Acoustic noise in deep ice and environmental conditions at the South Pole





bmb+f - Förderschwerpunkt Astroteilchenphysik Großgeräte der physikalischer Grundlagenforschung ARENA 2008 25-27 June 2008 in Rome

Noise measurement in SPATS

- Absolute level?
- Temporal variation?
- Transient rate / sources?
- Noise runs taken every hour
- Unbiased monitoring
 - forced readout of all 3 channels of a sensor
 - sampling rate 200 kHz
 - loop over all sensors
 - Transient runs
 - 3 sensors per string (190 m, 250 m, 320 m)
 - threshold ~4.8 σ (Noise RMS)
 - record 5 ms @ 200 kHz around every trigger

Noise is Gaussian



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Temporal evolution

- String D: deployed 24 Dec 2007
- RMS very stable over time
 - large peaks correlated with IceCube drilling
- RMS increases during freeze-in
 - better coupling to bulk ice
 - increased sensitivity at low temperatures



Evolution with depth



- SPATS self noise well below noise level
- Voltage noise level decreases with depth



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Spectra dominated by sensor properties





- SPATS Sensor
- Piezos coupled to steel housing
- Many resonance modes



- HADES Sensor
- Piezo coated in resin
- Single resonance
 @ 49 kHz

Absolute noise -Environmental conditions are important



- Rapid freeze-in
- Signal amplitudes change during freeze-in
 - Better coupling of sensor / transmitter to ice?
 - Increased sensitivity at low temperatures?
 - Transmission decreases due to bad ice quality?
- Measurements of sensitivity change planned:
 - in pressure chamber
 - in ice

Ice Quality: Lab studies

SPATS freeze-in studies:

- "Hole-ice" properties potentially important
- Non-isotropic appearance of bubbles and micro-cracks
- Laboratory studies give first clue of influence on sensitivity
- Observe "arbitrary" absorption of transmitted and received signals
- In-situ calibration necessary





T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Calibration

- Only lab calibration available
- All sensors calibrated in water at room temperature
- Sensitivity in -50°C air is 1.4 times sensitivity at room temperature
- Influence of pressure and coupling to ice under study



- Sensitivity above 50 kHz very low
 - ▶ use 10 kHz 50 kHz band
- Integral over PSD gives noise RMS

Noise depth profile



- Assumption: Pole sensitivity = 1.4 Lab sensitivity
- Error bars only represent sensor to sensor variations
- Noise consistent between different strings

T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Transient data taking and analysis

- Distribution of sources in time and space?
- Pulse shapes?
- Significant neutrino backgrounds?
- Anything else interesting? ice cracking, glacier stick/slip, …
- Multi-channel long duration threshold triggered runs underway now at Pole

Run C194847 Event 1 (CS2-2, 070306 08:16:29) 4 3 2 Voltage (V) -2 -3 transient example -4 2 6 8 10 12 0 Δ time (ms)

Will search for coincidences and attempt source location

Background transients



Loud examples:

• Rate: ~1 / minute / channel

SPATS hears the IceCube drill!



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Summary and Outlook

- SPATS measured 1.5 years of noise data
- Acoustic background is
 - Gaussian
 - stable over time
- Measurements indicate absolute noise level < 10 mPa (10 kHz - 50 kHz, below firn)
- Sensor calibration in pressure chamber under preparation
- SPATS starting to operate as an event detector
 - spatial and temporal distribution of transients





Comparison with other experiments



T. Karg (U Wuppertal)

Calculated acoustic radiation pattern in ice



T. Karg (UARENAertal)

Acoustic noise justin Vandenbroutike South Pole

18