#### **Global Alignment**

# GSI 2021 data run with target (4306)

#### Vertex Alignment

✤ Residuals (cluster size > 20):



Good alignment !

#### Vertex - BM Alignment

✤ Residuals (distance): 1 track in VTX and 1 track in BM



➡ Relative alignment < 300 µm</p>

Ch. Finck - IPHC

# Global Alignment (i)

✤ Residuals with extrapolated VTX track to TW (after alignment)



Resolution around 30 µm

# Global Alignment (ii)

\* X-Residuals with extrapolated VTX track to TW (after alignment)



# Global Alignment (iii)



## Global Alignment (iv)

- Alignment with TW and CAL
  - FOOT\_4271.geo

MicroStripBaseName: "MSD" MicroStripPosX: 0.8 MicroStripPosY: 0. MicroStripPosZ: 44.65 MicroStripAngX: 0. MicroStripAngY: 0. MicroStripAngZ: 0.	
TofWallBaseName: "TW"	
TofWallPosX: -0.850 TofWallPosY: -1.150 TofWallPosZ: 193.5	
TotwallAngX: 0. TotwallAngY: 0. TotwallAngZ: 0.	
CaloBaseName: "CA"	
CaloPosX: -2.40 CaloPosY: 2.52 CaloPosZ: 211.7	
CaloAngX: 0. CaloAngY: 0. CaloAngZ: 0.	

Seems to be displaced by one crystal in CAL (mapping ?)

# Global Alignment (v)

Number of cluster per global track



- Mostly when 4 sensors fired in VTX, find a corresponding cluster in MSD
- As well when 3 stations in MSD fired, find a corresponding point in TW
- Nearly 50 % of the tracks reaching TW do not match with CAL (only one module)

#### Atomic charge

\*Atomic charge distribution in TW

glb - Z distribution



- Mostly oxygen beam

- See also the fragments

#### Mass

Mass distribution in CAL



- See one peak, since no full calibration wrong mean value
- Do not see secondaries, since only one module at 0º

### Global Alignment (vi)

✤ Global Display



Ch. Finck - IPHC

#### Conclusions

- Thanks to the hard work of Roberto and Yun et al.
  - We are to able to align trackers + TW & CAL
  - Need to understand the displacement of CAL
- Any hope for charge calibration in CAL (GSI2021) ?