PRL 124 (2020) 8,081802 PRD 101 (2020) 11,116006

and work in progress

## Sterile Neutrino Dark Matter and Self-Interactions

Walter Tangarife





Preparing people to lead extraordinary lives

#### with

Yu-Ming Chen, André de Gouvêa, Kevin Kelly, Manibrata Sen, Douglas Tucker, and Yue Zhang



Supported by NSF grant No. PHY-2013052

# Can we produce enough sterile neutrino DM in the early universe?

Fourth mass eigenstate:  $\nu_4 = \nu_s \cos \theta + \nu_a \sin \theta \approx \nu_s$ 

The mixing angle is small and the sterile neutrino never reaches thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma

Fourth mass eigenstate:  $\nu_4 = \nu_s \cos \theta + \nu_a \sin \theta \approx \nu_s$ 

The mixing angle is small and the sterile neutrino never reaches thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma

 $\begin{aligned} \nu_4 &= \cos\theta\,\nu_s + \sin\theta\,\nu_a \\ \text{It can be detected through decay into radiation} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\Gamma \sim 10^{-28} \mathrm{s}^{\frac{\nu_s}{1}} \left( \frac{\nu_a \mathrm{sin}^2 2\theta}{7 \mathrm{sin}^2 2\theta} \right) \left( \frac{m_s}{7 \mathrm{keV}} \right)^5$$



3

For a review: Abazajian (2017) 
$$E_{\gamma} = m_4/2$$
  
Dasgupta & Kopp (2021)  $m_4 = 7.1 \text{ keV}$ 



e.g. Pal & Wolfenstein (1982), Abazajian, Fuller & Tucker (2001), ...



Fourth mass eigenstate:  $\nu_4 = \nu_s \cos \theta + \nu_a \sin \theta \approx \nu_s$ 

The mixing angle is small and the sterile neutrino never reaches thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma

3

 $\begin{aligned} \nu_4 &= \cos\theta\,\nu_s + \sin\theta\,\nu_a \\ \text{It can be detected through decay into radiation} \end{aligned}$ 

$$\Gamma \sim 10^{-28} \mathrm{s}^{\frac{\nu_s}{5}-1} \left( \frac{\nu_a \mathrm{sin}^2 2\theta}{7 \mathrm{sin}^2 2\theta} \right) \left( \frac{m_s}{7 \mathrm{keV}} \right)^5$$

How to produce it? Two (among several) proposals:  $m_4 - sin 2\theta$ 

For a review: Abazajian (2017)  $E_{\gamma} = m_4/2$ Dasgupta & Kopp (2021)  $m_4 = 7.1 \text{ keV}$ 



e.g. Pal & Wolfenstein (1982), Abazajian, Fuller & Tucker (2001), ...



Fourth mass eigenstate:  $\nu_4 = \nu_s \cos \theta + \nu_a \sin \theta \approx \nu_s$ The mixing angle is small and the sterile neutrino never reaches thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma

How to produce it? In the early universe, an active neutrino can oscillate to a sterile neutrino, with probability

Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism

Dodelson & Widrow (1994)

 $\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm eff} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta + (\Gamma/2)^2 + (\Delta \cos 2\theta - V_T)^2}$ 

Fourth mass eigenstate: 
$$v_4 = v_s \cos \theta + v_a \sin \theta \approx v_s$$
  
The mixing angle is small and the sterile neutrino never reaches  
thermal equilibrium with the primordial plasma  
 $T \frac{1}{\partial T} \int_{v_s} 1_{p/T} = \frac{a}{2H} \langle P(v_a \to v_s) \rangle \int_{v_a} f_{v_a}$   
How to produce it:  
 $\langle P(v_a \to v_s) \rangle \underbrace{e_{a}Hy}_{p_{a}} \underbrace{e_{a}Hy}_{p$ 

Dodelson-Widro

elson & Widrow (1994)

In the early universe, an active neutrino can oscillate to a sterile  $\nu_s$  neutrino, with probability

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta + (\Gamma/2)^2 + (\Delta \cos 2\theta - V_T)^2} \qquad \qquad T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f_{\nu_s} |_{p/T} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} |_{p/T} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial$$

Result: A non-thermal abundance of sterile neutrinos by  $SO(Ving the) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta^2 sin}$ Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{d f_{\nu_4}(x,z)}{d \ln z} = \frac{\Gamma}{4H} \sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} f_{\nu}(x) \qquad \begin{aligned} z &= \text{MeV/T} \\ x &= E/T \\ \Delta &= m_s^2/2E \end{aligned}$$

Dodelson-Widro

elson & Widrow (1994)

In the early universe, an active neutrino can oscillate to a sterile  $\nu_s$  neutrino, with probability

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta + (\Gamma/2)^2 + (\Delta \cos 2\theta - V_T)^2} \qquad \qquad T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f_{\nu_s} |_{p/T} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} |_{p/T} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial$$

Result: A non-thermal abundance of sterile neutrinos by  $SO(Ving the) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Delta^2 sin}$ Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{d f_{\nu_4}(x,z)}{d \ln z} = \frac{\Gamma}{4H} \sin^2 2\theta_{\text{eff}} f_{\nu}(x) \qquad \begin{aligned} z &= \text{MeV/T} \\ x &= E/T \\ \Delta &= m_s^2/2E \end{aligned}$$

In SM: 
$$V_T \sim T^5$$
  
 $\Gamma \sim T^5$  Production rate peaks at  $T \sim 108 \text{ MeV} \left(\frac{m_s}{\text{keV}}\right)^{1/3}$   
 $\Delta \sim T^{-1}$ 

#### Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism

Ruled out by X-ray experiments and phase-space considerations



Phase space restrictions (Tremaine- Gunn)

#### Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism

Ruled out by X-ray experiments and phase-space considerations



How to generate enough sterile neutrino DM within the allowed region?

How to generate enough sterile neutrino DM within the allowed region?

Add self-interactions!

#### How to generate enough sterile neutrino DM within the allowed region?

Add self-interactions!

Active neutrino self-interactions!

- · Enhancement in interaction rate
- Sterile sector remains out of equilibrium

Sterile neutrino self-interactions!

- · Self-interacting dark matter
- Sterile sector acquires a ``dark temperature''

De Gouvêa, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRL (2020) Kelly, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRD (2020)

De Gouvêa, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRL (2020) Kelly, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRD (2020)

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{2} \nu_{a} \nu_{a} \phi + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\nu_{a}$$

$$\phi$$

$$\nu_{a}$$

$$\nu_{a}$$

De Gouvêa, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRL (2020) Kelly, Sen, Tangarife & Zhang PRD (2020)







The new interaction also contributes to the thermal potential  $V_T$ 

Similar works Koop et al. (2014), Mirizzi et al. (2015), Friedland et al. (2016), Johns et al. (2019), ...

9

Sterile neutrino relic density



10

Not a monotonic

Walter Tangarife



Sterile neutrino relic density

10<sup>16</sup>

10<sup>12</sup> 10<sup>8</sup>

 $10^{-4}$ 10<sup>-8</sup>

 $10^{-4}$ 

10<sup>-9</sup>

10<sup>-14</sup>

10<sup>-19</sup>

10-

10<sup>-13</sup>

Three useful timescales:  $z_0$ : When  $\Gamma/H = 1$ .  $z_1$ : When  $\Delta \simeq \max\{|V_T|, \Gamma_a\}$ .  $z_2 = \text{MeV}/m_{\phi}$ . С В А off-shell on-shell — Total  $10^{-5} \ 10^{-4} \ 10^{-3} \ 10^{-2} \ 10^{-1} \ 1$  $10^{-5} \ 10^{-4} \ 10^{-3} \ 10^{-2} \ 10^{-1} \ 1$  $10^{-5} \ 10^{-4} \ 10^{-3} \ 10^{-2} \ 10^{-1} \ 1$ z = MeV/TΖ Ζ Ζ

#### Current and future constraints



Not a monotonic dependence!

= Brokinds from 
$$K \overline{Me} \neq 4m \nu \neq 0$$
 GeV  $\rightarrow \nu \nu$   
 $Br(K^- \rightarrow \mu^- + 3\nu)_K \leq 10^{-6}_{\mu^- \nu_\mu \varphi}, \quad \varphi \rightarrow \nu \nu.$   
 $Br(K^- \rightarrow \mu^- 3\nu) < 10^{-6}_{\mu^- \nu_\mu \varphi}$ 

BBN bounds on light d.o.f.ms.



Berryman, de Gouvêa, Kelly & Zhang (2018) Blinov, Kelly, Krnjaic & McDermott (2019) Kelly & Zhang (2019)

#### Walter Tangarife

#### (

Chen, Sen, Tucket, Tangarife & Zhang, JCAP 11 (2022) 014  $\phi$ ~99% of the binding energy of the SN is released in neutrinos,  $v_4(E_4)$ 

The outgoing neutrino burst should carry enough energy from the collapsed core ti reenergize the shockwave. This sets a bound on the energy carried away by sterile neutrinos

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm eff}(E,r) = \frac{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta}{\Delta^2 \sin^2 2\theta + \Gamma(E,r)^2 + (\Delta \cos 2\theta - V(E,r))^2}$$

We need 
$$L \lesssim 10^{53} \, \mathrm{erg/s}$$
  
Raffelt (1996)



#### Current and future constraints

 $m_{\nu_s} = 7.1 \,\text{keV}, \ \sin^2 2\,\theta = 7 \times 10^{-11}$  $10^{-6}$ KATRIN reach 1 overproduction  $10^{-8}$ DW  $10^{-10}$ Not a monotonic 10<sup>-1</sup> dwarfs dependence!  $\begin{array}{c} \theta & 10^{-12} \\ \theta & \zeta_{7} \\ 0.5 \\ 0.$ X rays 10<sup>-2</sup>  $10^{-16}$  $\lambda_{\phi}$ 10<sup>-3</sup>  $10^{-18}$ SN  $10^{-20}$ underproduction BBN 10<sup>-4</sup>  $10^{2}$ 10<sup>3</sup> 10 1  $m_4$  (keV) 10<sup>-5</sup> 10<sup>-2</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> 10 1  $m_{\phi}(\text{GeV})$ 

Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation

Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator)

See also Bringmann et al. (2022) for similar work

See Johns & Fuller (2019) for heavy-mediator case

Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation

Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator) . or ogress

So that I can go back to Pollica!

The self-interaction will lead to a thermalized hidden sector. We want to explore the effect of this new interaction on the sterile neutrino DM production.

See also Bringmann et al. (2022) for similar work

See Johns & Fuller (2019) for heavy-mediator case

Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism + new DM self-interactions Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator)  $\nu_{s}$ We need to use the density-matrix formalism  $\rho \equiv \langle \psi | \hat{\rho} | \psi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} f_{10} \\ f_{21} \\ f_{22} \\ f_{2$  $H\frac{\partial f_{21}}{\partial \log z} = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\Delta \sin(2\theta)(f_{11} - f_{22}) + 2(\Delta \cos(2\theta) - V_T)f_{21}\right] - (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_s)f_{21}/2 ,$  $\Gamma_s = 2 \times \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \sigma_s v_{\rm M} f_{22}(q_1)$  $H\frac{\partial f_{22}}{\partial \log z} = -\frac{i}{2}\Delta \sin(2\theta)(f_{12} - f_{21}) \ .$  $\Delta = m_{\rm s}^2/2E$ z = MeV/T

Dodelson-Widrow Mechanism + new DM self-interactions Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator)  $\nu_{s}$ We need to use the density-matrix formalism  $\rho \equiv \langle \psi | \hat{\rho} | \psi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} f_{10} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{12} \\ f_{22} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \\ f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{21} & f_{23} \\ f_{22} & f_{23} \\ f_{23} & f_{2$  $H\frac{\partial f_{21}}{\partial \log z} = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\Delta \sin(2\theta)(f_{11} - f_{22}) + 2(\Delta \cos(2\theta) - V_T)f_{21}\right] - (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_s)f_{21}/2 ,$  $\Gamma_s = 2 \times \int \frac{d^3 \vec{q_1}}{(2\pi)^3} \sigma_s v_{\rm M} f_{22}(q_1)$  $H\frac{\partial f_{22}}{\partial \log z} = -\frac{i}{2}\Delta \sin(2\theta)(f_{12} - f_{21}) \ .$  $\Delta = m_{\rm s}^2/2E$ z = MeV/TIf  $\Gamma_s > H$ the sterile neutrino develops an equilibrium distribution function  $f_{22} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E-\mu_s)/T_s}}$ chemical potential dark temperature Walter Tangarife

Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation

Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int E^2 dE f_{22}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{E^2 dE}{1 + e^{(E-\mu_s)/T_s}} = -\frac{T_s^3}{\pi^2} \text{Li}_3(-e^{\mu_s/T_s})$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int E^3 dE f_{22}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{E^3 dE}{1 + e^{(E-\mu_s)/T_s}} = -\frac{3T_s^4}{\pi^2} \text{Li}_4(-e^{\mu_s/T_s})$$

$$\bigvee \text{ork}^{\text{in}} P^{\text{ogress}}$$

Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation

Move the self-interaction to the sterile neutrino sector (light mediator)



Sen, Tangarife & Zhang, in preparation



# Can we produce enough sterile neutrino DM in the early universe?

Yes! Sterile neutrinos can be produced non-thermally from active-neutrino oscillations.

A new interaction, via a scalar or a vector, for the active neutrinos helps alleviate tensions with the Dodelson-Widrow mechanism.

The case of self-interacting sterile neutrinos could be an attractive scenario of SIDM. This is currently being explored.

#### Thank you!