

## Can SIDM Compete with Baryonic Effects?

Jonah Rose SIDM Conference Pollica Italy 21/06/2023





#### This Investigation

# Most SIDM models are tested with N-body simulations, do these results hold when we include a comprehensive galaxy formation model?



### N-body Results CDM ETHOS, ETHOS<sub>3</sub> ETHOS<sub>4</sub> ETHOS

- Zoom-in simulation of MW-mass galaxy and satellites
  - Initial conditions fixed for each simulation (same as Stephanie's)
  - Run with Arepo code

#### Vogelsberger et al. 2016

#### N-body Results - Central

- Isothermal and isodensity cores for the central halo
  - Cores up to 3 kpc
  - Core sizes are proportional to magnitude of SIDM cross section



#### N-body Results -Gravothermal Collapse?

- No gravothermal collapse
  - Cross sections are not large enough
- CDM can also not reach these densities



#### IllustrisTNG







j.rose@ufl.edu

#### MW Disks with SIDM and IllustrisTNG CDM ETHOS<sub>1</sub> ETHOS<sub>2</sub> ETHOS<sub>3</sub>



ETHOS<sub>4</sub>

#### **Comparison to N-body Simulations**



- Isothermal and isodensity cores for the central halo
  - Cores up to 3 kpc
  - Core sizes are proportional to magnitude of SIDM cross section

#### **Comparison to N-body Simulations**



- All galaxies are now denser with no constant-density core
- There is little variation between SIDM models



- Larger halos have higher DM densities in TNG simulations
- Increased density is from adiabatic contraction

j.rose@ufl.edu



• Adiabatic contraction also affects SIDM satellites

j.rose@ufl.edu

#### Adiabatic Contraction in Dwarfs

- Adiabatic contraction affects halos larger than  $\sim 10^8$  stellar mass  $\circ \sim 10^{10}$  halo mass
- Smaller halos remain the same as N-body simulations



#### Implications for SIDM

- Baryons heat the center of halos
  O Both centrals and satellites
- Can push galaxies into core collapse
  - Especially for very baryondominated galaxies, like MW



#### Implications for SIDM

- Central velocities are higher
  Can change SIDM cross section
- UFD cross sections are unchanged
- Classical change slightly
- MW change most



#### Gravothermal Collapse? (N-body)

- No gravothermal collapse
  - Cross sections are not large enough
- CDM can also not reach these densities



#### Gravothermal Collapse?

- Few dense halos despite isothermal cores.
  - Cross sections are too small?
- Core collapsed halos are at higher masses
- CDM also has very dense halos



#### Gravothermal Collapse?

- Few dense halos despite isothermal cores
  - Cross sections are too small?
- CDM also has very dense halos
  - No separation
    between CDM and
    SIDM



#### Satellite Cores



#### Conclusions

Most SIDM models are tested with N-body simulations, do these results hold when we include a comprehensive galaxy formation model?

- With IllustrisTNG and ETHOS: Yes
  - Only for galaxies with stellar mass less than  $\sim 10^8 M_{\odot}$  (Halo mass  $\sim 10^{10}$ )

Other Results:

- Baryons heat the center of the DM halo during adiabatic contraction
  - Can create isothermal cores in larger halos (centrals and satellites)
  - Increases relative velocities for dark matter scatterings
- Low diversity in small dwarfs (UFD-classical) without large SIDM cross sections