SuperB Factories and ECFA INFN SuperB Workshop in Elba, May 2011

- Recent ECFA activities on Flavour Physics
- Conclusions of the 2008 studies
- Two new inputs since then
- Final remarks

Tatsuya Nakada (ECFA Chair)

Tatsuya NAKADA, ECFA Chair





INFN SuperB Workshop Kick-off meeting (30.5.2011) Elba 1/20

- Recent ECFA activities on flavour physics
 - Review on the INFN Super B Factory project
 March 2008 to November 2008 by RECFA working
 group. <u>Report endorsed by PECFA 27-29.11.2008</u>
 - Presentation on tau-charm factory projects by Novosibirsk and Turkey to PECFA 26-27.11.2010.
 Progress to be followed.
 - Presentation of tau-charm factory project by Novosibirsk. RECFA recognised the physics case for a tau-charm factory, with uniqueness of a threshold machine and input for B physics, and saw that its scale was a possible national project.

- Recent ECFA activities on flavour physics
 - Review on the INFN Super B Factory project
 March 2008 to November 2008 by RECFA working
 group. <u>Report endorsed by PECFA 27-29.11.2008</u>
 - Presentation on tau-charm factory projects by Novosibirsk and Turkey to PECFA 26-27.11.2010.
 Progress to be followed.
 - Presentation of tau-charm factory project by Novosibirsk. RECFA recognised the physics case for a tau-charm factory, with uniqueness of a threshold machine and input for B physics, and saw that its scale was a possible national project.

- Recent ECFA activities on flavour physics
 - Review on the INFN Super B Factory project
 March 2008 to November 2008 by RECFA working
 group. <u>Report endorsed by PECFA 27-29.11.2008</u>
 - Presentation on tau-charm factory projects by Novosibirsk and Turkey to PECFA 26-27.11.2010.
 Progress to be followed.
 - Presentation of tau-charm factory project by Novosibirsk. RECFA recognised the physics case for a tau-charm factory, with uniqueness of a threshold machine and input for B physics, and saw that its scale was a possible national project.

Con<u>clusions of the 2008 studies</u>

Physics III

- By 2015, LHCb will explore 10 fb⁻¹ of data. A step beyond the "LHCb" era for an e⁺e⁻ machine requires >50 time more statistics than now to unambiguously establish any effects of beyond the Standard Model for those, which exhibit no sign now, or which may appear in a couple of years at LHCb (more "inclusive" ΔB=1 b→s and b→d studies may become important?).
- LFV, e.g. $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ would be a big issue. (interesting to see what $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ will say in coming years)

Plenary ECFA T. Nakada

13

Conclusions of the 2008 studies

Physics III

- The main goal of PEB-II and KEKB was a quantitative test of the KM mechanism of CP violation from the B_d→J/ψK_s decays.
 ⇒ CKM parameters were known enough to make a
 - good prediction for the required luminosity at the time of their construction.
- For a SuperB project, there is no "success guaranteed" minimum luminosity, since we don't know the New Physics parameters.
 ⇒But this is the case for the most of the high energy

frontier accelerators too.

Geneva, 28 Nov 2008

Plenary ECFA T. Nakada

15

Conclusions of the 2008 studies

Conclusions I

• Flavour physics is an important part of the European particle physics programme. Rich physics programme.

-European Strategy Document already recognises a flavour physics facility as a national or regional activity-

• An e⁺e⁻ collider at Y(4S) energy region would be a significant milestone if

-much more than 50 ab^{-1} data by the end of ~2020

-moderate cost

Geneva, 28 Nov 2008

Plenary ECFA T. Nakada

22

Conclusions of the 2008 studies

Conclusions II

- INFN Project addresses these points by
 - Very high luminosity >10³⁶ with a unique machine concept
 - Reutilizing PEP-II and BaBar parts
- Machine R&D for the TDR should be strongly supported to show that the concept can be realised. (R&D is also useful for the future machines. Continue collaboration with KEK?)
- Still large amount of work needed for the TDR and a strong team of machine physicists and engineers centrally located should be formed very soon.

Geneva, 28 Nov 2008

Plenary ECFA T. Nakada

25

Conclusions of the 2008 studies

Conclusions III

- A strong team of experienced machine physicists should be prepared for the operation to achieve the required performance.
- For considering an approval, there should be
 - a clear plan containing realistic technical milestones
 - a description of required resources and concrete strategy how to obtain them

with a goal to achieve much more than 50 ab^{-1} data by ~2020 to make a meaningful impact. If much later than this, physics landscape could be drastically different.

Geneva, 28 Nov 2008

Plenary ECFA T. Nakada

27

• Two new inputs since then

- SuperKEKB changed its design from a high current scheme to the INFN scheme using small emittance beams, and its construction has started
- LHCb has started to take data delivering expected performance, i.e. substantial achievement could be expected from LHCb before SuperB factories start data taking

• Two new inputs since then

- SuperKEKB changed its design from a high current scheme to the INFN scheme using small emittance beams, and its construction has started
- LHCb has started to take data delivering expected performance, i.e. substantial achievement could be expected from LHCb before SuperB factories start data taking

• Two new inputs since then

ECFA

- SuperKEKB changed its design from a high current scheme to the INFN scheme using small emittance beams, and its construction has started
- LHCb has started to take data delivering expected performance, i.e. substantial achievement could be expected from LHCb before SuperB factories start data taking

\rightarrow See presentations on both projects later



• Final remarks

- ECFA believes that the previous conclusions remain valid and hope that issues given there will be addressed in appropriate time
- Even the INFN SuperB "machine" is a national project, its fate has a significant impact on the European particle physics, hence ECFA should play some role
- What is the community expectation on the role of ECFA for this project?

• Final remarks

- ECFA believes that the previous conclusions remain valid and hope that issues given there will be addressed in appropriate time
- Even the INFN SuperB "machine" is a national project, its fate has a significant impact on the European particle physics, hence ECFA should play some role
- What is the community expectation on the role of ECFA for this project?

• Final remarks

- ECFA believes that the previous conclusions remain valid and hope that issues given there will be addressed in appropriate time
- Even the INFN SuperB "machine" is a national project, its fate has a significant impact on the European particle physics, hence ECFA should play some role
- What is the community expectation on the role of ECFA for this project?