# Including $\delta$ -rays in Simulation. What happens to global tracking?

Work in progress...

Milano Group

# Which Energy for $\delta$ -rays? $\frac{d^2N}{dTdx} = \frac{1}{2}Kz^2\frac{Z}{A}\frac{1}{\beta^2}\frac{F(T)}{T^2}$

Energy range of  $\delta$ -rays in real life:  $I \ll T \leq W_{\max}$ Energy range of  $\delta$ -rays in MC:  $E_{cut} < T \leq W_{\max}$ 

$$W_{\rm max} = \frac{2m_ec^2\,\beta^2\gamma^2}{1+2\gamma m_e/M+(m_e/M)^2}$$
Negligible term for us: M>>m\_e



#### CNAO2022\_MC campaign

#### 100 events. No $\delta$ -rays



100 events. With  $\delta$ -rays (E<sub>cut</sub> = 50 keV)



#### 10 events. Details of first section. No $\delta\text{-rays}$



10 events. Details of first section. With  $\delta$ -rays (E<sub>cut</sub> = 50 keV)



Question: could there be a double-counting in VTX? Is it trues that at simulation level clustering in VTX is derived from exp. data where  $\delta$ -rays already exist?

No. of processed events: 50000 No  $\delta$ -rays No. of TW points found: 49630 No. of MSD tracks found: 60007 No. of VTX tracks (incl. primary) Theta<10 deg: 105623 No of MSD tracks with 3 layers: 10179 10179 0 ==== Global tracking ==== No. of Global Tracks: 89510 No. of Global Tracks with a TW point found: 89510 No. of Global Tracks with Theta<10 deg: 89510 No. of Global Tracks with a TW point with Theta<10 deg: 89510 No. of processed events: 50000 With  $\delta$ -ravs No. of TW points found: 627 No. of MSD tracks found: 60117 No. of VTX tracks (incl. primary) Theta<10 deg: 105392 No of MSD tracks with 3 layers: 4978 4978 0 ==== Global tracking ==== No. of Global Tracks: 757 No. of Global Tracks with a TW point found: 757 No. of Global Tracks with Theta<10 deg: 757 No. of Global Tracks with a TW point with Theta<10 deg: 757

### What's happening????

## Genfit Reconstruction

Kalman preselection strategy: Sept2020 N measure in global tracking: 11

# Questions and considerations

- Why TW points are lost? (under investigation)
- Notice however that the no. of tracks in VTX remain ~the same. Not shown here, but also BM tracks are OK
- Instead, ~50% of MSD tracks are lost

Warning! There are considerations to be done:

- Which are the detection thresholds in simulation reconstruction? For instance in TW there is a 100 keV default\*
- It is useless to spend time (and disk) to generate particles which will not be detected.
   This should be studied more accurately

\*M. Toppi, private communication, in some campaigns this value was changed

# Example of concerns and unsolved questions: let's take the case of TW Scintillator @TW threshold value (100 keV) dE/dx(e<sup>-</sup>) = 2 MeV/cm

- → max (linearized) range is 0.18 mm
- → Totally contained and releases locally all its energy

Even @500 keV the max electron range wold be
~2 mm, but we have seen that the max energy for a δ-ray produced by a heavy particle (200 MeV/u) is < 500 KeV!</li>
→ Therefore all δ-rays produced in TW sould be locally contained!

→ The average amount of energy deposited in a bar (at least in FLUKA) must be the same irrespectively of explicit production of  $\delta$ -rays. Only the spatial distribution of energy depositionwill change.

#### Then:

- 1. Given those range and threshold values, is it worthwhile to generate  $\delta$ -rays in the scintillator?
- 2. If  $\delta$ -rays in TW seems to be a-priori not harmful, why are we losing TW points?



#### dE/dx

# Correlation in E\_deposited in TW: Layer0 vs Layer1 (MC truth before reconstruction)



Apparently nothing changes...