#### Geodetic Observatory Wettzell





Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie



# High Resolution Inertial Earth Sensing with Large Sagnac Interferometers

- Ulrich Schreiber, Jan Kodet, Urs Hugentobler and Thomas Klügel\*
  - Research Unit Satellite Geodesy
  - Technical University of Munich
  - \*Federal Agency of Cartography and Geodesy

# "Requirements for space geodesy" or what is the motivation to build large ring lasers

- Establishment of exact positions and the structure of extra-galactic radio sources (quasars)
- Determination of precise global, regional and local 3D coordinates (navigation, global change)
- Determination of the instantaneous earth rotation axis and the rate of rotation as a function of time. (This allows the transformation between terrestrial and celestial reference frame)
- Determination of the gravity field of the earth and its variation over time (mass transport phenomena)

# What did we find on the roadside?

A lot of ground motion and heaps of seismological signals...



# Earth rotation shows a complex behavior



c) mass redistribution on Earth and the fact that the figure axis and the axis of Inertia are not coinciding, give rise to polar motion

a) the rotation rate of the earth is not constant. Deceleration by dissipation and variation by momentum exchange. Free oscillations excited by ocean, atmosphere

> b) gravitational attraction of sun and moon on a near spherical object give rise to precession and nutation



# What signals do we have to expect:



Bilger et al., "Ring lasers for geodesy," IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 468-470, (1995)





# There are different concepts for the estimation of the motion of the earth

Star Compass



The measured rotational motion is not identical, since VLBI is not sensitive to relativistic effects

## Inertial Compass





# There are different concepts for the estimation of the motion of the earth

Eos, Vol. 72, No. 49, December 3, 1991

# As the World Turns, II

PAGES 550-551

#### **B.** Fong Chao



---Come to think of it, no one ever said the Earth's rotation could not be measured with an apparatus in the comfort of a windowless basement.

The measured rotational motion is not identical, since VLBI is not sensitive to relativistic effects





# ... one of 6 globally distributed fundamental stations

# Geodetic Observatory Wettzell...

# Sagnac interferometer (1913)



GP-B: 2.56 x 10<sup>-11</sup> rad/s/sqrt(Hz)  $(1.35 \times 10^{-13} \text{ rad/s} \otimes 10 \text{ h})$ PRL 106, 221101 (2011)

## PASSIVE

FOG: (large scale factor... but sensitivity, stability insufficient for geodesy)  $\Delta \Omega \leq 10 \times 10^{-8} \text{ rad/s/sqrt(Hz)}$ (Optics LETTERS **38**, 1092–1094 (2013))

# externally injected stabilized laser beams:

(concept shown, backscatter the same as in RLGs)  $\Delta \Omega \leq 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ rad/s/sqrt(Hz)}$ (Optics LETTERS 44, 2732-2735 (2019))

#### ACTIVE

# Large Ring Laser: operational

1.2 x 10<sup>-11</sup> rad/s/sqrt(Hz)  $(3 \times 10^{-13} \text{ rad/s} \otimes 10 \text{ h})$ 

(PRL 107, 173904 (2011))

#### atom interferometry: (short-term)

6 x 10<sup>-10</sup> rad/s/sqrt(Hz)

(Class. Quant. Grav. 17, 2385-2398 (2000))

#### Josephson effect: delicate + small, 8 x 10<sup>-9</sup> rad/s/sqrt(Hz) (Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 016401, (2012))





















# Large Ring Lasers







# Ring laser essentials

- A ring laser gyroscope is defined by a closed light path around a contour
- It contains a laser gain medium (neutral atom gas) all around the cavity
- The sensitivity is given by the scale factor



- The gyro is entirely insensitive to translations and has a linear transfer function
- The rotation signal is encoded in frequency modulation (beat note)

 $10^{-9}\Omega_{\rm E} \approx 0.07$  prad/s /



$$\delta f = \frac{4A}{\lambda P} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\Omega} + f_{nr}$$







# Ring laser essentials



C-II



$$\Delta \Omega = \frac{c P}{4AQ} \sqrt{\frac{h f}{P_x t}}$$

sensitive parameters

- The experienced rotation rate is entirely a matter of the cavity
- The enclosed area and the losses determine the ultimately achievable sensor resolution
- We use light and optical interference to probe the rotation sensing ability
- Therefore active and passive systems are entirely equivalent and this includes FOGs as well.
- Things however become tricky when we wish to extract the rotation rate at very high resolution and stability















## Sagnac interferometry and the ring laser G

For an active cavity, HeNe is the gain medium of choice: It is a neutral atom gas laser with suitable isotopic shift of ≈ 800 MHz to decouple the 2 beams (no mode competition) and ensures a very narrow line-width

Example G (monolithic)

Perimeter: 16 m

Area:  $16 \text{ m}^2$ 

Losses: ≈ 46 ppm

circ. power: 153 mW

 $\mathbf{Q} = \boldsymbol{\omega}\boldsymbol{\tau} \approx \mathbf{5} \times \mathbf{10}^{12}$ 







...internal shot noise limit, but we cannot access that !!! We can only access the light leakage through a mirror, which is small in order to make the losses low

This provides the single mode sensor resolution, where  $P_{x}$  now is 28 nW and  $\Delta\Omega$  comes to

```
8.9 10 x 10<sup>-11</sup> rad/s/sqrt(Hz)
```

Further complications are a limited quantum efficiency  $\eta_D$  of the detector as well as the contrast in the interferogram (astigmatism)

More issues follow from the intrinsic electronic noise sources:

detector, digitizer, frequency estimator, coating and substrate noise (fluctuation, dissipation theorem)

All this only addresses sensitivity. An entirely different story is sensor stability and accuracy.



# $\eta_D \approx 0.7 \qquad K \approx 0.8$

$$\Delta \Omega = \frac{c P}{4AQ} \sqrt{\frac{h f}{P_x \eta_D K t}} \qquad K = \frac{I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}}{I_{\text{max}} + I_{\text{min}}}$$

## HeNe ring lasers are perfect spectroscopic systems, because of a very narrow linewidth





### Heterolithic concept: UG-1/2 RLG with up to 834 m<sup>2</sup> of area



UG-2 is a stainless steel rectangular ring laser structure and about 8 orders of magnitude more sensitive than the Michelson – Gale installation



University of Chicago Photographic Archive [apf1-04511r]

### Heterolithic concept: UG-1/2 RLG with up to 834 m<sup>2</sup> of area

on (mm)

of-plai

Terrain and sensor deformation caused by local tilt generate beam wander, scale factor variation and a change in sensor orientation







University of Chicago Photographic Archive [apf1-04509r]

The advantages from upscaling are lost by the lack of stability

## ROMY – A 4 component ring laser structure



Hand, E. (2017): Lord of the rings; Science; Vol. 356; Issue 6335; pp. 236-238; doi: 10.1126/science.356.6335.236









- Top: Reduced stability from the stainless steel structure expressed by Allan Deviation
- Bottom: The trend shows a drift from building setting in the early days of ROMY
- Left: Misalignment of ROMY with respect to North and the local horizontal



```
Polar motion derived by the ROMY array...
```

...and the instantaneous rotation rate of the Earth ( $\Delta UT1$ )

# The literature usually presents precision! however, we need accuracy and stability and that is much more difficult



# The classical error sources

G Random Walk Estimate  $\approx$  1.76 nrad/h Hi-end nav. Gyro:  $\approx 0.001^{\circ}/h \approx 17.5 \,\mu rad/h$ 





# Error contributions in the G ring laser gyro

#### Scale Factor

Effect	Value for G	Error (ppb)
Goos Hänchen Displacement	1 + 8.78e-8	1.6
Refractive Index	1 - 6.616e-7	1.6
Dispersion from Mirrors and Plasma	1 - 2.527e-7	0.2
Beam Abberation	1 + 4.11e-8	0.3

Laser Dynamics



# Beam intensities have never been equal (nullshift)







# Optical Frequency and temperature in G over more than 500 days





# Sensor noise

 $\rightarrow$ 



Interferometer is not yet limited by micro-seismics under low noise conditions

(mirror substrate, electronics, frequency estimator and finally the earth)



## Noise contributions on G...

- a) noise floor from intensity stabilization (electronics)
- b) frequency estimator noise
- c) quantum noise limit of G
- d) observed G performance
- e) coating and cavity noise

# Sensor noise (mirror substrate, electronics, frequency estimator and finally the earth)



Figure courtesy of A. Brotzer



# There is a smoking gun in the tiltmeters



- The tiltmeters show significant systematics and their contribution is considerably large
- 3 nrad in tilt  $\approx$  correspond to 1  $\mu$ Hz in Sagnac (note that LoD has about 5  $\mu$ Hz<sub>pp</sub>)
- The apparent drift between the tiltmeters is much larger than the respective deviation in Sagnac
- If we convert the trend of the ring laser residuals to tilt, we get the red curve
- There is a little more "small scale" variability in the red curve compared to the various tiltmeters





#### Power spectral density over nearly 10 decades



- a) 1/f noise boundary
- b) secondary microseismic band
- c) intensity feedback loop
- d) plasma generated modulation (subharmonics from mains)





# Orientation related observables for G



Sum of Geophysical Signals [µHz]

# $\Omega$ related observable for G from mass transport phenomena



For comparison:  $\Omega_{rel}$  at the level of 1% requires  $\approx$  35 nHz resolution and above all accuracy



#### The extended ring laser equation for a single component gyro





Excellent agreement between model and measurements

- 1. LoD component (28 days)
- 2. LoD component (14 days)
- 3. LoD component (9 days)
- 4. Ocean loading
- 5. Atmospheric tides



#### Global versus local Measurements (time domain)



• Measurements 1 data point per hour (a) and 1 data point in 3 hours (b)

• LoD signal (black) derived from IERS daily finals (one value per day)



# Ring Laser Observation of LoD

The black curve shows the measurements

The red curve indicates the LoD signal from the IERS website

However, we still have a mix of global and local rotation to deal with



#### Rotation Sensing with Large Ring Lasers

Applications in Geophysics and Geodesy

Ulrich Schreiber and Jon-Paul Wells



#### Cambridge University Press

www.cambridge.org Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781108422550 DOI: 10.1017/9781108524933

First published 2023 Printed in <country> by <printer> A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library. Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

ISBN 978-1-108-42255-0 Hardback

#### Variability introduced by the tilt measurement



This all said: This is the first ever measurement of the variation in the rotation rate of the Earth with a local inertial sensor at much higher rate and without smoothing. Compared to the time series obtained from GNSS and VLBI one has to expect differences.















Significantly reduced gain, but scale factor up to 20% larger and backscatter much reduced, due to smaller spot size.

612 nm 604 nm 594 nm



543 nm



# The highest frequency component in "LoD" is at 1 $\mu$ Hz (fortnightly period)

- A joint plot of IERS data and ring laser observations clearly shows that G detects the contribution of LoD in its measurements
- The SNR is not yet overwhelming, nevertheless it is the first ever measurement of LoD from an inertial sensor
- The seasonal part of LoD is larger but about 1 decade lower in frequency





Upscaling by Wavelength...

700

Going to 543 nm is promising, but comes at a price. The gain is 1/17 that of 632.8 nm. It does not only require a long gain tube, it also causes fancy gas dynamics in the form acoustic resonances in t electro magnetic field of the laser excitation.





Polar Motion and Solid Earth Tides show up in all Gyros from 1 – 400 m<sup>2</sup> with progressive difficulties from lacking stability above 16 m<sup>2</sup>

#### Ring lasers and mirrors





Figure 4.39 The placement of a strong rare earth magnet behind the mirror holder (see fig. 4.38) caused an offset of about 80  $\mu$ , which did not depend on the actual laser beam power.







Figure 4.40 The magnet was placed with about 20 mm clearance over the plasma in the gaintube section. This caused an offset in the in the observed beatnote of more than 1.845 mHz.



# In the end we have to find out what is the true "Length of Day" variation

# Global mean surface temperature over the last 24000 years

∆ Global Temperature [°C]



Age [years before 1950]

#### Driver

- Solar radiation and Earth albedo and greenhouse gases
- Ocean circulation
- Variation in Earth orbit and solar radiation (Milancoviccycles)

![](_page_51_Figure_8.jpeg)

# System Earth – Relevant Timescales

#### Lithosphere:

Plate Tectonics  $\leftrightarrow$  Earthquakes

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Millions of Years} \leftrightarrow \mbox{several Seconds} \\ \mbox{cm/year} \leftrightarrow \mbox{km/s} \end{array}$ 

Hydrosphere:

Sea Level Rise ↔ Tsunami

3 mm/year ↔ 300 m/s

Atmosphere:

 $Climate \leftrightarrow Weather$ 

years – decades  $\leftrightarrow$  hours – days

#### $\rightarrow$ Requirements

Measurement techniques of extremely high resolution and stability Quantification of very small and slow processes vs. highly dynamic realtime

![](_page_52_Figure_12.jpeg)

Friedrich Robert Helmert:

"Geodesy is the science of the measurement and mapping of the earth surface"

We want positioning (navigation) on earth, but our observed targets (satellites, quasars and stars) are represented in space

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### ➢ "Internal" Goal

Evolution of GGOS and the geodetic observation technologies to establish an Earth fixed reference frame with a relative accuracy of at least

 $10^{-9} = 1 \text{ ppb}$ 

with high spatial and temporal resolution.

#### "External" Goal

Integration of GGOS as an important contributor into Earth System Research (Modeling of physical, chemical and biological processes).

Contributions: Mass transport, dynamics, surface deformations.

![](_page_54_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_12.jpeg)

# G performance in 2022 (post error correction)

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)