Testing Theories of Gravity by GINGER Experiment



Salvatore Capozziello







General Relativity

- Describes the gravitational interaction by spacetime curvature. The foundation is the Equivalence Principle.
- Successfully passes the Solar System Tests
- In a static and spherically Symmetric background

Schwarzschild Metric

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - rac{2GM}{c^2 r}
ight)c^2 dt^2 - \left(1 - rac{2GM}{c^2 r}
ight)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d heta^2 - r^2 {
m sen}^2 heta d\phi^2$$

Shortcomings of GR

Large Scales (IR)

- Universe accelerated expansion
- Galaxy Rotation Curve
- > Dark Energy
- Dark Matter
- Tensions of cosmological parameters
- \succ H₀ tension

Small Scales (UV)

- Renormalizability
- GR cannot be quantized
- GR cannot be treated under the same standard of other gauge theories
- Discrepancy between theoretical and experimental value of A
- Spacetime singularities
- No quantum description of spacetime



No theory is capable of solving these problems at once so far



Most theories can be reduced to GR +scalar fields by the Lovelock Theorem



Most theories can be reduced to the following classes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Horava-Lifshits Gravity} \\ S &= \int d^3 x \, dt \, \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left(K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda K^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{\kappa^2}{2w^4} \left(\nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^i R^{jk} - \nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^j R^{ik} - \frac{1}{8} \nabla_i R \nabla^i R \right) \right\} \\ & \left(ds^2 &= N^2 dt^2 - g_{ij} \left(dx^i + N^i dt \right) \left(dx^j + N^j dt \right) \\ & K_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2N} \left(\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i \right) \end{aligned} \right) \\ & K^2 &= g_{ij} K^{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Both provide the Schwarzschild solution as a particular limit

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - rac{2GM}{c^2 r}
ight)c^2 dt^2 - \left(1 - rac{2GM}{c^2 r}
ight)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d heta^2 - r^2 {
m sen}^2 heta d\phi^2$$

Scalar-Tensor Gravity



Horava-Lifshitz Theory



spherically symmetric solution:

$$g_{00} = (g_{11})^{-1} = 1 + \omega r^2 - \omega r^2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{4M}{\omega r^3}}$$
$$\omega \longrightarrow \text{Constant}$$

Schwarzschild solution:

$$4M/\omega r^3 \ll 1$$

Is it possible to find out probes and test-beds for Theories of Gravity?

- Geodesic motions around compact objects (e.g- SgrA*)
- Lense-Thirring effect
- Torsion-balance experiments
- > Microgravity experiments
- Free-fall in atomic physics
- Violation of Equivalence Principle
- > effective masses related to further gravitational degrees of freedom



Lense Thirring Effect

This effect can be obtained starting from a Kerr-like metric



Weak-Field limit



Scheme:

$$\begin{split} \label{eq:sphere:sp$$

Weak field in Scalar-Tensor Gravity

Linearization of the metric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 + g_{00}^{(2)} + g_{00}^{(4)} + \dots & g_{0i}^{(3)} + \dots \\ g_{0i}^{(3)} + \dots & -\delta_{ij} + g_{ij}^{(2)} + \dots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\phi + 2\Xi & 2A_i \\ 2A_i & -\delta_{ij} + 2\Psi\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

- Three potentials arise: two scalar potentials and one vector potential
- Φ , Ψ are proportional to the power c^{-2} (Newtonian limit) while A_i is proportional to c^{-3} and Ξ to c^{-4} (post-Newtonian limit)

$$ds^{2} = \mathcal{A}(t, r, \theta)dt^{2} + \mathcal{B}(t, r, \theta)dr^{2} + \mathcal{C}(t, r, \theta)d\theta^{2} + \mathcal{D}(t, r, \theta)\sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2} + \mathcal{E}(t, r, \theta)dt d\phi$$

$$g_{00} \equiv \mathcal{A}(t, r, \theta)$$

$$g_{0i} = \mathcal{E}(t, r, \theta)$$

$$g_{ij}\delta^{ij} = \mathcal{B}(t, r, \theta) + \mathcal{C}(t, r, \theta) + \mathcal{D}(t, r, \theta)$$
Kerr spacetime

Weak field in Scalar-Tensor Gravity



The function f, up to the c^{-4} order, can be developed as:

$$\begin{split} f(R, R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}, \phi) &= f_R(0, 0, \phi^{(0)})R + \frac{f_{RR}(0, 0, \phi^{(0)})}{2}R^2 + \frac{f_{\phi\phi}(0, 0, \phi^{(0)})}{2}(\phi - \phi^{(0)})^2 \\ &+ f_{R\phi}(0, 0, \phi^{(0)})R\phi + f_Y(0, 0, \phi^{(0)})R_{\alpha\beta}R^{\alpha\beta}, \end{split}$$

Weak field in Scalar-Tensor Gravity

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Vector potential} & \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{G}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \left[1 - (1 + m_Y |\mathbf{x}|) e^{-m_Y |\mathbf{x}|} \right] \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{J} \\ \text{Scalar potential} & \phi(r) = -\frac{GM}{r} \left[1 + g(\xi, \eta) e^{-m_R \tilde{k}_R r} \right. \\ & \left. + \left[1/3 - g(\xi, \eta) \right] e^{-m_R \tilde{k}_\phi r} - \frac{4}{3} e^{-m_Y r} \right] \end{aligned}$

with the definitions:

$$\begin{split} m_R^2 &= -\frac{1}{3f_{RR}\left(0,0,\phi^{(0)}\right) + 2f_Y\left(0,0,\phi^{(0)}\right)} \\ m_Y^2 &= \frac{1}{f_Y\left(0,0,\phi^{(0)}\right)} \qquad \eta = \frac{m_\phi}{m_R} \\ m_\phi^2 &= -\frac{f_{\phi\phi}\left(0,0,\phi^{(0)}\right)}{2\omega\left(\phi^{(0)}\right)} \qquad g(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1 - \eta^2 + \xi + \sqrt{\eta^4 + (\xi - 1)^2 - 2\eta^2(\xi + 1)}}{6\sqrt{\eta^4 + (\xi - 1)^2 - 2\eta^2(\xi + 1)}} \\ \xi &= \frac{3f_{R\phi}\left(0,0,\phi^{(0)}\right)^2}{2\omega\left(\phi^{(0)}\right)} \qquad \tilde{k}_{R,\phi}^2 = \frac{1 - \xi + \eta^2 \pm \sqrt{(1 - \xi + \eta^2)^2 - 4\eta^2}}{2} \end{split}$$

Lense-Thirring precession in Scalar-Tensor Gravity

$$\Omega_{\rm LT}^{\rm (EG)} = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i A_k) (\epsilon_{\ell nk} \partial^\ell A^k) = \frac{G}{r^3} \sqrt{\left(\epsilon_{\ell km} \partial^m \epsilon^{ijk} J_i x_j\right)^2} = -e^{-m_Y r} \left(1 + m_Y r + m_Y^2 r^2\right) \Omega_{\rm LT}^{\rm (GR)}$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{G}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \left[1 - (1 + m_Y |\mathbf{x}|) e^{-m_Y |\mathbf{x}|} \right] \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{J} \qquad Y \equiv R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\Omega_{LT}^{(GR)} = \frac{r_S}{4Mr^3} J \qquad \qquad m_Y^2 = \frac{1}{f_Y \left(0, 0, \phi^{(0)}\right)}$$

For $f_{\gamma} \rightarrow 0$ i.e. $m_{\gamma} \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the same outcome for the gravitational potential of $f(R, \phi)$ -theory

Experimental constraints



Experimental constrains by GP-B

$$\Omega_{\text{LT}}^{(\text{EG})} = -e^{-m_Y r} (1 + m_Y r + m_Y^2 r^2) \Omega_{\text{LT}}^{(\text{GR})} \quad and \qquad \Omega_{\text{LT}}^{(\text{GR})} = \frac{G}{2r^3} \mathbf{J}$$

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{LT}} = \mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{LT}}^{(\text{GR})} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\text{LT}}^{(\text{EG})}$$

$$\text{GR=general relativity}$$

$$\text{EG= extended gravity}$$

The Gravity Probe B (GP-B) four gyroscopes aboard an Earth-orbiting satellite allowed to measure the frame-dragging effect with an error of about 19%

Effect	Measured (mas/y)	Predicted (mas/y)	$\left \frac{\Omega_{obs}^{LT}-\Omega_{GR}^{LT}}{\Omega_{GR}^{LT}}\right =0.05$
Geodesic precession Lense-Thirring precession	$6602 \pm 18 \\ 37.2 \pm 7.2$	6606 39.2	

The changes in the direction of spin gyroscopes, contained in the satellite orbiting at h = 650 km of altitude and crossing directly over the poles, have been measured with extreme precision

Experimental constrains: GP-B

Results

1)
$$(1 + m_Y r^* + m_Y r^{*2}) e^{-m_Y r^*} \lesssim \frac{\delta |\Omega_{\rm LT}|}{|\Omega_{\rm LT}^{(\rm GR)}|} \simeq 0.19$$

2)
$$m_Y \ge 7.3 \times 10^{-7} m^{-1}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}}\,=\,\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{(\mathrm{GR})}+\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{(\mathrm{EG})}$$

$$\Omega_{\rm LT}^{\rm (EG)} = -e^{-m_Y r} (1 + m_Y r + m_Y^2 r^2) \Omega_{\rm LT}^{\rm (GR)}$$





Experimental constrains by LARES

The Laser Relativity Satellite (LARES) mission of the Italian Space Agency is designed to test the frame dragging and the Lense-Thirring effect, to within 1% of the value predicted in the framework of GR

The body of this satellite has a diameter of about 36.4 cm and weights about 400 kg

It was inserted in an orbit with 1450 km of perigee, an inclination of 69.5 \pm 1 degrees and eccentricity 9.54 \times 10⁻⁴

It allows to obtain a **stronger constraint** for m_{γ} :



$$(1 + m_Y r^* + m_Y^2 r^{*2}) e^{-m_Y r^*} \lesssim \frac{\delta |\Omega_{\rm LT}|}{|\Omega_{\rm LT}^{(\rm GR)}|} \simeq 0.01$$

From which we obtain $m_{\gamma} \ge 1.2 \times 10^{-6} m^{-1}$

S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase et al. Phys.Rev.D 91 (2015) 4, 044012

LARES and GP-B

Summing up, using data from the Gravity Probe B and LARES missions, we obtain constraints on m_{γ} .



These results show that space-based experiments can be used to test extensively parameters of fundamental theories

Further limits by GINGER

GINGER: the case of Horava-Lifshitz Gravity





Weak field limit in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity

$$S = \int d^3x \, dt \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left(K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda K^2 \right) - \frac{\kappa^2}{2w^4} \left(\nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^i R^{jk} - \nabla_i R_{jk} \nabla^j R^{ik} - \frac{1}{8} \nabla_i R \nabla^i R \right) \right\}$$
$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \left(\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i \right) \qquad K^2 = g_{ij} K^{ij}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Linearization of the metric tensor} \\ & g_{\mu\nu} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 + g_{00}^{(2)} + g_{00}^{(4)} + \dots & g_{0i}^{(3)} + \dots \\ & g_{0i}^{(3)} + \dots & -\delta_{ij} + g_{ij}^{(2)} + \dots \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

With similar computations as in the previous case, the ratio between the Horava-Lifshitz and General Relativity Gyroscopic precession is

$$\frac{\Omega^G_{HL}}{\Omega^G_{GR}} = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + 2 \frac{G}{G_N} a_1 - 2 \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right)$$

 a_1, a_2 constants to be constrained $\Omega^G_{HL} \longrightarrow Gyroscopic \ precession$

G is the effective gravitational constant

Constraining a_1, a_2

Motivations: It has been shown that, in order for the matter coupling to be consistent with solar system tests, the gauge field and the Newtonian potential must be coupled to matter in a specific way, but there are no indication on how to obtain the precise prescription from the action principle. Recently such a prescription has been generalised and a scalar-tensor extension of the theory has been developed to allow the needed coupling to emerge in the IR regime without spoiling the power-counting renormalizability of the theory.

Vector

 $\sigma =$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \begin{array}{l} \text{Matter action} \\ \text{Matter action} \\ \text{Matter action} \\ S_M = \int dt d^3 x \tilde{N} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \ \mathcal{L}_M \ (\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}_i, \tilde{g}_{ij}; \psi_n) \\ & \\ \text{S}_M = \int dt d^3 x \tilde{N} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \ \mathcal{L}_M \ (\tilde{N}, \tilde{N}_i, \tilde{g}_{ij}; \psi_n) \\ & \\ \text{Lapse function} \\ & \\ \tilde{N} = (1 - a_1 \sigma) N, \\ & \\ \tilde{N}^i = N^i + N g^{ij} \nabla_j \phi, \\ & \\ \tilde{g}_{ij} = (1 - a_2 \sigma)^2 g_{ij}, \end{array}$$

 a_1, a_2 are then related to the potentials and can be constrained by GINGER

GINGER measures the difference in frequency of light of two beams circulating in a laser cavity in opposite directions. This translates into a time difference between the right-handed beam propagation time and the left-handed one

$$\delta au = -2\sqrt{g_{00}} \oint rac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} \, ds^i$$

The difference in time can be linked to the Sagnac frequence Ω_S , measured by GINGER

Perimeter Laser wavelength

$$c\delta\tau = N(\lambda_{+} - \lambda_{-}) = Nc\left(\frac{f_{-} - f_{+}}{f^{2}}\right) = \frac{P\lambda}{c} \delta f \equiv \frac{P\lambda}{c} \Omega_{S}$$

Wavelength difference
P= 20-24 m, wave length = 632 nm

24

GINGER in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity

$$\delta\tau = -2\sqrt{g_{00}} \oint \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} ds^i \qquad \qquad \Omega_S = -\frac{2c^2\sqrt{g_{00}}}{P\lambda} \oint \frac{g_{0i}}{g_{00}} ds^i$$

In Horava-Lifshitz, it is



Horava-Lifshitz vs General Relativity

$$General Relativity$$

$$G = G_N$$

$$\Omega_S = \frac{4A}{P\lambda} \Omega_E \quad \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - \frac{G_N M}{c^2 R} \sin \alpha \sin \theta - \frac{GI_E}{c^2 R^3} (2 \cos \theta \cos \alpha + \sin \theta \sin \alpha) \right]$$

$$G = G_N \quad \text{Horava-Lifshitz Gravity}$$

$$\Omega_S = \frac{4A}{P\lambda} \Omega_E \quad \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - \left(1 + \frac{G}{G_N} a_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) \frac{GM}{c^2 R} \sin \alpha \sin \theta - \frac{GI_E}{c^2 R^3} (2 \cos \theta \cos \alpha + \sin \theta \sin \alpha) \right]$$

Advantages of using GINGER

- The precision of GINGERINO is 1/1000 in the geodesic term, 1/100 in the LT term
- GINGER experiment should overcome such uncertainty providing a precision of 1/1000 in the LT term
- The presence of two rings yields a dynamical measurement of the angle lpha

$$\Omega_{S} = \frac{4A}{P\lambda} \Omega_{E} \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - \left(1 + \frac{G}{G_{N}} a_{1} - \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \right) \frac{GM}{c^{2}R} \sin \alpha \sin \theta - \frac{GI_{E}}{c^{2}R^{3}} \left(2\cos\theta\cos\alpha + \sin\theta\sin\alpha \right) \right]$$

Geodesic Term

- Measurement of LT term constrains the value of G, measurement of geodesic term constrains a₁ and a₂
- <u>The precision of GINGERINO close to 10^{-15} rad/s corresponds to a precision of $1.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$ </u> with respect to the dominant term.

Perspectives

In $f(R, R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}, \phi)$ gravity, GP-B and LARES satellites provide

 $m_Y \ge 7.3 \times 10^{-7} m^{-1}$ $m_Y > 1.2 \times 10^{-6} m^{-1}$

constraint on m_y by GINGER

constraints on a_1 , a_2 by GINGER

In Horava-Lifshitz gravity, the weak-field limit provide

$$c\,\delta\tau = \frac{4A\Omega_E}{c} \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - \left(1 + \frac{G}{G_N} a_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) \frac{GM}{c^2 R} \sin\theta \sin\alpha - \frac{GI_E}{c^2 R^3} (2\cos\theta\cos\alpha + \sin\theta\sin\alpha) \right]$$

Perspectives

$$\Omega_S = \frac{4A}{P\lambda} \,\Omega_E \left[\cos(\theta + \alpha) - \left(1 + \frac{G}{G_N} \,a_1 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} \right) \frac{GM}{c^2 R} \sin\alpha \sin\theta - \frac{GI_E}{c^2 R^3} \left(2\cos\theta\cos\alpha + \sin\theta\sin\alpha \right) \right]$$

- Fixing a₁ and a₂ by GINGER allows to retain or reject viable theories
- GINGER could select effective models for Quantum Gravity in the weak field limit
- With respect to satellite experiments, results can be tuned and reproduced.

S. Capozziello, C. Altucci, F. Bajardi, A.Di Virgilio et al... Euro. Phys. J. Plus 136 (2021) 5

A.Di Virgilio, U. Giacomelli, A. Simonelli et al... Euro. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 457

A. Porzio, C. Altucci, S. Capozziello, R. Velotta, et al... PoS Corfù 2017 (2018) 181