

Implications of first LHC results

- 1) Large extra dimensions (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.4919>)
- 2) SuperSymmetry (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.2195>)

Alessandro Strumia

with R. Franceschini, G. Giudice, P. Paolo Giardino, P. Lodone

The main goal of LHC

is understanding why the weak scale is small.

Maybe the hierarchy problem was a good guideline. Maybe we lost 30 years.

A new symmetry

Scalar

$$H \rightarrow H + \theta$$

Keeps H massless.
Goldstone boson.

Vector

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \theta$$

Keeps A_μ massless
In 5 dims: $H = A_5$

Fermion

$$\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta\gamma_5}\psi$$

Keeps ψ massless.
 $H \overset{\text{SUSY}}{\leftrightarrow} \psi$.

Higgs has weak-scale size

Technicolour

H bound state like π

Large extra dims

H is a string or...

Warped extra dims


Dual to technicolor

Large extra dimensions


Collider signals

Quantum gravity is unknown; signals computable at $E \ll M_D$ and $E \gg M_D$:


(1) Graviton emission




(2) Tree-level graviton exchange



(3) Graviton loop




(4) Trans-Planckian



No black holes in first LHC data

$$\sigma \sim (M_{\text{BH}}/M_D)^{2/(\delta+1)}/M_D^2 \text{ depends on } M_{\text{BH}} > M_{\text{BH}}^{\min} \stackrel{?}{\gtrsim} M_D$$



la Repubblica.it
Ultimo aggiornamento sabato 9.08.2008 ore 00.15

Cerca nel Web con Google

Home Affari&Finanza Sport Spettacoli&Cultura Tecnologie&Scienze Motori Moda Casa&Design Viaggi Roma Milano Annunci Lavoro Meteo

RepubblicaTv Politica Cronaca Edizioni locali Esteri Ambiente Scuola&Giovani Newscontrol Ora per Ora Blog Foto Multimedia Giochi&Scommesse

“È LA FINE DEL MONDO”
La conferma del Cern
Il buco nero creato dal [Large Hadron Collider](#) è destinato a inghiottire il nostro pianeta nelle prossime settimane. Secondo [Walter Wagner](#) anche l'universo è in pericolo. [Scene di panico](#) durante la conferenza stampa del Cern a Parigi.
[IL VIDEO](#) / [LE PRIME IMMAGINI](#)

La Svizzera inghiottita dal buco nero
Sarkozy contrario all'evacuazione
“A quai bon? Tra qualche ora saremo comunque tutti morti”. [Berlusconi caustico](#): “risolve la questione Alitalia”.

“Pregate, ma non garantisco niente”
Lo scetticismo di Papa Benedetto
“Il buco nero è il risultato ultimo di ricerca scientifica dissennata che ha voluto porre l'uomo davanti a Dio. Non resta che la preghiera, forse”. Dal suo ritiro in Alto Adige, il Papa gela i pellegrini venuti ad ascoltare un messaggio di speranza.
[IL VIDEO](#) / [LE IMMAGINI](#) / [“SANTITÀ, IL BUCO NERO È L'INFERNO?”](#)
Net Monitor: [“Proprio adesso che andavo in ferie”](#) di V. ZAMBARDINO

Hawking deluso: “mi sono sbagliato”
“La mia radiazione avrebbe dovuto eliminare il buco nero, ma evidentemente ho sbagliato qualcosa”. Lo scienziato non esclude la possibilità che un nuovo universo possa riformarsi dal caos. “Ci vorranno milioni di anni, ma la prossima volta saremo più prudenti”.

Software Società Curiosità

Troppa pancia per Babbo Natale è tempo di dieta
Si sono incontrati in 150 per l'annuale congresso in Danimarca. E hanno deciso di perdere qualche chilo. Messa da parte la slitta, per qualche tempo andranno in bici e faranno ginnastica

LE IMMAGINI
La dea del metrò colpisce ancora
lap dance sui pali della strada
LA FOTOSEQUENZA NEL METRO'


LE IMMAGINI
Pechino indossa la sottoveste
sono le olimpiadi della lingerie

LE IMMAGINI
Miracolo a Saint Tropez
in acqua c'è Bar Rafaeli


LE IMMAGINI
Pamela a Central Park
spunta l'amico misterioso

First LHC data: $pp \rightarrow jj$

ATLAS at 3.1/pb



CMS at 36/pb



χ = angular jj distance. Coulomb-like QCD gives a quasi-flat distribution. New massive particles or effective $\mathcal{O}$ give effects at large M_{jj} and small angle χ

Loop level graviton exchange

At low energy is described by the dimension 6 effective operator

$$\mathcal{L} = c_\Upsilon \times \Upsilon, \quad \Upsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 f \right)^2$$

95% CL limits on $ c_\Upsilon/4\pi ^{-1/2}$ in TeV			
Experiment	Process	+	−
LEP combined	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$	17.2	15.1
LEP combined	$e^+e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b}$	15.3	11.5
ZEUS, H1	e^+p and e^-p	4.6	5.3
DØ	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-$	4.7	5.5
CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$	4.5	5.6
CCFR	νN scattering	3.7	5.9
DØ	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow jj$	3.2	3.1
ATLAS at 7 TeV with 3.1/pb	$pp \rightarrow jj$	5.3	4.2
CMS at 7 TeV with 36/pb	$pp \rightarrow jj$	11	8.1
combined		22.4	15.7

LHC improves on TeVatron but not on LEP

Tree level graviton exchange

At low energy is described by the dimension 8 effective operator $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = c_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}$

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left(T_{\mu\nu} T^{\mu\nu} - \overbrace{\frac{T_{\mu}^{\mu} T_{\nu}^{\nu}}{\delta + 2}}^{\text{irrelevant}} \right)^2 \sim (\bar{\Psi} \partial \Psi + \bar{\Psi} A \Psi + F_{\mu\nu}^2)^2$$

Independently produced by brane fluctuations at loop level.

High dimensionality: energy is the key factor and early LHC wins

Coefficient: parameterize as $c_{\mathcal{T}} = 8/M_{\mathcal{T}}^4$ (Hewett normalization).

Signals: $pp \rightarrow jj$ is considered dirty by actually is much better at low statistics:


$$\sigma = \left(\frac{2 \text{ TeV}}{M_{\mathcal{T}}} \right)^8 \times \begin{cases} 12.5 \text{ pb} & \text{for } pp \rightarrow jj \\ 10.4 \text{ fb} & \text{for } pp \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \\ 21.3 \text{ fb} & \text{for } pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma \end{cases}$$

thanks to the energetic $uu \rightarrow uu$. (cuts: $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, $M_{\text{eff}} > 1 \text{ TeV}$, $\eta < 2.5$)

Bounds on $M_{\mathcal{T}}$


Experiment	Process	+	−
LEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	0.93 TeV	1.01 TeV
LEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$	1.18 TeV	1.17 TeV
H1, ZEUS	e^+p and e^-p	0.74 TeV	0.73 TeV
CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$	0.99 TeV	0.96 TeV
DØ	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-, \gamma\gamma$	1.28 TeV	1.14 TeV
DØ	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow jj$	1.48 TeV	1.48 TeV
CMS at 7 TeV with 34/pb	$pp \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	1.72 TeV	1.70 TeV
ATLAS at 7 TeV with 3.1/pb	$pp \rightarrow jj$	2.2 TeV	2.1 TeV
CMS at 7 TeV with 36/pb	$pp \rightarrow jj$	4.2 TeV	3.4 TeV

Bounds on graviton exchange at tree level




The LHC data

$pp \rightarrow jj$, ATLAS, 3.1 pb^{-1} , $M_{jj} > 1.2 \text{ TeV}$



$pp \rightarrow jj$, CMS, 36 pb^{-1} , $M_{jj} > 2.2 \text{ TeV}$



Computed implementing in MadGraph and Pythia

Fitting the full amplitude $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}(s)T_{\mu\nu}^2$

Truncate KK tower at $m < \Lambda$ to avoid UV divergence:


$$\mathcal{S}(s) = \frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2} \sum_i \frac{1}{s - m_i^2 + im_i \Gamma_G(m_i)} = \frac{1}{M_D^{2+\delta}} \int_{|q| < \Lambda} \frac{d^\delta q}{s - q^2 + i\varepsilon}$$

$$c_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathcal{S}(s \ll \Lambda^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{\delta/2}}{(1 - \delta/2)\Gamma(\delta/2)} \frac{\Lambda^{\delta-2}}{M_D^{\delta+2}} \equiv \frac{8}{M_{\mathcal{T}}^4} & \text{for } \delta > 2 \\ \frac{\pi}{M_D^4} \ln \frac{s}{\Lambda^2} & \text{for } \delta = 2 \\ \frac{-i\pi}{M_D^3 \sqrt{s}} & \text{for } \delta = 1 \end{cases}$$


Subtelty: $\mathcal{S}_f = \langle \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \rangle$ i.e. it is ok to $\sum_{\text{KK}} \approx \int_q$ and ignore the graviton width

Bound on the full amplitude (M_D, Λ)

$\delta = 2$ extra dimensions



$\delta = 6$ extra dimensions




- Shaded: LHC (continuous = CMS; dashed = ALTAS; dotted ATLAS F_χ)
- Blue: graviton emission, ignoring the dependence on Λ
- Red: NDA estimate of graviton loop
- Gray: non-perturbative quantum gravity

Bound on the full amplitude (M_D, Λ)

A bit of warping makes less KK gravitons with larger couplings: $\delta = 1$ is allowed.
But special: gravitons decay promptly in the detector:

- graviton production gives no $\cancel{E}_T$ signals.
- tree level exchange of *virtual and real* gravitons give $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ and $pp \rightarrow jj$.

$\delta = 1$ extra dimensions




$\delta > 1$: how to subtract real gravitons?

$\Gamma_G = 283m^3/960\pi M_{\text{Pl}}^2$ is small: gravitons decay far away. But the S -matrix keeps them: $\langle |S|^2 \rangle = (\text{Re } S)^2 + (\text{Im } S)^2/\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \pi\Gamma_G/2\Delta m \sim (s/M_D^2)^{1+\delta/2}$.

Resonant graviton production must be subtracted

Consider just one particle with coupling g ($g \sim E/M_{\text{Pl}} \ll 1$ for KK gravitons):



One would guess $\sigma \sim g^4$ but actually $\sigma \sim g^2$, due to $pp \rightarrow \text{graviton}$. Next graviton decays with 100% probability and width $\Gamma \sim mg^2$.




We find $\sigma_{\text{subtracted}} \sim -g^4$, up to $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$ terms, such as NLO corrections to Γ .

We presume that $\langle |S|^2 \rangle_{\text{subtracted}} = |\langle S \rangle|^2$ is the right result

Anyhow, even the unsubtracted $1/g^2$ enhancement (present for $\delta = 1$) is numerically irrelevant for $pp \rightarrow jj$.

SUSY

First LHC data



Actually a bound on $m_{\tilde{q}}$ and M_3 , up to 700 GeV in the CMSSM


In the following we ignore $g_\mu - 2$ or Ω_{DM} ; they point to heavier m_{SUSY} .

The little hierarchy problem

Fix $\tan\beta = 3$ and $A_0 = 0$; the overall SUSY mass scale is fixed by



$$m_h^2 \xrightarrow{\text{tree level}} M_Z^2 \approx 0.2m_0^2 + 0.7M_3^2 - 2\mu^2 = (91 \text{ GeV})^2 \times 35 \left(\frac{M_3}{650 \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 + \dots$$

Plot this in the plane of the adimensional free parameters $(M_{1/2}, m_0)/\mu$:



Bayesian MonteCarlo technique

Scan over all adimensional parameters (m_0/μ , $B_0/m_0, \dots$, λ_t) compatible with measured m_t . Compute $\tan\beta$ and m_{SUSY} from V_{MSSM} . Normally $m_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_Z$; rare accidents can make it bigger. All possible fine-tunings are included without using any explicit FT parameter Δ . E.g. focus point is fine-tuning of λ_t .



Black dot = excluded spectrum. Red = excluded by LHC. Green = allowed

Fraction of alive CMSSM $\approx 1/\Delta$

Fraction of surviving CMSSM parameter space

any m_h

$m_h > 100$ GeV

$m_h > 110$ GeV

10.% after LEP

4.% after LEP

1.% after LEP

3.% after LHC


1.5% after LHC

0.7% after LHC

(The CMSSM prediction for m_h can be circumvented;
the theoretical uncertainty in m_h is about 3 GeV)

$M_Z \ll m_{\text{SUSY}} \Rightarrow$ **late SU(2) breaking**

The scale Q_0 at which RGE running makes $m_h^2(Q) < 0$ must be close to m_{SUSY}



SUSY little Higgs as pseudo-Goldstone of some symmetry broken at Q_0 ?

The sliding m_{SUSY} model

Assume that m_{SUSY} is a free parameter determined by minimizing V_{MSSM} (!)

$$\min V_{\text{MSSM}} \sim \begin{cases} 0 & m_{\text{SUSY}} > Q_0 \\ -m_{\text{SUSY}}^4 & m_{\text{SUSY}} < Q_0 \end{cases}$$

Prediction [hep-ph/0005203, BS]: $m_{\text{SUSY}} \lesssim Q_0$ and a loop factor above M_Z :

$$\frac{d\mu_u^2}{d\ln\mu} \sin^2 \beta + \frac{d\mu_d^2}{d\ln\mu} \cos^2 \beta - 2 \frac{d\mu_{ud}^2}{d\ln\mu} \sin \beta \cos \beta = M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta \xrightarrow{\text{one loop}} m_h^2$$

RGE loop factors are big: roughly this means


$$m_{\tilde{t}} \approx 4\pi M_Z / \sqrt{12} \approx 400 \text{ GeV}$$

Predicted: dashed line in the CMSSM plot.

Allowed: from 50% to 6% with LHC.

PS: BS hypothesis may be BS: $V \neq V_{\text{MSSM}}$.


Alternative interpretation in terms of anthropic pressure ($Q_0 \ll m_{\text{SUSY}}$): more rare than SM?



Conclusions

These beautiful ideas and the history of the Michelson-Morley experiment teach us that a negative experimental search can have deep theoretical implications.

“History repeats itself, first as tragedy, second as farce” .



Conclusions

*“Now this is not the end.
It is not even the beginning of the end.
But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning”.*

