

# Predicted rates of Merging Neutron Stars in galaxies

M. Molero, P. Simonetti, F. Matteucci, M. della Valle

PhD Student: Marta Molero



SEXTEN CENTER FOR ASTROPHYSICS



11th of January 2023



## Chemical evolution of galaxies

STARS

ISM

#### GAS INFLOW INTO GALAXY

#### WIND OUTFLOW

### Equations of Chemical Evolution

•Rate at which chemical elements are subtracted by the ISM to be included in star

•Rate at which chemical elements are accreted through infall of gas

•Rate at which chemical elements are lost through galactic wind



#### •Rate at which the material is accreted by the BH

•Rate of restitution of matter from stars to the ISM





s-process: the unstable nuclide created by neutron capture will decay in a stable nuclide before it has time to capture another neutron

**r-process:** there is time for multiple neutron captures before the first  $\beta$ -decay occurs





Weak s-process: (rotating) massive stars  $(M > 8M_{\odot})$ 

Main s-process: Low-intermediate mass stars (LIMS,  $M < 8M_{\odot}$ ) during the AGB phase

Strong s-process: Low-metallicity lowmass AGB stars



#### **CC-SNe**



Standard supernovae cannot produce elements beyond the second r-process peak: the eject is often proton rich with some small clumps of slightly neutron-rich material

#### MRD-SNe



In contrast to "typical" neutrino-driven CC-SNe, where matter is processed by neutrinos and therefore neutrons can react to protons, MRD-SNe may eject matter that is dominantly driven by magnetic pressure and therefore conserve neutron rich conditions



#### MNS



Consisting mainly out of material from the neutron star itself and being located far away from the colliding neutron stars, the tidally ejected and unshocked matter is to a minimum processed by neutrinos, therefore it is very neutron rich and an ideal host of the r-process





### The Rate of Merging Neutron Stars

•  $\alpha_{MNS}$  the fraction of stars which gives rise to a merging event •  $f_{MNS}(\tau)$  the delay time distribution (DTD) •  $\tau = \tau_n + \tau_{gw}$  the delay time

# $R_{MNS}(t) = k_{\alpha} \int_{\tau}^{\min(t,\tau_x)} \alpha_{MNS}(\tau) \psi(t-\tau) f_{MNS}(\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau$





Simonetti+19; see also Greggio, Simonetti & Matteucci 2021



systems for which the delay is dominated by gravitational radiation



### Galaxies of different morphological type



Chomiuk&Povich(2011); Rubele+15

Type	$M_{infall} (M_{\odot})$	$\nu~(Gyr^{-1})$	$\tau_{infall} (Gyr)$
Spirals	$5.0 imes10^{10}$	1	7
Irregular	$5.5 imes10^8$	0.1	7
Ellipticals	$5.0 imes10^{11}$	17	0.2







#### DTD



Model	Galaxy Type	MNS DTD	$\alpha_{MNS}$ (×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	MNS rate $(M yr^{-1})$
1Sa	Spiral	$\beta = -1.5$	5.58	72
2Sa	Spiral	$\beta = -0.9$	5.42	80
3Sa	Spiral	$\beta = 0.0$	5.21	92
4Sa	Spiral	$\beta = 0.9$	5.06	105
5Sa	Spiral	Constant 10 Myr	6.15	77
1Ia	Irregular	$\beta = -0.9$	5.58	12
2Ia	Irregular	Constant 10 Myr	6.15	13
1Ea	Elliptical	$\beta = -0.9$	5.58	15
2Ea	Elliptical	Constant 10 Myr	6.15	0



### [Eu/Fe] vs [Fe/H] in the Milky Way **QUICK SOURCE!**



halo stars from JINABase and 374 MW observational data: 426 thin disk stars from Battistini&Bensby+16

**CC-SNe:** 

• Main producers of  $\alpha$ -elements • 1/3 of Fe producers • From massive stars (short lifetime)

SNe Ia: • Main producers of Fe • From low-mass stars (long lifetime)



### [Eu/Fe] vs [Fe/H] in the Milky Way





### only MNS

#### MNS + Massive stars

 When MNS are the sole producers of Eu, the model which better reproduces the [Eu/Fe] vs [Fe/H] pattern is the one with a constant and short delay

• When MS co-produce Eu with MNS, they become the main production site and dominate the relation

Either NS all merge on short timescales or they cannot be the major producers of Eu





Cosmic rate: the rate in a coming unitary volume of the Universe

#### Galaxy number density

 $n_k = n_{k,0}(1+z)^{\beta_k}$ 

Type	$n_k (\times 10^{-3}) Mpc^{-3}$	$\beta_k$
Spirals	8.4	0.9
Irregular	0.6	0.0
Ellipticals	2.24	-2.5

Gioannini, Matteucci, Calura 2017

### Cosmic Rates

• PLE (pure luminosity evolution) scenario,  $\beta_k = 0$ ;

• DE (density evolution-hierarchical clustering) scenario,  $\beta_k \neq 0$ ;

Alternative scenario (Pozzi+15)





#### • PLE (pure luminosity evolution) scenario, $\beta_k = 0$

### $n_k = n_{k,0}(1+z)^{\beta_k} = n_{k,0}$

Type	$n_k (\times 10^{-3}) Mpc^{-3}$	$\beta_k$
Spirals	8.4	0.9
Irregular	0.6	0.0
Ellipticals	2.24	-2.5

Cosmic Rates







### • DE (density evolution-hierarchical clustering) scenario, $\beta_k \neq 0$

 $n_k = n_{k,0}(1+z)^{\beta_k}$ 

Type	$n_k (\times 10^{-3}) M$
Spirals	8.4
Irregular	0.6
Ellipticals	2.24

Cosmic Rates









### • Alternative scenario observational derived (Pozzi+15):

## $n_{s} = \begin{cases} n_{0,s}(1+z)^{\beta_{s}} & 0 < z < 2.3 \\ n_{0,s}(1+z)e^{-(1+z)/2} & z > 2.3 \end{cases}$

Ellipticals are assumed to stars forming at z=5and half of them form in the range 1 < z < 2

### Cosmic Rates



2



8

6

Redshift

10

### Cosmic Rates

Considering the sky areas and volumes patrolled by LSST and VST (see Della Valle+18) we can compute the predicted number of Kilonovae detections for those surveys

Kilonovae are intrinsically weak objects detectable at low redshift where our number of MNS in spirals and irregulars cannot be used to disentangle among different scenarios

Observations of MNS in early-type galaxies are of the utmost importance because they can effectively help to discriminate models

#### DTD

Туре	Scenario	LSST	VST
Spiral	PLE	1850	91
Irregular	PLE	21	1
Elliptical	PLE	74	4
Elliptical	DE	17	0
Elliptical	alternative	130	6

### no DTD

Туре	Scenario	LSST	VST
Spiral	PLE	2215	100
Irregular	PLE	28	1
Elliptical	PLE	0	0
Elliptical	DE	0	0
Elliptical	alternative	0	0



### No DTD





#### DTD



• PLE scenario with constant delay: first peak at  $z \sim 8$  due to the high redshift formation of ellipticals. When the SF in ellipticals stops, the CMNSR abruptly decreases and its evolution is then due to spirals, leading to a second peak at  $z \sim 2$ 

• If a DTD is adopted, the decrease is smoother since MNS will not stop after the quenching of the SF and the ellipticals will contribute to the CMNSR during the whole range of redshift





#### DTD

### No DTD

 $CMNSR = \sum R_{MNS,k}(t)n_k$ 

k

		PLE scenario			
MNS	TOT CMNSR $(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	Spirals CMNSR	Ellipticals CMNSR	Irregulars CMN	
DTD		$(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	$(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	(yr <sup>-1</sup> Gpc <sup>-1</sup>	
Constant 10 Myr	651	643	0	8	
$\beta = -0.9$	647	607	33	7	
	DE scenario				
MNS	TOT CMNSR $(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	Spirals CMNSR	Ellipticals CMNSR	Irregulars CMN	
DTD		$(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	$(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	(yr <sup>-1</sup> Gpc <sup>-2</sup>	
Constant 10 Myr	751	706	0	8	
$\beta = -0.9$	662	651	3	7	
ALTERNATIVE scenario					
MNS DTD	TOT CMNSR $(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	Spirals CMNSR $(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	Ellipticals CMNSR $(yr^{-1}Gpc^{-3})$	Irregulars CMN (yr <sup>-1</sup> Gpc <sup>-2</sup>	
Constant 10 Myr	715	706	0	8	
$\beta = -0.9$	711	651	52	7	

$$1540^{+3200}_{-1220}Gpc^{-3}yr^{-1}$$

Abbott+17

 $800_{-600}^{+2000} Gpc^{-3} yr^{-1}$ 

DellaValle+18

 $320_{-240}^{+490} Gpc^{-3} yr^{-1}$ 

Abbott+21





DTD



PLE scenario

DE scenario

 $10^{1}_{0}^{+}$ 

ALTERNATIVE scenario

2

4

Redshift

6

10

8



k





 $CSFR = \sum \Psi_k(t)n_k$ 







- and irregulars) with different histories of SF
- $\beta = -0.9$  or a constant and short (10 Myr) delay time for merging
- assuming only MNS as Eu producers only if a constant total delay time of 10 Myr is assumed.
- If more realistic DTDs are assumed also massive stars must produce r-process material with MNS

### Conclusions

• We computed the rate of MNS in galaxies of different morphological type (ellipticals, spirals

• The present time rate of MNS in the MW is well reproduced either by assuming a DTD with

• The evolution of the [Eu/Fe] vs [Fe/H] has also been studied and it can be reproduced by





- DE and alternative
- Our predictions for the present time CMNSR in all three cosmological scenario are Valle+18
- constant delay time too many event at high redshift are produced.
- different kilonovae rates in elliptical even at very low redshift.

### Conclusions

• We computed the cosmic evolution of the MNS rate in the three cosmological scenario: PLE,

consistent with the rate of MNS observed by LIGO/Virgo and the one estimated by Della

• Assuming the alternative scenario as the best one, the sGRBs redshift distribution proposed by Ghirlanda+16 is best represented by our CMNSR with a DTD ( $\beta = -0.9$ ). In the case of a

• At least in principle, the observations of the number of MNS can be used to discriminate the different scenarios at play. In particular, each scenario is capable of predicting significantly

