WISPs Dark Matter and Cosmology State of the art

WGII

Javier Redondo COST21106 kick off meeting LNF 23-24/02/2023





Dep. Theoretical Physics Universidad de Zaragoza

Our Working group 2

WG 2: WISPs Dark Matter and Cosmology.

... WISPs as dark matter candidates ...

... Production mechanisms ...

... Axion Thermal mass (lattice, ChiPT) ...

... Cosmic string decays ...

... Effects on Large and small-scale structure ...

... hot DM (lattice, ChiPT) ...

WISPs = *Weakly-interacting***slim/sub-eVParticles*

*not weak like electro-weak

WISPs as dark matter candidates

- WISPS are natural candidates for CDM

low mass, need to be feebly interacting, thermal populations subleading, **bosons**... axions (QCD&ALP), pseudo Nambu-Goldstones, U(1) bosons, ... moduli, MCPs, sterile nu's...

- They need non-thermal production mechanisms

WISPs are/can be **sensitive to initial conditions** and **very early cosmology** (good and bac (thermalisation erases effects of initial conditions in cases like WIMP DM) vacuum realignment, topological defects decay, vacuum fluctuations ...

- WISPy DM might have disctintive **effects at small cosmological scales**

wave-effects, miniclusters

- They are often **decaying DM**

low mass, feebly interacting nature makes **loooong lifetimes** some **opportunities for LM detection**

Production mechanisms

- Vacuum realignment

1 - Initial conditions are not on the vacuum state

2 - WISPy potential drives the field to oscilate around it from times t~1/mass

3 - oscillations are coherent state of particles with EOS <w>~0

(if ICs are sufficiently homogeneous ... typically k~H)

1 - ICs vary with cosmology model ...

pre-inflation (~homogenous field) stochastic axion (large vacuum fluctuations) [Graham 2016] kinetic misalignment (~large field velocity) hill-top ICs

2 - well understood

... in the linear regime (when fluctuations are small and linear) not so in non-linear regimes (need some more numerical sims, resolution issues, axitons)

3 - well understood

except perhaps the issue of Sikivie's Bose-Einstein condensation and its effects on galactic scales*

Production mechanisms

- Decay from topological defects

- topological defects have dynamics on O(1/H) scales that produce $k \sim H$ axions
- they require numerical simulations for precision
- theoretical models can be sometimes conflicting

- global cosmic strings

- domain walls
- other similar structures?
 - Monopoles
 - Bubbles from phase transitions
 - ??

- Mostly studied in the case of the QCD axion

- ALP generalisation is easy (some works already)
- Some other scalars like relaxions
- what about other WISPs? hidden photons, MCPs ... ? not much

- generalisation to non-standard cosmologies

Production mechanisms

- Thermal production

- can be naturally subleading
- can still produce hot-warm dark matter effects (suppression PS at high-k, etc...)
- Computationally easy in perturvative regimes

- Uncertainty from early Universe

- model dependency (more particles, d.o.f)
- entropy production?
- treat on a model basis
- CMB4 detection of Neff, window to new d.o.f.

- Uncertainty from non-perturbative QCD

- QCD axions in the ~ eV mass regime decouple during the QCD cross-over T~150MeV where perturvative calculations are not reliable
- lattice QCD input could be useful
- region is borderline-excluded

Axion thermal mass

- Lattice input for QCD axion cosmology



- Different groups do not converge (topology at high T is extremely resource consuming)



Claudio Bonanno

... Lattice issues: Results not entirely settled; extrapolation to high temperature regime may be subtle and needs further studies...

Lombardo 23

Cosmic string decays (axion DM mass)

- Axion DM mass from theory could/should (not) guide the experiment



Different Cosmo scenarios

- post-inflationary PQ N=1 has no IC uncertainty (some model, and cosmology uncertainty)

the estimates of the axion DM mass disagree due to the different interpretation of numerical simulations

post-inflationary scenario

- ordered by time, scale factor, redshift, temperature



how to tackle the large-dynamical range problem

Two approaches:

Direct simulation : 1) Simulate and 2) count the axions, extrapolate Moore, Redondo, Buschmann

In-Direct simulation : 1) Simulate to model axion emission from strings, 2) extrapolate the spectrum, 3) count the axions

Kawasaki, Gorghetto, Buschmann

Indirect method

Gorghetto, Redondo, Buschmann

- axion spectrum .. power-law with UV and IR cut-offs (with log corrections*) Gorghetto*, Redondo*, Buschmann

- Possible non-linear effects for large axion density (q>>1)

- scaling solution (with log corrections!)

(log corrections challenged)

Results and controversies

Extrapolation xi~10, q~2

Current plans ...

- Numerical simulations (4000³, 1100³) reach almost the critical value

- AMR can give us a few extra factors of 2 to reach 9~10 (theoretically up to 12)

Adaptive Mesh Refinement For strings...

Fixed Grid

- Δx fixed throughout the simulation
- For $\delta \sim 1$ strings, must use at least $\Delta x \sim 0.5$
- Computational resources typically limit to $\sim 4096^3$ grid points, $\ln(R/\delta) \sim 8$

AMR

- Enables increase $\ln(R/\delta)$ with fixed (limited) resources
- E.g. equivalent $\ln(R/\delta) \sim 8$ simulation ran on 32x fewer processors AND multiple 10x faster using AMR

 $\mathcal{O}(\text{days}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{hours})$

- Should comfortably achieve $\ln(R/\delta) \sim 10$ or higher with same resources
- Equivalent fixed grid would need fixed grid of at least > 65000^3 for same box size

Bonus: potential for even further speedups with GPU support

Simulations for ``pre-inflation" non-linear scenarios

Use our very powerful codes for these rare non-linear scenarios

kinetic misalignment Eröncel 22 Yield Y 10¹⁰ Yield Y 10¹⁰ 10⁵ 10²⁰ 10⁻⁶-' Temperature – dependent axion mass with v=8.1610¹⁵ 10⁰ 10¹⁵ 10²⁰ 105 10⁰ 10 10^{-6} constant mass v = 810 Axion decay constant f^{-1} [GeV⁻¹] 10^{-17} 10^{-17} *f*⁻¹ [GeV⁻¹] 10 10^{-8} [GeV⁻¹] 10 ragmentation before 413 10^{-10} 10^{-10} fration after trap constant f_1 10 Suos 10- 10^{-12} 10⁻¹² decay decav 10 Axion u 10⁻¹⁴ 10-10⁻¹ 10^{-10} 10 10^{-11} 10^{-16} 10- 10^{-21} 10-21 10^{-16} 10-6 10^{-1} 10^{-11} 10^{-1} 10^{-6} 10^{4} 104 Axion mass m_0 [eV] Axion mass m_0 [eV] 10-10⁻¹⁸ 10⁻²⁰ 10⁻¹⁶ 10⁻¹⁴ 10⁻¹² 10⁻¹⁰ 10-10-10-4 10-2 10²

Axion mass m₀ [eV]

theta~pi ?

Saikawa 15, Gorghetto 21, 22

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Gravitational collapse around z_eq

Hyerarchical growth

Survival in the galaxy

DM field in the galaxy (voids?) see talk of Giovanni Pierobon

Microlensing

Collisions with compacts, radio signals

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Redondo 18, Buschmann 19, Gorghetto 22

Gravitational collapse around z_eq

Simulations with Strings, other needed, problems with extrapolation

large scales are trustable, convert to N-body and simulate gravity Eggemeier 20

- Large simulation ($N=1024^3$ particles) in a Large box ($L=24 L_1$) simulated with jaxions in a 8192³ grid - Periodic boundaries, volume effects when smallest scale becomes non-linear

Nearly 80% of axions bound in MCs!!
Tidal disruption with galaxy stars can lower the number

Most axions in Massive MCs
 Mean MC has low mass

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Redondo 18, Buschmann 19, Gorghetto 22

Gravitational collapse around z_eq

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Gravitational collapse around z_eq

Hyerarchical growth

partially addressed, not so complicated

Eggemeier 20, Gorghetto 22

Survival in the galaxy

DM field in the galaxy (voids?) see t

Microlensing

Collisions with compacts, radio signa

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Gravitational collapse around z_eq

Hyerarchical growth

Survival in the galaxy

Dukuchaev 17, Kavanagh 21, Dandoy 22, Zurek 22

Theoretical, montecarlo, numerical simulations, but not (much) feedback from Early Universe SIM-motivated properties (HMF, density profiles*, etc.

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Seeds in early Universe:

Gravitational collapse around

Hyerarchical growth

Survival in the galaxy

Eggemeier, O'Hare, GP, Redondo, Wong, 2022

DM field in the galaxy (voids?) see talk of Giovanni Pierobon

Relevant question for Direct Dark matter, first steps

Microlensing

Collisions with compacts, radio signals

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

Collisions with compacts, radio signals

More recent assesment Witte 22

Axion minihalos and axion stars (same for dark photons)

hot DM

- CMB data can pinpoint dark radiation levels to Neff ~ thermal axions decoupled above EWPT

- Calculations of decoupling around QCD PT were not reliable (LO CHPT) improved by Unitarisation

- ✦ LO and NLO ChPT reliable up to $T_D = 70 \text{ MeV}$
- ♦ IAM valid up to $T_c \simeq 155$ MeV, gives a bound $m_a \le 0.26$ eV

Additional corrections needed for future sensitivities, K's, f0(880), thermal effects

Large scale structure

- ``Large" mass WISPs

Strings outside the horizon (preinflation) warm DM suppression of PS

- Ultra-light WISPs

...

Ly-alpha Power spectrum

•••

Kaplan

Cosmic birefringence

ALP DM coupled to photons with extremely low mass rotates polarisation of CMB

Better understanding of Galactic dust EB

- Extremely exciting prospects and opportunities

- I have been quite biased (the art is broader than this meagre statement)

- QCD axion is somewhow nicely covered by up-to-date studies but other WISPs much less