Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science

EIC next 10+ years to start of operations

Abhay Deshpande

June 22, 2023

Discussion in the INFN General Meeting

These are not “the opinion” of the EIC Project (though it should be ;-))

These are my personal thoughts on this topic for discussions within the EICUG community based on experience over many years.

From now until first physics collisions

1. EIC Project (1 machine + 1 detector) timeline & criticality of its success
2. Some thoughts on EIC early operations & planning (motivated by Pietro's questions and comments)

   How would the EIC Operations evolve? – Historical precedent and experience

3. Is "theory" ready to meet EIC data?
4. Ultimate Success of EIC ➔ A second detector

   Expansion of EIC Science Scope for ePIC & science scope for Detector 2

Item 1:
EIC project and its timeline

EIC Accelerator Design



Center of Mass Energies:	20GeV - 140GeV
Luminosity:	10^{33} - 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ / 10-100fb^{-1} / year
Highly Polarized Beams:	70%
Large Ion Species Range:	p to U
Number of Interaction Regions:	Up to 2!












BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
D. Gibbs
Laboratory Director
R. Tribble
Deputy Director for Science & Technology
J. Anderson
Deputy Director for Operations

EIC BOARDS
EIC Advisory Board
S. Henderson, TJ Director, Chair
EIC Resource Review Board
H. Gao, BNL Associate Lab Director for Nuclear & Particle Physics
D. Dean, TJ Deputy Director for Science
TBD Co-Chair, International Funding Agency

ELECTRON-ION COLLIDER PROJECT

J. Yeck (BNL), Project Director
F. Willeke (BNL), Deputy Project Director and Technical Director
K. Smith (BNL), Deputy Technical Director

R. Ent (TJ), Co-Associate Director for the Experimental Program
E. Aschenauer (BNL), Co Associate Director for the Experimental Program Technical Integration

A. Lung (TJ), Deputy Project Director for TJNAF Partnership
A. Seryi (TJ), Associate Director for Accelerator Systems & International Partnership

L. Lari (BNL), Project Manager

A. Deshpande (BNL)
EIC Science Director

M. Chamizo Llatas (BNL)
EIC In-Kind Manager
K. Amm (BNL)
EIC SC Magnet Production Manager

EIC COMMITTEES
Project Advisory Committee
T. Glasmacher, Chair
Machine Advisory Committee
T. Raubenheimer, Chair
Detector Advisory Committee
E. Kinney, Chair
Infrastructure Construction Advisory Committee
M. Fallier, Chair

EIC USERS
EIC User Group Steering Committee
R. Fatemi, Chair
M. Radici, Co-Chair
ePIC Collaboration
TBD – Spokesperson








Jim Yeck

More in Silvia Dalla Torre's lesson

ePIC Detector Design



Tracking:

- New 1.7T solenoid
- Si MAPS Tracker
- MPGDs (μRWELL/μMegas)

PID:

- hpDIRC
- mRICH/pfRICH
- dRICH
- AC-LGAD (~30ps TOF)

Calorimetry:

- SciGlass/Imaging Barrel EMCal
- PbWO4 EMCal in backward direction
- Finely segmented EMCal +HCal in forward direction
- Outer HCal (sPHENIX re-use)
- Backwards HCal (tail-catcher)

Project Schedule



January 2023:
start change control process for detector
April 2023:
train EVMS process

Define Baseline:
Subdetector technologies,
Scope, Cost & Schedule
Long Lead Procurement items
Design Maturity: ~90%
Plan is tracked through EVMS
& Change control process
Need TDR for LLPs

EIC Critical Decision Plan

CD-0/Site Selection	December 2019 ✓
CD-1	June 2021 ✓
CD-3a	**January 2024**
CD-2	January 2025
CD-3	**April 2025**
CD-4a early finish	April 2031
CD-4a	April 2032
CD-4 early finish	April 2032
CD-4	**April 2034**

High Level Installation Schedule



Tight Timeline:

- Solenoid and Barrel HCal need to be ready by Jan 2029
- all other subdetectors need to be ready between 06/29 to 06/30 depending on their location in the detector

Item 2:
Transition to operation:
Operations planning

Start with lowest possible luminosity to build confidence in the machine in order to avoid accidental damage to the detector components and the machine itself. As we go forward and we get some explicit guidance on luminosity rise from EIC/CAD – we should be able to make more concrete proposals for early running – energy, species and physics goals/outcomes.

@RHIC Polarized protons harder than nuclei





Historical Precedence:

HERA Experience:

- HERA highest luminosity ~ 5 x 10^{31} $cm^{-2}sec^{-1}$

HERA & RHIC lessons:

- Start of the machine slow and deliberate
- Development of polarization (both beams along with luminosity) will take time but early investments pay-off



Preliminary thoughts & estimates

Time on this slide starts at CD4

We should plan to see about 1 x $10^{32}cm^{-2}sec^{-1}$ in the 1st year (already twice the maximum reached at HERA), and hope on reaching 10^{33} in ~2-3 years, and 10^{34} in ~5+ years at 10 x 250 GeV polarized proton operation.

18 GeV e beam will be experimented with before CD4 (after CD4A) if RF is ready but not "operated for physics" until about 3-5 years into the program.

I expect polarization of electron and proton would be harder to achieve compared to e-A luminosity.

These coupled with the fact that we would like fast physics results: I think we will run e-A physics 10 x 100 GeV beams at the beginning, with highest luminosity possible and run at least two nuclei in the first two years. In those years, ample time would be given to develop polarization and luminosity for e-p.

Start EIC physics program hence be:

Begin with electron-Nucleus/Ion Physics: Intermediate energy and high energy operation with different nuclei

- Search for saturation from inclusive to sem-inclusive ➔ F_2^A, disappearance of jet
- Interactions in color with nuclear matter: with multiple nuclear sized targets; study of jet production and its interaction with nuclear matter, jet internal structure, hadronization
- With luminosity increase: exclusive diffraction in e-A to establish saturation
- Comparison runs of e-p should be expected at moderate luminosity (not for polarization) but we should be ready to utilize what we get **for inclusive & start semi-inclusive spin physics**

Allow ample **time for luminosity and polarization development. In RHIC era, significant time of *p-p* was given to R&D.** This philosophy gave high returns in the end.

Operational thoughts further….

10^{33} luminosity ➔ 20 fb^{-1}/year including 70% accelerator & detector efficiency

White paper and Yellow Report hence assumed those luminosities and hardly any measurement (other than a few in TMDs and GPDs) showed more required luminosity in e-p.

- It is hence my guess that everyone's favorite measurement will be start happening after the 2nd year with polarized beams and unpolarized nuclear beams.
- Transverse spin measurements needing only proton beam polarization should be easier to achieve than double longitudinal spin measurements with high e-polarization

Item 3:
Is theory ready for EIC to start?

Greatly benefitted from Daniel deFlorian's recent talk at DIS2023 and also input from Werner Vogelsang and a recent White Paper submitted to the NSAC Long Range Planning process:

"A Case for an EIC Theory Alliance: Theoretical Challenges of the EIC", R. Abir et al. arXiv: 2305.14572v1

Based on: CFNS/Stony Brook & CFNS/MIT Workshops in 2022:

1) Precision QCD for e-p at the EIC and 2) Theory for EIC in the Next Decade

Fig. from de Florian

Anticipated precision at the LHC drove higher precision calculations in theory

- Still plenty of room for new discoveries : two main scenarios



- Search for (and find) new states
- Resonance needs "descriptive" TH

- Most likely look for "new interactions"
- Small deviations from SM : PRECISION
- EFT description / BSM model



Theory uncertainties HAD to be reduced

Why higher order corrections?

- Large Corrections $\alpha_s \sim 0.1$
- Better TH/EXP matching



- Accurate Theoretical Predictions Scale dependence reduced



- DIS Single jet production at N3LO

Currie, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss, Niehues, Vogt (2018)

- uncertainties still larger than experimental errors (HERA)

Recall, EIC luminosity will be at least 100 (if not 1000) times larger than HERA

Clearly theory precisions needs to be visited immediately.

The NLO ~~revolution~~ ~~standard~~ automation



- Final goal: Really automatic NLO calculations **zero cost for humans**
- Apart from very large multiplicities and loop induced processes automatic NLO "conceptually" solved
  - in a few years a number of codes

HELAC-NLO, Rocket, BlackHat+SHERPA, GoSam+SHERPA/MADGRAPH, NJet+SHERPA, Madgraph5-aMC@NLO, RECOLA, OpenLoops+SHERPA..

- Uncertainties, EW corrections, masses, matching to PS, etc

Automation at NLO already used and demonstrated.

Modern version: with ChatGPT LO tested(?) (Joke!)

LO can (almost) be done by chatGPT

Can you write a Fortran 77 code that computes the DIS cross section at LO using LHAPDFs? Please work in a 5-flavor scheme and using the latest set of CTEQ to make Joey Huston happy

sql Copy code

```
Sample Fortran 77 code to compute the DIS cross section at LO using LHAPD
in a 5-flavor scheme and neglecting the contribution from the photon PDF

implicit none

Declare the input variables
real*8 x, q2, y, s, tau, pdf, sigma
integer flavor, nset, ievtnum
character*255 pdffile

Declare the LHAPDF functions
external initpdfset, evolvepdf, alphaspdf, endpdfset

Set the input kinematics
x = 0.1d0
q2 = 10.d0**6
y = 0.5d0
s = q2 / x / y
tau = a2 / s
Set the LHAPDF set and initialize it
pdffile = 'cteq66'
call initpdfset(nset,pdffile,0)

Compute the LO partonic cross section for each flavor
sigma = 0.d0
do flavor = 1, 5
   pdf = evolvepdf(x, q2, flavor) / x  ! divide by x to get the PDF for t
   sigma = sigma + alpha_em**2 / (2.d0 * s * q2) * pdf * &
           ((4.d0 / 9.d0 + 16.d0 / 27.d0 * delta(flavor,2)) * (1.d0 + (1.
            (4.d0 / 27.d0 * delta(flavor,2)) * (1.d0 + y**2))
end do

Compute the DIS cross section by convolving with the PDF of the proton
sigma = sigma * 3.d0 / 2.d0 / x / q2 / (1.d0 - y)
```



New Standard at LHC is NNLO

But it took 20 years from 1990's to 2020's for all processes to be calculate & tested

DIS23 MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY @EIC

E. Aschenauer DIS23

- Inclusive DIS known at N3LO Vermaseren, Vogt. Moch (2005)
- Quark kernels almost known at N3LO Falcioni, Herzog, Moch
- Steps toward N4LO (first moments): N4LO DIS+evolutic
  Moch, Ruijl, Ueda, Vermaseren, Vogt (2022) **A. Pelloni DIS23**
- DIS Single jet production at N3LO Currie, Gehrmann, Glover, H
- What about other less inclusive observables?
- In many cases legacy calculations from HERA (missing pol
- Some hard to find (needed for validation), some wrong (D
- Need to reach level of LHC calculations and account for
- Set **new standards** for EIC

ICAS pQCD @ HEC Daniel de Florian

Need to gather TH community for precision at EIC

EIC Wishlist

Precision QCD predictions for *ep* physics at the EIC
CFNS August 2022

Regarding DATA

- Measure cross-sections instead of ratios for a more dedicate analysi
- Release both QED corrected and uncorrected data
- Develop method for unbinned cross-sections

Regarding PDFs, FFs and more distributions

- Replication of PDF4LHC and HERA efforts for EIC : PDF4EIC
- Perform Global NNLO analysis of polarized PDFs
- Impact of QED corrections to polarized PDFS
- Perform Global analysis of DVCS
- Generate threshold resummed PDFS and FFs
- New set of photon PDFs (existing are outdated)

Regarding Perturbative corrections (QCD/QED)

- Jets in DIS: matched NNLO + q_T resummation
- DIS with QED/EW corrections
- Calculations for dihadron production

Regarding Theoretical Issues

- Discuss (non)Universality of TMDs
- Search for ideal observables to measure Wigner distribution
- Role of lattice in PDFs (in two slides!)
- Studies for Lambda polarization at EIC
- N^*, Δ electro-couplings at $Q^2 > \text{GeV}^2$
- Proton structure functions in transition regime $\rightarrow$ DIS
- Dipole and quadrupole amplitudes

Precision QCD predictions for *ep* physics at the EIC (II)

https://indico.bnl.gov/e/qcd4eic

CFNS (StonyBrook)
18-22 September 2023

Conclusions

- Amazing progress in fixed order calculations during the last two decades
  - Automation of NLO **Driven by LHC**
  - Several NNLO processes $2 \to 2$ and already a few $2 \to 3$ ✓
  - Even N^{3}LO for simpler kinematics and first set of splitting functions
  - Account for QED/EW effects
- But… Reaching new bottlenecks
- $2 \to 3$ (Massive) 2-loop amplitudes complicated beyond leading color
- Real radiation far from trivial (numerical infrared treatment)
- N^{3}LO beyond Drell-Yan like processes will require significant developments
- Need a more rigorous treatment of TH uncertainties
- **From LHC to EIC**
  - By the time EIC starts taking data ~N^{3}LO might be the new standard!

ICAS pQCD @ HEC Daniel de Florian 24

An enormous thrust in precision calculations in Theory including already emerging precision in Lattice QCD is needed in the next ~10 years before or around the same time the EIC data will become available

Item 4:
EIC would be incomplete without the 2nd IR and a detector

EIC Accelerator Design



Center of Mass Energies:	20GeV - 140GeV
Luminosity:	10^{33} - 10^{34} $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ / 10-100fb^{-1} / year
Highly Polarized Beams:	70%
Large Ion Species Range:	p to U
Number of Interaction Regions:	Up to 2!



Two documents: with overlapping arguments

Ent and Milner et al for the EICUG SC

JLAB-PHY-23-3761

Motivation for Two Detectors at a Particle Physics Collider

Paul D. Grannis* and Hugh E. Montgomery†
(Dated: March 27, 2023)

It is generally accepted that it is preferable to build two general purpose detectors at any given collider facility. We reinforce this point by discussing a number of aspects and particular instances in which this has been important. The examples are taken mainly, but not exclusively, from experience at the Tevatron collider.

arXiv: 2303.08228v2 March 24, 20234

Case for two detectors being made from **Nuclear** and **Particle** Physics

While EIC project (machine and 1st detector) have to succeed….

I think we have everything we need to sow the seeds for a 2nd detector

Opportunity for complementary detector designs for different IRs exists!

Complementarity for 1st-IR & 2nd-IR

	1st IR (IP-6) ePIC	2nd IR (IP-8)
Geometry:	ring inside to outside tunnel and assembly hall are larger Tunnel: ⃠ 7m +/- 140m	ring outside to inside tunnel and assembly hall are smaller Tunnel: ⃠ 6.3m to 60m then 5.3m
Crossing Angle:	25 mrad	35 mrad secondary focus



different blind spots
different forward detectors and acceptances
different acceptance of central detector

Luminosity:

More luminosity at lower E_{CM} ?
Optimize Doublet focusing FDD vs. FDF
→ impact of far forward p_T acceptance

Experiment:

1.7 Tesla or 3 (?) Tesla
different subdetector technologies

Potential Physics topics beyond Core EPIC detector's mandate exist

Focus first on Physics beyond the EIC's core (CD0) science

(there will be others: some overlapping, some exclusive due to different IR design)

Physics with nucleons and nuclear targets:

- Quark **Exotica**: 4,5,6 quark systems…? Much interest after recent **LHCb** led results.
- **Nuclear Fragments** from light and heavy nuclei : e-A – Connecting to low energy nuclear physics (exotic nuclei), studying the shapes of nuclei and their nternal substructure; entanglement, entropy, fragmentation, hadronization and such phenomena

New Studies with proton or neutron target: (mostly overlapping?)

- Impact of precision measurements of unpolarized PDFs at high x/Q^2, on LHC-Upgrade results(?)
- Precision calculation of α_S : higher order pQCD calculations, twist 3
- Heavy quark and quarkonia (c, b quarks) studies with 1000 times lumi of HERA (and polarization)

Precision electroweak and BSM physics:

- Electroweak physics & searches beyond the SM: Parity, charge symmetry, lepton flavor violation
- LHC-EIC Synergies & complementarity: (**muon detectors** were of particular interest)

EIC Science from the perspective of High Energy Physicists

arXiv:2203.13199v1 [hep-ph] 24 March 2022

Snowmass 2021 White Paper: Electron Ion Collider for High Energy Physics

R. Abdul Khalek,[1] U. D'Alesio,[2,3] Miguel Arratia,[4,5,*] A. Bacchetta,[6] M. Battaglieri,[7,1] M. Begel,[8] M. Boglione,[9] R. Boughezal,[10] Renaud Boussarie,[11,*] G. Bozzi,[12,3] S. V. Chekanov,[10] F. G. Celiberto,[13,14,15] G. Chirilli,[16] T. Cridge,[17] R. Cruz-Torres,[18] R. Corliss,[19,20] C. Cotton,[21] H. Davoudiasl,[8] A. Deshpande,[8,19] Xin Dong,[18,*] A. Emmert,[21] S. Fazio,[8] S. Forte,[22] Yulia Furletova,[1,*] Ciprian Gal,[23,20,*] Claire Gwenlan,[24,*] V. Guzey,[25] L. A. Harland-Lang,[26] I. Helenius,[27,28] M. Hentschinski,[29] Timothy J. Hobbs,[30,31,*] S. Höche,[32] T.-J. Hou,[33] Y. Ji,[18] X. Jing,[34] M. Kelsey,[35,18] M. Klasen,[36] Zhong-Bo Kang,[37,38,20,*] Y. V. Kovchegov,[39] K.S. Kumar,[40] Tuomas Lappi,[27,28,*] K. Lee,[41,42] Yen-Jie Lee,[43,44,*] H.-T. Li,[45,46,47] X. Li,[48] H.-W. Lin,[49] H. Liu,[40] Z. L. Liu,[50] S. Liuti,[21] C. Lorcé,[51] E. Lunghi,[52] R. Marcarelli,[53] S. Magill,[54] Y. Makris,[55] S. Mantry,[56] W. Melnitchouk,[1] C. Mezrag,[57] S. Moch,[58] H. Moutarde,[57] Swagato Mukherjee,[8,†] F. Murgia,[3] B. Nachman,[59,60] P. M. Nadolsky,[61] J.D. Nam,[62] D. Neill,[63] E.T. Neill,[53] E. Nocera,[64] M. Nycz,[21] F. Olness,[61] F. Petriello,[46,47] D. Pitonyak,[65] S. Plätzer,[66] Stefan Prestel,[67,*] Alexei Prokudin,[68,1,*] J. Qiu,[1] M. Radici,[6] S. Radhakrishnan,[69,18] A. Sadofyev,[70] J. Rojo,[71,72] F. Ringer,[73,19] Farid Salazar,[37,38,74,75,*] N. Sato,[1] Björn Schenke,[8,*] Sören Schlichting,[76,*] P. Schweitzer,[77] S. J. Sekula,[78,*] D. Y. Shao,[79] N. Sherrill,[80] E. Sichtermann,[18] A. Signori,[6] K. Şimşek,[81] A. Simonelli,[9] P. Sznajder,[82] K. Tezgin,[83] R. S. Thorne,[17] A. Tricoli,[8] R. Venugopalan,[8] A. Vladimirov,[84] Alessandro Vicini,[22,*] Ivan Vitev,[85,*] D. Wiegand,[86] C.-P. Wong,[48] K. Xie,[87] M. Zaccheddu,[2,3] Y. Zhao,[88] J. Zhang,[89] X. Zheng,[21] and P. Zurita[84]

EIC's versatility, resolving power and intensity (luminosity) open new windows of opportunity to address some of the crucial and fundamental scientific questions in particle physics. The paper summarizes the EIC physics from the perspective of the HEP community participating in Snowmass 2021

- Beyond the Standard Model Physics at the EIC
- Tomography (1,3,5 d PDFs) of Hadrons and Nuclei at the EIC
- Jets at EIC
- Heavy Flavors at EIC
- Small-x Physics at the EIC

- High luminosity wide CM range
- Polarized e, p, and ion beams
- All nuclei



Detector technologies EIC & LHC:

Potential for overlap and collaboration: Many EIC collaborators already part of RD51 (and family) at CERN & vice-versa.

- MAPS μVertex for primary/secondary vtx: barrel & end-caps (ALICE ITS3)
- Micro Pattern Gas Detectors: large rapidity, spatial resolution ~100 μm
- Electromagnetic Calorimetry for kinematic reconstruction, precise energy measurements e, γ; e/π & π^0/γ separation. Various technologies at various locations:
  - W/SciFi w/o PMT, PbWO4, SiGlass; AstroPix & Pb/SciFi
  - High resolution Crystal Cal for e-endcap
  - Barrel EMCal 6 layers AstroPix and Pb/SciFi
- Particle Identification – extremely important for most EIC physics
  - K/pi separation over a wide range 1-20 GeV/c
  - Hadron ID: hpDIRC in Barrel, forward EndCap: duel RICH, backward Endcap: modular RICH or pF RICH, also TOF for short lever arm : LGAD, LAPPD
- Streaming Readout

Vision for the 2nd detector: C^3

- Complementary (IR, detector technologies & design)
  - Continue to explore complementary ready and not-yet-ready technologies
  - Generic detector R&D program – Run through JLab
- Complementary (physics)
  - A significant list of physics topics (some-exclusive to 2nd IR, some-overlapping) exists: drill down and see which of those can *develop into strong pillars of science for the 2nd detector.*
  - New physics developing around the world : we need to monitor constantly
- Complementary (people)
  - New non-US/outside groups who may bring new interests & funding in future
  - New US groups – other than those with significant responsibilities in ePIC

Path forward 2nd Detector: focused workshops and detector studies on new physics topics:

- ✓Look at **complementary detector technologies** (to ePIC) and attract groups that are experts in them to the EICUG
- ✓Focused discussions on **new physics topics** (not just listed in this talk but also beyond) to try to make a unique case complementary to ePIC/EIC White Paper
- ✓**Build community** – new groups/faces/resources needed to contribute and become part of new detector effort

Resources:

Generic detector R&D – supported by DOE administered from JLab

Center for Frontiers in Nuclear Science @ Stony Brook (& EIC – Theory Institute at BNL) and the EIC[2] at JLab

Remarks:

- EIC project's path is well understood. Its success is paramount.
- 2nd detector is essential for completing the Vision of EIC
  - C^3 : Complementary physics, technology and people
- It is time to march forward developing a design and case for the 2nd detector: Detailed studies through series of workshops, outreach and critical evaluation for each developing argument ➔ Plan an INT-Program in ~2025 like we had in 2010

I look forward to discussions on any and all fronts for the 2nd detector development.

Summary

1. EIC Project (1 machine + 1 detector) timeline & criticality of its success

   Consolidate the collaboration, science and detector : Its success is paramount

2. Some thoughts on EIC early operations & planning

   – Historical precedent and early operational experience: inclusive – to – exclusive, e-A to polarized e-p/A

3. Is “theory” ready to meet EIC data? NO

4. Ultimate Success of EIC ➔ Physics with a second detector : $(\text{Complementarity})^3$ – physics, detector and people.

Thank you

EIC Design Parameters

Table 3.3: EIC beam parameters for different center-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s}$, with strong hadron cooling. High divergence configuration.

Species	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron	proton	electron
Energy [GeV]	275	18	275	10	100	10	100	5	41	5
CM energy [GeV]	140.7		104.9		63.2		44.7		28.6	
Bunch intensity [10^{10}]	19.1	6.2	6.9	17.2	6.9	17.2	4.8	17.2	2.6	13.3
No. of bunches	290		1160		1160		1160		1160	
Beam current [A]	0.69	0.227	1	2.5	1	2.5	0.69	2.5	0.38	1.93
RMS norm. emit., h/v [μm]	5.2/0.47	845/71	3.3/0.3	391/26	3.2/0.29	391/26	2.7/0.25	196/18	1.9/0.45	196/34
RMS emittance, h/v [nm]	18/1.6	24/2.0	11.3/1.0	20/1.3	30/2.7	20/1.3	26/2.3	20/1.8	44/10	20/3.5
β^*, h/v [cm]]	80/7.1	59/5.7	80/7.2	45/5.6	63/5.7	96/12	61/5.5	78/7.1	90/7.1	196/21.0
IP RMS beam size, h/v [μm]	119/11		95/8.5		138/12		125/11		198/27	
K_x	11.1		11.1		11.1		11.1		7.3	
RMS $\Delta\theta$, h/v [μrad]	150/150	202/187	119/119	211/152	220/220	145/105	206/206	160/160	220/380	101/129
BB parameter, h/v [10^{-3}]	3/3	93/100	12/12	72/100	12/12	72/100	14/14	100/100	15/9	53/42
RMS long. emittance [10^{-3}, eV·s]	36		36		21		21		11	
RMS bunch length [cm]	6	0.9	6	0.7	7	0.7	7	0.7	7.5	0.7
RMS $\Delta p/p$ [10^{-4}]	6.8	10.9	6.8	5.8	9.7	5.8	9.7	6.8	10.3	6.8
Max. space charge	0.007	neglig.	0.004	neglig.	0.026	neglig.	0.021	neglig.	0.05	neglig.
Piwinski angle [rad]	6.3	2.1	7.9	2.4	6.3	1.8	7.0	2.0	4.2	1.1
Long. IBS time [h]	2.0		2.9		2.5		3.1		3.8	
Transv. IBS time [h]	2.0		2		2.0/4.0		2.0/4.0		3.4/2.1	
Hourglass factor H	0.91		0.94		0.90		0.88		0.93	
Luminosity [10^{33}cm^{-2}s^{-1}]	1.54		10.00		4.48		3.68		0.44	

eRHIC Hadron Polarization

Measured RHIC Results:

- Proton Source Polarization 83 %
- Polarization at extraction from AGS 70%
- Polarization at RHIC collision energy 60%

Planned near term improvements:

AGS: Stronger snake, skew quadrupoles, increased injection energy
➔expect 80% at extraction of AGS
RHIC: Add 2 snakes to 4 existing no/reduce polarization loss
➔ expect 80% in Polarization in RHIC and EIC
Expected simulations results benchmarked against RHIC operations

^{3}He in eRHIC with six snakes

Achieved 85% polarization in 3He ion source
Polarization preserved with 6 snakes for up to twice the design emittance

Deuterons in eRHIC:

Requires tune jumps in the AGS, then
benchmarked simulation show 100% Spin transparency
No polarization **loss** expected in the EIC hadron ring





EIC achieves high luminosity $L = 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

- **Large bunch charges** $N_e \leq 1.7 \cdot 10^{11}$, $N_p \leq 0.69 \cdot 10^{11}$

- **Many bunches,** n_b=1160
  - crossing angle collision geometry
  - large total beam currents
  - limited by installed RF power of 10 MW

- **Small beam size** at collision point achieved by
  - small emittance, requiring either:
    - strong hadron cooling to prevent emittance growth
    or frequent hadron injection
  - and strong focusing at interaction point (small β_y)
  - flat beams $\sigma_x/\sigma_y \approx 10$

Strong focusing β_y =5 cm



- **Strong, but previously demonstrated beam-beam interactions**

  $\Delta\nu_p$ = 0.01 demonstrated in RHIC

  $\Delta\nu_e$ = 0.1 demonstrated in HERA, B-factories