $\rightarrow$  tiny cross-section (31.05 fb)  $\rightarrow$  Experimentally challenging

### Many **improvements** over past years $\rightarrow$ promising results!

$$\sigma$$
(HH) < 3.4 (2.5)  $\sigma_{SM}$   
-1.24 (-2.28) <  $k_{\lambda}$  < 6.49 (7.94)  
0.67 (0.61) <  $k_{2V}$  < 1.38 (1.42)

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

CMS

**Selection** of recent precision measurements at CMS presented Many other results available, not covered by this talk!

The LHC has proved to be more than capable as a precision physics machine

Comprehensive characterization of the SM  $\rightarrow$  measurements becoming more precise and more differential  $\rightarrow$  probe more extreme regions of phase space

Overall good agreement with SM predictions

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

Much more to be learned about the SM with Run3 and HL-LHC data!