## **Precision luminosity measurement**

## F. Piccinini



## 2nd ECFA Workshop on $e^+e^-~{\rm Higgs/EW/Top}$ Factories

Paestum, October 11-13, 2023

Expert Team: A. Freitas; I. Bozovic, M. Dam, FP, W. Placzek, A. Sailer, M. Skrzypek, G. Wilson

#### \* MiniWorkshop: Luminosity (WG1-PREC), 16/12/2022 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1218043/

organised by A. Irles, A. Meyer, A. Freitas, P. Azzurri

#### ★ timetable:

- Introduction
- Theory uncertainties for  $e^+e^-$  luminosity measurements (S. Jadach)
- Luminosity measurements at future circular colliders (Mogens Dam)
- Luminosity Spectrum Reconstruction at Linear Colliders (Andre Sailer)
- Discussion on open questions

#### \* ET Group meeting on 11 May 2023 https://indico.cern.ch/event/1285690/

Luminosity is a key quantity for several absolute cross section measurements at an  $e^+e^-$  machine, e.g.

- $\sigma_Z^0$ , the Z peak cross section
- light neutrino species from radiative return  $(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma)$
- $\Gamma_Z$  from the line-shape of  $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$
- $M_W$  and  $\Gamma_W$  from line-shape of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  close to threshold
- total cross section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow HZ \rightarrow HZZ$  coupling and total  $\Gamma_H$

• Instead of getting the luminosity from machine parameters, it's more effective to exploit the relation

$$\sigma = \frac{N}{L} \quad \rightarrow \quad L = \frac{N_{\rm ref}}{\sigma_{\rm theory}} \qquad \quad \frac{\delta L}{L} = \frac{\delta N_{\rm ref}}{N_{\rm ref}} \oplus \frac{\delta \sigma_{\rm theory}}{\sigma_{\rm theory}}$$

- Reference processes required to have
  - large rates (so as not to be statistics limited)
  - Iow backgrounds
  - good control of systematics
    - particle ID, acceptance, . . .
    - theory: differential cross sections calculable with high theoretical precision, fully exclusive Monte Carlo generators required

- In the past (LEP)
  - $\star$  Small-angle Bhabha scattering at LEP:  ${\sim}0.05\%$
- In the past/at present (flavour factories)
  - \* Large-angle QED processes as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  (Bhabha),  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , to achieve a typical precision at the level of  $1 \div 0.1\%$

- In the past (LEP)
  - $\star$  Small-angle Bhabha scattering at LEP:  ${\sim}0.05\%$
- In the past/at present (flavour factories)
  - \* Large-angle QED processes as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  (Bhabha),  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ , to achieve a typical precision at the level of  $1 \div 0.1\%$

- Realistic uncertainty target for future  $e^+e^-$  colliders?
  - at Z pole  $10^{-4}$  or better for the overall luminosity calibration
  - $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$  at  $\sqrt{s} \ge 240 \text{ GeV}$
  - $10^{-5}$  for point-to-point luminosity control (relative uncertainty between two close c.o.m. energies or two beam polarization settings)

• Bhabha scattering strongly peaked in the forward region  $d\sigma/d\theta \sim 1/\theta^3 \implies$  special lumi detector (LumiCal) covering the region  $\theta < 100$  mrad centered around the outgoing beams



M. Damm, talk at ECFA MiniWorkshop: Luminosity, 16/12/2022

• Bhabha scattering strongly peaked in the forward region  $d\sigma/d\theta \sim 1/\theta^3 \implies$  special lumi detector (LumiCal) covering the region  $\theta < 100$  mrad centered around the outgoing beams



M. Damm, talk at ECFA MiniWorkshop: Luminosity, 16/12/2022

#### • Systematics (theory)

- QED corrections
- hadronic contribution to photon vacuum polarization

• Bhabha scattering strongly peaked in the forward region  $d\sigma/d\theta \sim 1/\theta^3 \implies$  special lumi detector (LumiCal) covering the region  $\theta < 100$  mrad centered around the outgoing beams



M. Damm, talk at ECFA MiniWorkshop: Luminosity, 16/12/2022

#### • Systematics (theory)

- QED corrections
- hadronic contribution to photon vacuum polarization

### • Systematics (exp)

- detector related uncertainties
- beam related uncertainties
- uncertainties originating from physics and machine related interactions

• Bhabha scattering strongly peaked in the forward region  $d\sigma/d\theta \sim 1/\theta^3 \implies$  special lumi detector (LumiCal) covering the region  $\theta < 100$  mrad centered around the outgoing beams



M. Damm, talk at ECFA MiniWorkshop: Luminosity, 16/12/2022

#### • Systematics (theory)

- QED corrections
- hadronic contribution to photon vacuum polarization

### • Systematics (exp)

- detector related uncertainties
- beam related uncertainties
- uncertainties originating from physics and machine related interactions
- Large statistics  $\implies$  ideal process for the point-to-point lumi control

#### • theoretical error in SABS at LEP1 by the end of operation

Type of correction/error	(%)	(%)	updated (%)
missing photonic $O(\alpha^2 L)$	0.100	0.027	0.027
missing photonic $O(\alpha^3 L^3)$	0.015	0.015	0.015
vacuum polarization	0.040	0.040	0.040
light pairs	0.030	0.030	0.010
Z-exchange	0.015	0.015	0.015
total	0.110	0.061	0.054

 I column: S. Jadach, O. Nicrosini et al. Physics at LEP2 YR 96-01, Vol. 2 A. Arbuzov et al., Phys. Lett. B389 (1996) 129
II column: B.F.L. Ward, S. Jadach, M. Melles, S.A. Yost, hep-ph/9811245
III column: G. Montagna et al., Nucl. Phys. B547 (1999) 39

#### experimental systematics: 0.034%

G. Abbiendi et al., (OPAL), Eur. Phys. J. C14 (2000) 373

#### • theoretical error in SABS at LEP1 by the end of operation

Type of correction/error	(%)	(%)	updated (%)
missing photonic $O(\alpha^2 L)$	0.100	0.027	0.027
missing photonic $O(\alpha^3 L^3)$	0.015	0.015	0.015
vacuum polarization	0.040	0.040	0.040
light pairs	0.030	0.030	0.010
Z-exchange	0.015	0.015	0.015
total	0.110	0.061	0.054

I column: S. Jadach, O. Nicrosini et al. Physics at LEP2 YR 96-01, Vol. 2 A. Arbuzov et al., Phys. Lett. B389 (1996) 129 II column: B.F.L. Ward, S. Jadach, M. Melles, S.A. Yost, hep-ph/9811245 III column: G. Montagna et al., Nucl. Phys. B547 (1999) 39

#### experimental systematics: 0.034%

G. Abbiendi et al., (OPAL), Eur. Phys. J. C14 (2000) 373

 after LEP, several progresses in perturbative (two-loop) contributions to QED Bhabha scattering and different matching schemes between fixed order and multiphoton emission (e.g. YFS and parton shower for exclusive event generation)

#### • theoretical error in SABS at LEP1 by the end of operation

Type of correction/error	(%)	(%)	updated (%)
missing photonic $O(\alpha^2 L)$	0.100	0.027	0.027
missing photonic $O(\alpha^3 L^3)$	0.015	0.015	0.015
vacuum polarization	0.040	0.040	0.040
light pairs	0.030	0.030	0.010
Z-exchange	0.015	0.015	0.015
total	0.110	0.061	0.054

I column: S. Jadach, O. Nicrosini et al. Physics at LEP2 YR 96-01, Vol. 2 A. Arbuzov et al., Phys. Lett. B389 (1996) 129 II column: B.F.L. Ward, S. Jadach, M. Melles, S.A. Yost, hep-ph/9811245 III column: G. Montagna et al., Nucl. Phys. B547 (1999) 39

#### experimental systematics: 0.034%

G. Abbiendi et al., (OPAL), Eur. Phys. J. C14 (2000) 373

 after LEP, several progresses in perturbative (two-loop) contributions to QED Bhabha scattering and different matching schemes between fixed order and multiphoton emission (e.g. YFS and parton shower for exclusive event generation)

• progresses in hadronic contribution to vacuum polarization: new low energy data and determinations from different groups

• "The path to 0.01% theoretical luminosity precision for the FCC-ee"

S. Jadach, W. Placzek, M. Skrzypek, B.F.L. Ward and S.A. Yost, Phys Lett B790 (2019) 314

"Improved Bhabha cross section at LEP and the number of light neutrino species"
P. Janot and S. Jadach, Phys. Lett. B803 (2020) 135319

• "Study of theoretical luminosity precision for electron colliders at higher energies"

S. Jadach, W. Placzek, M. Skrzypek and B.F.L. Ward, Eur. Phys. J. C81 (2021) 1047



#### Table 3

Inspired from Refs. [28,29,25]: Summary of the theoretical uncertainties for a typical LEP luminosity detector covering the angular range from 58 to 110 mrad (first generation) or from 30 to 50 mrad (second generation). The total uncertainty is the guadratic sum of the individual components.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by SCOAP3

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

tension with the Standard Model is gone.

LEP Publication in:	1994		2000		2019	
LumiCal generation	1st	2nd	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
Photonic $O(\alpha^2 L_e)$	0.15%	0.15%	0.027%	0.027%	0.027%	0.027%
Photonic $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3 L_e^3)$	0.09%	0.09%	0.015%	0.015%	0.015%	0.015%
Z exchange	0.11%	0.03%	0.09%	0.015%	0.090%	0.015%
Vacuum polarization	0.10%	0.05%	0.08%	0.040%	0.015%	(0.009%)
Fermion pairs	0.05%	0.04%	0.05%	0.040%	0.010%	0.010%
Total	0.25%	0.16%	0.13%	0.061%	0.100%	0.037%

3

S. Jadach, talk@Lumi miniworkshop (2022)

# The lumi TH precision forecast for three future electron colliders



Table 4 Forecast of the total (physical) theoretical uncertainty for the FCCee<sub>350</sub>, ILC 1000 and CLIC luminosity calorimetric detectors with angular acceptances as defined in the text. Number (\*) is likely overestimated. The total error is summed in quadrature. A technical error is not included

Forecast			
Type of correction/error	FCCee <sub>350</sub>	ILC1000	CLIC <sub>3000</sub>
(a) Photonic $O(L_e^2 \alpha^3)$	$0.13 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.15 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.20 \times 10^{-4}$
(b) Photonic $\mathcal{O}(L_e^4 \alpha^4)$	$0.27  imes 10^{-4}$	$0.37  imes 10^{-4}$	$0.63 \times 10^{-4}$
(c) Vacuum polariz.	$1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.1  imes 10^{-4}$	$1.2  imes 10^{-4}$
(d) Light pairs	$0.4  imes 10^{-4}$	$0.5  imes 10^{-4}$	$0.7  imes 10^{-4}$
(e) Z and s-channel $\gamma$ exchange	$1.0 \times 10^{-4(*)}$	$2.4  imes 10^{-4}$	$16 \times 10^{-4}$
(f) Up-down interference	$0.1  imes 10^{-4}$	$< 0.1  imes 10^{-4}$	$0.1  imes 10^{-4}$
Total	$1.6 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.7  imes 10^{-4}$	$16  imes 10^{-4}$



S. Jadach, talk@Lumi miniworkshop (2022)

- detector aperture, position and alignment
  - important systematics from acceptance definition

$$\frac{\delta \sigma^{\rm acc}}{\sigma^{\rm acc}} \sim \frac{2 \delta \theta_{\rm min}}{\theta_{\rm min}} = 2 \left( \frac{\delta R_{\rm min}}{R_{\rm min}} \oplus \frac{\delta z}{z} \right)$$

- dicussed for ILC@500 GeV, should be revisited for latest proposed detector design and ILC operating scenarios
- at FCC-ee, the design of the MDI region requires the lumi monitor to be placed closer to the IP compared to LEP or ILC, putting higher requirements on the position precision for the same angular acceptance uncertainty

	LEP [28]	FCC-ee (Z pole)	ILC [31], [32]
			$(\sqrt{s} > 250 \text{ GeV})$
LumiCal distance from IP [m]	2.5	1.1	2.48
Precision target	$3.4  imes 10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$
Tolerance for			
inner radius [µm]	4.4	O(1)	4
outer radius [µm]	?	$\lesssim 3$	?
distance between two LumiCals [ $\mu$ m]	O(100)	< 100	200

## **Experimental challenges**

- beam properties and its delivery to the IP
  - beam-energy asymmetry
  - energy calibration
    - selection of Bhabha events over background requires accurate calibration of the LumiCal energy scale

S. Lukic, I. Božović-Jelisavčić, M. Pandurović and I. Smiljanić, JINST 8 (2013) P05008

• IP displacements due to finite transverse beam sizes and beam synchronization; beam spread effects

to be quantified for linear colliders

for circular colliders discussed in

I. Smiljianic et al., JINST 17 (2022) P09014 (E12001 (2022))

- Impact of beam-beam interactions on Bhabha count
  - beamstrahlung modifies the differential rate of Bhabha scattering and electromagnetic deflection, in particular at lower c.o.m. energies (Z-pole) effect studied at linear colliders

I. Božović Jelisavčić et al., JINST 8 (2013) P08012; S. Lukic and I. Božović-Jelisav, JINST 8 (2013) P05008 and circular colliders in

G. Voutsinas, E. Perez, M. Dam and P. Janot, Phys. Lett. B800 (2020) 135068

- Focusing of final state particles:  ${\cal O}(10^{-3})$  correction due to scattered  $e^\pm$  propagating through beam bunches
- Machine and physics background
  - photon conversion to  $e^+e^-$  pairs important at linear colliders, at high c.o.m. energies
  - two-photon process (Landau-Lifshitz) as a source of physics background should also be considered. discussed for linear colliders in H. Abramowicz, JINST 5 (2010) PI2002

- ✓ at LO, purely QED process, *at any energy*
- ✓ at NLO, weak corrections (loops with  $Z \& W^{\pm}$ ), but not fermionic loops yet (in particular, *no hadronic loops*)
- ✓ hadronic vacuum polarization (and its uncertainty) enters only at NNLO (2-loops, order  $\alpha^2$ )
- ✓  $d\sigma/d\cos\theta \sim 1/\sin^2\theta$  )  $\implies$  lowest angle acceptance less critical than for Bhabha
- $\checkmark$  Large Bhabha background, in particular at Z pole
- X At NNLO also Ligh-by-Light contribution present, (with its uncertainty)
- X Statistics lower than Bhabha for respective typical event selections
- ✗ Lack of independent MC codes for cross-checks/validation

## Pure weak corrections



C.M. Carloni Calame, M. Chiesa, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, FP, Phys. Lett. B798 (2019) 134976

"small" at FCC-ee energies, larger for higher energies

BabaYaga

р2 е+ 75 7 9 р1	$k_2$ $p_2$ $\gamma$ $\gamma$ $q_2$ $\gamma$ $\gamma$ $q_2$ $k_1$ $p_1$	The provided and the pr	n e n	$k_{1}$ $p_{1}^{k_{1}}$ $p_{2}^{k_{1}}$ $p_{1}^{k_{1}}$ $p_{2}^{k_{1}}$	Monte and a second seco
	$\sigma^{\mathrm{NNLO}}_{\Delta \alpha_{had}} \pm \delta$	$\delta \sigma \stackrel{\text{very naive!}}{\approx} (\sigma_{\text{QED}}^{\text{NLO}} - \sigma)$	$\sigma^{LO}\big) \times [\Delta \alpha_{ha}]$	$_{d}(s)\pm\delta\Deltalpha_{had}$	,]
	$\sqrt{s}$ (GeV)	$\Delta \alpha_{had}(s)^*$	$\delta\sigma/\sigma_{LO}$ [1]	$\delta\sigma/\sigma_{LO}$ [2]	
	91	$(276.7 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	
	160	$(309.1 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$	
	240	$(333.2 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$	
	365	$(358.5 \pm 1.2) \cdot 10^{-4}$	$3.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	

LbL contribution, with its uncertainty, should be quantified

<sup>\*</sup>from F. Jegerlehner's recent hadr5n16.f

- Statistical precision: event selection limits the analysis to the central tracker region,  $|\cos \theta \le 0.9| \implies$  precision limited to
  - $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$  @Z-pole with 10 ab<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\sim 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 250 {\rm ~GeV}$  with  $5 {\rm ~ab}^{-1}$
- Background: overall lumi precision of  $10^{-4}$  requires the reduction of Bhabha events by a factor  $10^{-6}$ , i.e.  $10^{-3}$  per track
- Background from  $\pi^0$  production expected to be small,  $\mathcal{BR}(Z \to \pi^0 \gamma) \sim 10^{-11}$

Y. Grossman et al., JHEP 04 (2015) 101

• Acceptance:  $10^{-4}$  precision requires a precision on the angular acceptance of  $50 \ \mu$ rad, looser w.r.t. SABS, but in the central detector region

- Bhabha scattering
  - BHLUMI 4.04
  - BHWIDE
  - BabaYaga
  - MCGPJ
- Di-photon
  - BabaYaga
  - BKQED

- Further detailed investigating of di-photon production for precision determination of the integrated luminosity would be important
- Bhabha scattering preferred for the point-to-point luminosity control (leading systematics tend to cancel in the point-to-point comparison) Needed further studies on the correlations between lumi measurements at different c.o.m. energies
- Needed detailed studies of LumiCal detectors for different collider setups and detector concepts
- Radiation of additional fermion pairs currently not implemented in the dedicated MC codes
- Detailed quantitative analysis of beamstrahlung on lumi determination necessary
- Other potentially interesting processes for lumi measurements?