Dark matter in Extra-Dimensions

Andrea Donini Instituto de Física Corpuscular (CSIC/UV) Valencia

Based on:

Folgado, Donini and Rius, *JHEP* 01 (2020) 161 Folgado, Donini and Rius, *JHEP* 04 (2020) 036 Folgado, Donini and Rius, *Eur.Phys.J.C* 81 (2021) 3, 197 Bernal, Donini, Folgado and Rius, *JHEP* 09 (2020) 142 Bernal, Donini, Folgado and Rius, *JHEP* 04 (2021) 061 Bernal, Cosme, Donini and Rius, in preparation

Motivations

The Standard Model works extremely well, but.... it is not the End of (high-energy physics) History

Neutrino masses.... Dark Matter.... Baryogenesis.... Dark Energy.... Quantum Gravity...



If the SM is an effective (low-energy) theory

HIERARCHY PROBLEM

Solving the HP?

Many proposals have been advanced to solve the Hierarchy Problem. To name a few:

SUPERSYMMETRY (MSSM, mSUGRA, split, NMSSM, etc) TECHNICOLOR (walking, running, extended, etc) COMPOSITE HIGGS EXTRA-DIMENSIONS (flat, RS, CW/LD, ...) Other ideas I forgot (but maybe you don't)...

> No hints of any of the implications of these solutions to the HP have been discovered at the LHC, after Run I and Run II. We have many bounds, though....

Solving the HP?

Many proposals have been advanced to solve the Hierarchy Problem. To name a few:

SUPERSYMMETRY (MSSM, mSUGRA, split, NMSSM, etc)

What then?

nave been discovered at the LHC, after Run I and Run II. We have many bounds, though....

(F-word) the HP!

Maybe, we could salvage some of these ideas, and use them to solve one (or more) of the observational open problems of the SM. Choose from the previous list: Dark Matter, Dark Energy, Neutrino Masses, Baryogenesis, Quantum Gravity,...

Why?

Because they are very clever ideas, with huge parameter space, with the drawback that they do not work to solve the HP

(F-word) the HP!

Maybe, we could salvage some of these ideas.

I will review our attempt to explain the observed DARK MATTER RELIC ABUNDANCE Ω_{DM} using EXTRA-DIMENSIONAL MODELS

Evidence for Dark Matter







Gravitational lensing



Rotation Curves of Galaxies

Galaxy clusters

Structure formation



Cosmic microwave background

Why Extra-Dimensions?

The only evidence for Dark Matter is that it GRAVITATES

Extra Spatial Dimensions are usually a key ingredient in attempts to QUANTISE GRAVITY

In extra-dimensional frameworks, gravity is stronger than in 4-dimensions: GRAVITATIONAL PORTAL

Extra-dimensions

Add one or more spatial dimensions to your space-time
Choose some geometry
Compactify extra spatial dimensions
Add SM fields at some defects in your manifold (branes)

Extra-dimensional models in the literature

- A. Large Extra-Dimensions (Antoniadis et al., Phys. Lett. B436 (1998) 257)
- B. Warped (Randall and Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370)
- C. Clockwork/Linear Dilaton (Giudice and McCullough, JHEP02 (2017) 036)

Extra-dimensions

Add one or more spatial dimensions to your space-time
Choose some geometry
Compactify extra spatial dimensions
Add SM fields at some defects in your manifold (branes)

Extra-dimensional models in the literature

- A. Large Extra-Dimensions (Antoniadis et al., Phys. Lett. B436 (1998) 257)
- B. Warped (Randall and Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3370)
- C. Clockwork/Linear Dilaton (Giudice and McCullough, JHEP02 (2017) 036)

Features of RS and CW/LD

D = 4 + 1 $M_5 = M_4 X Z_2$

$$ds^{2} = e^{2\sigma(y)} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} - e^{-2l\sigma(y)} r_{c}^{2} dy^{2})$$

Large Extra-Dimensions

• $\sigma(y) = 0$ $\bar{M}_{pl}^2 = M_5^3 2 \pi r_c$ Volume factor $M_5 \ll \bar{M}_{pl}$ Randall-Sundrum

•
$$\sigma(y) = -kr_c|y|$$

$$\bar{M}_{pl}^2 = \frac{M_5^3}{k} (1 - e^{-2k\pi r_c})$$

 $k, M_5 \sim \bar{M}_{pl}$

Clockwork/Linear Dilaton

•
$$\sigma(y) = \frac{2}{3}kr_c|y|$$

•
$$l = 0$$

$$ar{M}_{pl}^2 = rac{M_5^3}{k}(e^{2k\pi r_c}-1)$$

Warping factor

 $k, M_5 \ll \bar{M}_{pl}$

Geometry of RS and CW/LD





4-D tower massive gravitons (KK Theory)

KK-Spectra of RS and CW/LD





KK-Spectra of RS and CW/LD





DM on the IR-brane

Gravitational Action in the Einstein frame (+,-,-,-)

$$S_{\rm RS} = -4 M_{5,\rm RS}^3 \int d^4x \int_0^{\pi r_c} dy \sqrt{G^{(5)}} \left[R^{(5)} + 2 \Lambda_5^{RS} \right]$$
 RS
$$S = \int d^4x \int_0^{\pi} r_c dy \sqrt{G^{(5)}} \left\{ \frac{M_{5,\rm CW}^3}{2} \left[-R^{(5)} + \frac{1}{3} G^{MN}_{(5)} \partial_M S \partial_N S + 4e^{-\frac{2}{3}S} k^2 \right] \right\}$$
 CW/LD

$$\begin{split} S_{\rm IR} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, \left\{ -f_{\rm IR}^4 + \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm DM} \right\} & \text{Branes Actions} \\ S_{\rm UV} &= \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, \left\{ -f_{\rm UV}^4 + \dots \right\} & \text{(depending on the model, they can be at 0 or } \pi) \end{split}$$

Parameter space

 The interaction between the particles located in the IR brane and the gravitons is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{M_5^{3/2}} T^{\mu\nu}(x) h_{\mu\nu}(x, y=0) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda_n} T^{\mu\nu}(x) h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x),$$

Free Parameters

Randall-Sundrum

Clockwork/Linear Dilaton

 M_5, k, m_{DM}

 $k \simeq m_{G_1}$

 Λ, m_{G_1}, m_{DM}

Parameter space

 The interaction between the particles located in the IR brane and the gravitons is given by:

Randall-Sundrum

 Λ, m_{G_1}, m_{DM}

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{M_5^{3/2}} T^{\mu\nu}(x) h_{\mu\nu}(x, y=0) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda_n} T^{\mu\nu}(x) h_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x) dx$$

Free P Enhancement of gravitational coupling with respect to 4D

Clockwork/Linear Dilaton

 M_5, k, m_{DM}

 $k \simeq m_{G_1}$

Stabilization of the ED

 The distance between the two 4D-branes is determined by r_c, to stabilise dynamically this distance is necessary a scalar field in the 5D-bulk (Radion).

Randall-Sundrum

- It is necessary to introduce a new field.
- This field mixes with the component $G_{55}^{(5)}$ resulting in the radion field r.



Clockwork/Linear Dilaton

- We can use the already present bulk dilaton field (Φ_n).
- The 5D dilaton field can be written as a KK tower

$$m_r^2 = m_{\Phi_0}^2 = \frac{8}{9}k^2$$
$$m_{\Phi_n}^2 = k^2 + \frac{n^2}{r_c^2}$$

Radion interactions



1) Freeze-out (WIMPs)



DM annihilation



DM annihilation



DM annihilation



Annihilation into gravitons



However...Enforcing unitarity



Triple graviton and radion vertices (and combinations) are $O(1/M_p)$

and not $\mathcal{O}(1/M_p^3)$ as one would naively think

Annihilation into gravitons



LHC bounds: RS

10

 10^{2}

10

10

10⁻²-

Observed CL_s limit

Expected CL_limit

Expected $\pm 1\sigma$

Expected $\pm 2\sigma$

pp→G*→yy

ATLAS

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 36.7 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

 $G^* \rightarrow \gamma \gamma, k/\overline{M}_{Pl} = 0.10$

Observed CL_s limit

- - Expected CL limit

from pseudo-exp.

from pseudo-exp.

m_{G*} [GeV]

Spin-2 Selection

95% CL Upper Limit on σ × B [fb]



ATLAS: Search for new phenomena in highmass dilepton final states using 37 (1707.02424) ATLAS: Search for new phenomena in highmass diphoton final states using 37

500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000

(1707.04147)

Resonant searches at Run II

LHC bounds: CW/LD



- The strongest constraints are given by the non-resonant searches at LHC.
- The orange area is the region of the parameter space in which the effective theory is not consistent.

Non-Resonant searches at Run II













Final results: RS



Final results: CW/LD



Work in progress to include triple vertices and recompute LHC bounds (Donini, Landini, Muñoz, Rius)

Final results: CW/LD



Remarks for WIMPs

We may achieve the observed DM relic abundance in an extra-dimensional framework within the freeze-out paradigm, both in RS and CW/LD geometries

Typically, SMALL regions in the parameter space are allowed

However, the effective interaction scale is O(10-1000) TeV for RS and O(10-100) TeV for CW/LD (maybe a Little Hierarchy Problem?)

2) Freeze-in (FIMPs)



$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \int_{4m_{DM}}^{\infty} ds (s - 4m_{DM}^2) \sqrt{s} \sigma_{an}(s) K_1(\sqrt{s}/T) = ?$$

Relevant processes

Sequential Freeze In

Direct Freeze In

 DM production via virtual graviton and radion exchange







Careful to avoid double counting here

The Boltzmann equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{dY}{dT} &= -\frac{\gamma_{\rm DM \to SM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\left(\frac{Y}{Y^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] + \frac{\gamma^d_{\rm KK \to DM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\frac{Y_K}{Y_K^{\rm eq}} - \left(\frac{Y}{Y^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 \right], \\ \frac{dY_r}{dT} &= -\frac{\gamma_{\rm r \to SM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\left(\frac{Y_r}{Y_r^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] - \frac{\gamma^d_{\rm r \to DM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\frac{Y_r}{Y_r^{\rm eq}} - \left(\frac{Y}{Y^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{\gamma^d_{\rm r \to SM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\frac{Y_r}{Y_r^{\rm eq}} - 1 \right] \\ \frac{dY_K}{dT} &= -\frac{\gamma_{\rm KK \to SM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\left(\frac{Y_K}{Y_K^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 - 1 \right] - \frac{\gamma^d_{\rm KK \to DM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\frac{Y_K}{Y_K^{\rm eq}} - \left(\frac{Y}{Y^{\rm eq}} \right)^2 \right] \\ &- \frac{\gamma^d_{\rm KK \to SM}}{H\,\mathfrak{s}\,T} \left[\frac{Y_K}{Y_K^{\rm eq}} - 1 \right]. \end{split}$$

Taking into account both Direct and Sequential Freeze-in

Approximate solution

 The Boltzmann equation solution in the Freeze in case can be approximated by:

$$Y(T) \simeq \frac{135}{2\pi^3 g_{\star \mathfrak{s}}} \sqrt{\frac{10}{g_{\star}}} M_P \int_{T_{\rm rh}}^T \frac{\gamma_{\rm DM \to SM}(T)}{T^6} dT$$

The relevant value is the abundance today

$$Y_0 \longrightarrow T \ll T_{rh}$$

 The value of the reheating temperature is fixed in order to obtain the measured DM abundance.

$$T_{rh} = f(m_{DM}, m_1, \Lambda, m_r)$$

Maximal temperature reached by the SM thermal bath

Final results: RS



Final results: CW/LD



Remarks for FIMPs

We may achieve the observed DM relic abundance in an extra-dimensional framework within the freeze-in paradigm, both in RS and CW/LD geometries

Typically, HUGE regions in the parameter space are allowed

However, the effective interaction scale is $\Lambda > 10$ TeV for RS and $M_5 > 10$ TeV for CW/LD (maybe a Little Hierarchy Problem?)

Very low values of T_{rh} are allowed. Does this make sense?



We are looking for INFLATIONARY MODELS in extra-dimensional frameworks with low reheating temperature: not there yet...

However, we have found that a common feature of RS and CW/LD is to have a modified Friedmann Equation

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3\,M_P^2} \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2\sigma}\right)$$

Outlook

We are looking for INFLATIONARY MODELS in extra-dimensional frameworks with low reheating temperature: not there yet...

However, we have found that a common feature of RS and CW/LD is to have a modified Friedmann Equation

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3\,M_P^2} \left(1 + \frac{\rho}{2\sigma}\right)$$



New viable inflationary models

Bernal, Cosme, Donini and Rius, in preparation

Overall conclusions

Extra-dimensional extensions of the Standard Model seem to give possible solutions to some of its open problems, although they do not solve the original problem they were proposed for, the HIERARCHY PROBLEM



It seems to me fruitful to explore further this framework, keeping in the back of my mind that Extra-dimensions may be a step Towards understanding Quantum Gravity

Backup slides

Bounds from resonance searches at LHC





Bounds from Direct Detection experiments



In our analysis we have fixed the scale using the restriction

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle = f(m_{DM}, \Lambda, m_{G_1} = 250 \, GeV) \equiv 2.2 \times 10^{-26} cm^3/s$$

Bounds from Direct Detection experiments



$$k = 250 \, GeV$$

The DM-nucleon scattering cross section is too weak to constrain the model in any point of the analysed region.

Scalar

Radion impact in the phenomenology (scalar DM)



The total annihilation cross section is weakly dependent of the radion mass out of the radion mass resonance.