18th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPS and WISPS
Rijeka, 3-7 July 2023

Axions from SNe in underground neutrino detectors

Alessandro Lella

Physics Department of «Aldo Moro» University in Bari
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Based on…

- AL, P. Carenza, G. Co', G. Lucente, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, T. Rauscher, *"Getting the most on Supernova axions"*, e-Print: 2306.01048 (2023)

- P. Carenza, G. Co', AL, G. Lucente, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, T. Rauscher, *"Detectability of supernova axions in underground water Cherenkov detectors"*, e-Print: 2306.17055 (2023)

- AL, P. Carenza, G. Lucente, M. Giannotti, A. Mirizzi, *"Protoneutron stars as cosmic factories for massive axion-like particles"*, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 10

SN explosion and neutrino emission

Core-collapse SN is the terminal phase of a massive star $[\mathrm{M} \geq 8\, M_{\odot}]$. After the gravitational collapse, a shock-wave driven explosion occurs.



- The 99% of emitted energy ($\sim 10^{53}$ erg) is released via (anti)neutrinos of all species.

- From SN 1987A neutrino burst observations:

- Duration of the burst ~ 10 s.
- $< E_{\nu} > \approx 15$ MeV.

- Standard picture confirmed by SN 1987A observation.

QCD Axion production in SNe

- Nucleon-Nucleon bremsstrahlung

  [Brinkmann & Turner, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988)*]*

  [Carena & Peccei, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989)*]*



Stata-of-the-art calculation include *[Carenza & al., JCAP 10 (2019) 10]*:

- Beyond OPE corrections
- Multiple scattering effects
- Effective nucleon masses

- Pion Conversions

  [Carenza & al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 126 (2021)*]*



Contributions from:

- Contact interaction term
  [Choi & al., JHEP 02 (2022) 143*]*
- Δ-mediated diagrams
  [Ho & al., Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023)]

ALP emission spectra

- If ALPs interact weakly with nuclear matter they can *free-stream* through the SN volume

$$\frac{d^2 N_a}{dE_a\, dt} = \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 dr \frac{d^2 n_a}{dE_a\, dt}$$

ALP emission spectra

- If ALPs interact weakly with nuclear matter they can *free-stream* through the SN volume

$$\frac{d^2N_a}{dE_a\,dt} = \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 dr \frac{d^2 n_a}{dE_a\,dt}$$

- In case of strongly coupled ALPs, they could enter the *Trapping regime*
  [Caputo & al., Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022)*]*

$$\frac{d^2N_a}{dE_a\,dt} = \int_0^\infty 4\pi r^2 dr \left\langle e^{-\tau(E_a,r)} \right\rangle \frac{d^2 n_a}{dE_a\,dt}$$

$\tau \sim \int_0^\infty dr\, \lambda_a^{-1}$ optical depth for nuclear processes

ALP emission spectra



$10^{-10} \lesssim g_{ap} \lesssim 10^{-7}$

free-streaming regime

ALP emission spectra

$10^{-7} \lesssim g_{ap} \lesssim 10^{-4}$
trapping regime



$10^{-10} \lesssim g_{ap} \lesssim 10^{-7}$
free-streaming regime

The energy-loss argument

Emission of exotic particles could cause an excessive energy-loss from SN, affecting the neutrino burst.

[AL & al., e-Print: 2306.01048 (2023)]





[Raffelt & Seckel, Phys. Rev. Lett.60 (1998)]

The energy-loss argument

Assuming that ALP emission did not shortened the duration of the neutrino burst more than $\sim 1/2$, we require that *[Raffelt, Phys. Rept. 198 (1990)]*:

$$L_a \lesssim L_\nu \approx 3 \times 10^{52}\ \mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}$$

Searches for solar axions in SNO. *[Bhusal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.126 (2021)]*



[AL & al., e-Print: 2306.01048 (2023)]

The energy-loss argument

Assuming that ALP emission did not shortened the duration of the neutrino burst more than $\sim 1/2$, we require that *[Raffelt, Phys. Rept. 198 (1990)]*:

$$L_a \lesssim L_\nu \approx 3 \times 10^{52} \ \mathrm{erg\ s^{-1}}$$

Searches for solar axions in SNO. *[Bhusal et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021)]*



[AL & al., e-Print: 2306.01048 (2023)]

Axion signal in Kamiokande II

- In case of strong couplings the ALP flux would have produced a signal in Kamiokande II.

- Seminal idea by Engel, Seckel and Hayes: look for axion-induced excitation of oxygen nuclei *[Engel et al., Phys. Rev. Let. 65 (1990)]* .

$$a + {}^{16}\mathrm{O} \to {}^{16}\mathrm{O}^{*} \to {}^{16}\mathrm{O} + \gamma$$

- The computation of the event rate requires:
  - SN explosion models
  - An adequate treatment of trapping regime
  - State-of-the-art nuclear models



Axion-Oxygen cross section

Introducing $C_0 = (C_p + C_n)/2$ and $C_1 = (C_p - C_n)/2$, Axion-nucleons interactions reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{aN} = -\frac{g_{aN}}{2m_N}\partial_k a\, \underbrace{\bar{N}\gamma^k\gamma^5(C_0 + C_1\tau_3)N}_{\text{Hadronic current}}$$

By computing the transition matrix element, the total cross section is *[P. Carenza, G. Co', M. Giannotti, AL, G. Lucente, A. Mirizzi, T. Rauscher, to appear soon]*

$$\sigma(E_a) \sim \underbrace{\frac{g_{aN}^2}{m_N^2}}_{\text{Strength of nuclear interactions}} E_a \sum_J \underbrace{\left|\langle J^\Pi||T_J||0^+\rangle\right|^2}_{\text{Nuclear transition matrix element}} \delta(E_a - E_J)$$

Nuclear transition matrix element → Computed in RPA approach

Axion-Oxygen cross section



Oxygen de-excitation



- Excited oxygen states can also decay through non radiative channels (α-particles, protons, neutrons together with secondary nuclei).

- Branching ratios computed through the *SMARAGD Hauser-Feshbach reaction code* *[T. Rauscher, computer code SMARAGD, version 0.9.3s, Vol. 103, 2015]*.

- γ-emission accounts for $\sim 50\ \%$ of the total de-excitation processes.

Detector resolution

- Detector energy resolution spreads detected energies around true photon energies.

$$\mathcal{R}(E,\epsilon) = \sum_{\omega(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\, e^{-(E-\omega(\epsilon))^2/2\sigma^2}\, BR\,[\omega(\epsilon)]$$

where $\sigma = 0.6\,\sqrt{\omega(\epsilon)/\,\mathrm{MeV}}$

Detector resolution

- Detector energy resolution spreads detected energies around true photon energies.

$$\mathcal{R}(E,\epsilon) = \sum_{\omega(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\, e^{-(E-\omega(\epsilon))^2/2\sigma^2}\; BR\,[\omega(\epsilon)]$$

where $\sigma = 0.6\,\sqrt{\omega(\epsilon)/\,\mathrm{MeV}}$

- Detector efficiency can be modelled as
  [Hirata et al., Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988)]

$$\mathcal{E} = \max\left[0\,,\; 0.93 - e^{-(E/9\ \mathrm{MeV})^{2.5}}\right]$$



Events number in Kamiokande-II

$$N_{\rm ev} = F_a \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

$M_{KII} \sim 2.4$ kton



Events number in Kamiokande-II

$$N_{\rm ev} = F_a \otimes \sigma \otimes \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{E}$$

$M_{KII} \sim 2.4$ kton



Uncertainties $\mathcal{O}(60\ \%)$ over the nuclear model

Axion events from SN 1987A

No excess in the background of K-II around SN 1987A event ($\bar{n}_{bkg} \simeq 0.02$ events/s)

[Kamiokande Coll., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1490].



[P. Carenza, G. Co', M. Giannotti, AL, G. Lucente, A. Mirizzi, T. Ruascher, to appear soon]

[AL & al., e-Print: 2306.01048 (2023)]

Concluding remarks

- Hadronic axions from SN in trapping regime require an adequate treatment.

- Supernova arguments alone exclude QCD axion masses $m_a \gtrsim 10^{-2}$ eV.

- No *"hadronic axion window"* *[Chang & Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 316 (1993)]* .

- No signatures due to mass of HDM axions in future cosmological surveys.




Thank you for your
attention

Supernova Neutrinos

Neutronization burst
- Electron capture in the inner core

Accretion
- When shock stalls, ν powered by infalling matter

Cooling
- Cooling on ν diffusion time scale



ALPs nuclear interactions

- Axions and ALPs could interact with all the Standard model particles.

- In ChPT interaction verteces with baryons and mesons *[Ho & al., Phys.Rev.D 107 (2023)]*

$$\mathcal{L}_{nuc} = \sum_N g_{aN} \frac{\partial^\mu a}{2m_N} \bar{N}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 N + \frac{g_{a\pi N}}{f_\pi} \partial^\mu a \left(i\pi^+ \bar{p}\gamma_\mu n + h.c. \right) + g_{aN\Delta} \frac{\partial^\mu a}{2m_N} (\bar{p}\, \Delta^+_\mu + h.c.)$$







ALP mean free path

$$\lambda_a^{-1}(E_a) = \frac{1}{2|\mathbf{p}_a|}\,\frac{d^2 n_a(\chi\, E_a)}{d\Pi_a\, dt}$$



Axion events from SN 1987A

$$N_{\rm ev} \lesssim \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{\overline{n}_{\rm bkg}\Delta t} & \text{if } m_a \lesssim 17 \text{ eV} \\ 2\sqrt{\overline{n}_{\rm bkg}\Delta t_a} & \text{if } m_a > 17 \text{ eV} \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta t \approx 12 \text{ s}$$

$$\Delta t_a(m_a) \approx t(E_{\rm min}, m_a) - t(E_{\rm max}, m_a) \approx 1.82\,{\rm s}\left(\frac{m_a}{10 \text{ eV}}\right)^2$$

Summary plot, no pions