

HSE Occupational Health & Safety and Environmental Protection unit

Challenges and strategies in planning Long Shutdown 3 for the HL-LHC Upgrades of the LHC experiments at CERN



<u>R. Froeschl</u>, D. Bozzato, T. Lorenzon 30/05/2024 SATIF-16 workshop

EDMS 3092765



LHC - Large Hadron Collider // SPS - Super Proton Synchrotron // PS - Proton Synchrotron // AD - Antiproton Decelerator // CLEAR - CERN Linear Electron Accelerator for Research // AWAKE - Advanced WAKefield Experiment // ISOLDE - Isotope Separator OnLine // REX/HIE - Radioactive EXperiment/High Intensity and Energy ISOLDE // LEIR - Low Energy Ion Ring // LINAC - LINear ACcelerator // n_TOF - Neutrons Time Of Flight // HiRadMat - High-Radiation to Materials



LHC / HL-LHC Plan







Radiation Protection Aspects of LHC Experiments in LS3

- Radiation sources
- Multiple and prolonged interventions in radiation areas
- Radiological characterization of detector components and infrastructure
- Planning for re-start





https://op-webtools.web.cern.ch/vistar/?usr=LHC1

Radiation Sources

- Main radiation source: Collisions at the interaction point
- Collision rate (luminosity) predictions for future operation
- Process to update collision profiles annually with measured data from the last operational year





Interventions in the LHC Experiments

- LS3 will last 3 years
 - Many and long interventions in the experimental caverns (radiation areas)
- CERN ALARA rules require establishment of Work-Dose-plannings
 - guide optimization process
 - define appropriate approval process
 - Ensure dose limits and constraints are respected
- Estimates of residual dose rates at workplaces for several detector configurations for a multitude of cool-down times needed as input for Work-Dose-plannings



Residual dose rates assessments – ATLAS

• ATLAS:

- General-purpose detector at the LHC
- 46 m long, 25 m high and 25 m wide, ~7000 tons weight
- **Opening procedure** is in steps
- Long shutdown 3:
 - Upgrades and new installations in view of HL-LHC
 - Multiple and **prolonged interventions** in residual radiation environments
 - Monte Carlo simulations to provide input for the Work Dose Planning





Residual dose rates

ATLAS LS 3 - Opening

- ATLAS Detector configurations: 16 scenarios defined with ATLAS Technical Coordination to reach sufficient level of detail
- FLUKA-SESAME¹ two-step simulations
- Full irradiation history up to the end of Run 3
- Cool-down times:
 Grid of 25 cool-down times (from days to 30 years)
- A few hundreds of CPU-days of simulation time



ATLAS LS 3 - ID decommissioning 2



¹ See T. Lorenzon at SATIF-15



Residual dose rates

ATLAS LS 3 - Large opening



٠

٠

٠

Radiological characterization of detector components and infrastructure

- Zoning
- Characterization for transport classification
- Characterization for storage requirements definition
- Refurbishment of detector components
- Pre-characterization for clearance and waste



Zoning concept for clearance

- Partitioning of experimental cavern in 2 zones
 - Global control zone: Activation levels a priori expected to be below clearance limit
 - Individual control zone: Activation levels not excluded to exceed clearance limit
- **Different measurement procedures** for these zone (verification / clearance measurements)
- **Definition** of zones **based** on **simulations** + verification with **samples**
- Simulations assessment performed in most cases with dedicated methodology
 - -> Fluence Conversion coefficients method





Zoning – ATLAS in LS3





30/05/2024

12

Characterization for transport classification

- Radionuclide inventories needed to ensure ADR compliant classification of transports
 - Very large objects for which certified containers are difficult to obtain
- FLUKA Monte Carlo simulations to compute radionuclide inventories
 - Standard FLUKA simulations with RESNUCLE scoring
 - Post-processing of SESAME data
 - Spectra-based

30/05/2024

• These results are passed to radioactive transport service for proper transport classification

Example: CMS Endcap (YE1) noses

6 meters diameter, ~150 tons





SATIF 16 - Planning Long Shutdown 3 for HL-LHC Upgrades of LHC Experiments

Characterization for transport classification



Mass-specific radionuclide inventory in one CMS YE1 nose after 90 days of cool-down (top contributors only)

Isotope	Activity [Bq/g]				
65 Zn	$2.07E{+}01 \pm 0.19$ %				
^{185}W	$1.69E + 01 \pm 0.30$ %				
$^{3}\mathrm{H}$	$4.00\mathrm{E}{+00}\pm0.15~\%$				
^{181}W	$3.80{\rm E}{+}00\pm0.32~\%$				
$^{58}\mathrm{Co}$	$3.60E{+}00 \pm 0.23$ %				
$^{57}\mathrm{Co}$	$3.49E+00 \pm 0.24$ %				
55 Fe	$2.05E{+}00\pm0.20~\%$				
179 Ta	$1.64{\rm E}{+}00\pm0.33~\%$				
^{54}Mn	$1.43{\rm E}{+}00\pm0.26~\%$				
$^{56}\mathrm{Co}$	$9.38\text{E-}01\pm0.29$ %				
$^{7}\mathrm{Be}$	$8.87\text{E-}01\pm0.22~\%$				
$^{175}{ m Hf}$	$8.72\text{E-}01\pm0.36~\%$				
60 Co	$8.70\text{E-}01\pm0.24$ %				
$^{49}\mathrm{V}$	$6.55\text{E-}01\pm0.32~\%$				



Planning for re-start

- Restart period might require assessments of detector configurations and beam conditions different from nominal operation
 - Pilot beam in 2021
 - Prompt radiation for modified shielding configuration
 - **Dedicated clearance zoning** in the experiments (scaffoldings, structural supports, ...)
 - RP input needed to define beam parameters
- The actual start-up configurations will depend on the progress of LS3
- Importance of knowledge conservation



15

20

5

10

Distance from IP [m]

D. Bozzato, R. Froeschl. https://doi.org/10.1080/00295639.2023.2211191 (ICRS14/RPSD-2022)

Conclusions

- Long Shutdown 3 will be very complex in terms of radiation protection for the LHC Experiments
- Residual dose rate estimates for Work-Dose-plannings
- Radiological characterization of big and complex environments and detector components
- **Dedicated tools** coupled to FLUKA code **developed** to perform required assessments
- Need to plan for the **re-start after LS3**







HSE Occupational Health & Safety and Environmental Protection unit

Backup



ALARA levels at CERN for the classification of interventions

Group 1 Criteria: 'hard' limits used to determine the minimum ALARA Level

Individual dose equi.	Level I	100 μSv	Level II	1 mSv	
Collective dose equi.		500 μSv	Levern	5 mSv	Leverm

Group 2 Criteria: base of a radiological risk assessment

Ambient dose equivalent rate		50 μSv/hr		2 mSv/hr	
Airborne activity in CA	Level I	5 CA	Level II	200 CA	Level III
Surface contamination in CS		10 CS		100 CS	



Fluence conversion coefficients method

Let's consider a compound material constituted by a certain number of elements e having mass fractions m_e . The material is irradiated, and residual activity is left. The specific activity of a radionuclide b in the material can be expressed as

Specific activity
$$A_b$$
 of
radionuclide b
 $A_b = \sum_{r} \sum_{e} T_{br} \frac{N_{AV}}{M_e} m_e \sum_{i=p,\pi^{\pm},n,\gamma} \int \sigma_{i,e,r}(E) \phi_i(E) dE \approx \sum_{r} \sum_{e} T_{br} \frac{N_{AV}}{M_e} m_e \sum_{i=p,\pi^{\pm},n,\gamma} \sum_{\bar{E}} \sigma_{i,e,r}(\bar{E}) \Phi_i(\bar{E}) +$
Fluence energy spectrum integrated over the energy bin of width dE centered around energy \bar{E}
Production term $P_{r,e}$: production of radionuclide r from element e due to particles $i = p, \pi^{\pm}, n, \gamma, \dots$
Production term $P_{r,e}$ for the considered bin

$$-\sum_{b} \frac{1}{w_{b}} A_{b} \approx \sum_{i=p, \pi^{\pm}, n, \gamma} \sum_{\overline{E}} \Phi_{i}(\overline{E}) \left(\sum_{b, r, e} \frac{1}{w_{b}} T_{br} \frac{N_{AV}}{M_{e}} \sigma_{i, e, r}(\overline{E}) m_{e} \right)$$

Weighting coefficient that can be applied for particle i and for the energy bin that is centered around \overline{E}



30/05/2024

dependent irradiation time/profile and cooling time (Bateman coefficients).