XVII workshop, DGWG and EMC parallel session, May 31st 2011

Impact of Bwd-EMC on Physics using the Sep. 2010 fastsim production

Alejandro Pérez INFN – Sezione di Pisa







SEZIONE DI PISA

Outline

- The analysis strategy (a reminder)
- The samples
- The Bug on the September 2010 samples
- A patch to use the September 2010 BB-background samples
 - The method (the filtering algorithm)
 - Some validations and cross-checks
- Results on Bwd-EMC Studies
- Summary and outlook

Recoil Analysis Technique

Most of the searches for rare B decays exploit the Recoil Technique:



Breco: full (partial) reconstruction of one B into a hadronic (semi-leptonic) final state Brecoil : look for the signal signature, e.g. K^(*) not accompanied by additional (charged+neutral) particles + Missing Energy

Recoil technique at B-Factories:

- search for rare decays (~10⁻⁵) with missing energy
 - (Not possible at hadronic machines)
- Several benchmark channels at SuperB: $B \rightarrow \tau v$, $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}vv$, ...

$B \rightarrow K^{(*)}vv$ Analysis strategy

Signal-side selection:

- $B \rightarrow Kvv$: look for a single $K^+(K_s^0)$ in Brecoil
- B* \rightarrow K*vv: look for a K*⁺(K*⁰) in Brecoil. Several modes: K*⁺ \rightarrow K⁰_s(\rightarrow \pi⁺\pi⁻) π ⁺, K⁰_s(\rightarrow \pi⁰ π ⁰) π ⁺, K⁺ π ⁰(charged); K*⁰ \rightarrow K⁺ π ⁻(neutral)
- Opposite (same) charges of Breco and Brecoil for charged (neutral) modes



Bwd-EMC Studies Strategy: Veto device



- Bwd-EMC information only used at the last step of the selection:
 - $E_{extra}(Bwd) = \Sigma(extra neutrals on Bwd-EMC)$
- Test different E(γ)_{min} cut for Bwd-EMC photons (none, 30, 50, 70 MeV)
- Evaluate Bwd-EMC impact with the relative change on significance:

$$\delta(S/\sqrt{(S+B)}) = \frac{S/\sqrt{(S+B)}|_{bwd} - S/\sqrt{(S+B)}|_{nobwd}}{S/\sqrt{(S+B)}|_{nobwd}} \approx (\varepsilon_{s}^{\prime}/\sqrt{\varepsilon_{B}} - 1) (B >> S)$$

 $\epsilon_{s}(\epsilon_{B})$ is the signal (background) relative efficiency after $E_{extra}(Bwd)$ veto

The Samples

- Produced new signal samples with FastSim V0.2.7 (No Bwd-EMC bug):
 - → B⁺→K⁺νν (DG_4a): 6.00 M
 - → $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 vv$ (DG_4/DG_4a): 6.00 M
 - → B⁰→K*⁰vv (DG_4/DG_4a): 6.00 M
 - → B⁺→K^{*+}vv (DG_4/DG_4a): 6.00 M
 - → B⁺→τ⁺ν (DG_4/DG_4a): 6.00 M
- Background Samples are the same of Sep. 2010 (FastSim V0.2.6):
 - → B⁺B⁻ SL-cocktail (DG_4/DG_4a): 340.72/344.32 M
 - → B⁰B⁰ SL-cocktail (DG_4/DG_4a): 284.00/284.56 M
- All samples generated with bkg mixing NoPairs

Bwd-EMC: The bug on Sep.2010 samples



A patch to correct the Bwd-EMC information on the Sep. 2010 samples

- Sep. 2010 samples: bugged Bwd-EMC
 - \Rightarrow Sub-estimation of Eextra(Bwd-EMC) both for signal and BB-bkg samples
 - \Rightarrow Sub-estimation of the Bwd-EMC impact on the analysis
- But Bwd-EMC information is only used at the last stage of the selection (veto device strategy)
- The idea: re-simulate the selected events up to this point with the bug-fixed version of fastsim (V0.2.7) and extract Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
- To do this need to define a "filtering algorithm" that:
 - Selects primaries from the mc-truth list (mc-block in the n-tuples)
 - Extract the production vertex and momenta of these primaries (not possible for machine background particles)

The filtering algorithm:

- Select only the stable particles in the event: γ , e^+ , μ^+ , K^+ , π^+ , K^0_{μ} , p^+ , n0
- · Check that this particles doesn't come from machine background
- Select as primaries the earliest stable particles in the event full decay chain



Re-simulating the event:

- Save primaries in a datacard (lundID, Momentum, production vertex)
- Inject primaries in FastSim V0.2.7 (with the new GfiInputFile generator)
- Save all the reconstructed neutrals in the event in a n-tuple

The filtering algorithm:

- Select only the stable particles in the event: γ , e^+ , μ^+ , K^+ , π^+ , K^0_{μ} , p^+ , n0
- · Check that this particles doesn't come from machine background
- Select as primaries the earliest stable particles in the event full decay chain



Re-simulating the event:

- Save primaries in a datacard (lundID, Momentum, production vertex)
- Inject primaries in FastSim V0.2.7 (with the new GfiInputFile generator)
- Save all the reconstructed neutrals in the event in a n-tuple

The filtering algorithm:

- Select only the stable particles in the event: γ , e^+ , μ^+ , K^+ , π^+ , K^0_{μ} , p^+ , n0
- · Check that this particles doesn't come from machine background
- Select as primaries the earliest stable particles in the event full decay chain



Re-simulating the event:

- Save primaries in a datacard (lundID, Momentum, production vertex)
- Inject primaries in FastSim V0.2.7 (with the new GfiInputFile generator)
- Save all the reconstructed neutrals in the event in a n-tuple

The filtering algorithm:

- Select only the stable particles in the event: γ , e^+ , μ^+ , K^+ , π^+ , K^0_{μ} , p^+ , n0
- Check that this particles doesn't come from machine background
- Select as primaries the earliest stable particles in the event full decay chain



Re-simulating the event:

- Save primaries in a datacard (lundID, Momentum, production vertex)
- Inject primaries in FastSim V0.2.7 (with the new GfiInputFile generator)
- Save all the reconstructed neutrals in the event in a n-tuple

- Re-calculating Eextra(Bwd-EMC) (no consideration of machine background):
 - Select the reconstructed neutrals on the Bwd-EMC
 - Sum up their energies to calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
- Eextra(Bwd-EMC) = Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{phv} + Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - **Eextra(Bwd-EMC)**_{phy}: particles from the physical event (BB-event)
 - Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}: particles from machine background
- Hypothesis: Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg} contribution is the same for the signal and BB-generic samples, and it should be mode independent



- Extract Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg} distribution from signal samples (histogram)
- Use histogram as a pdf for generating Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg} and added to Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{phv}
- Calculate in this way the total Eextra(Bwd-EMC)

sion, May 31st 2011

- **Samples:** $B^{+/0} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^{-/0}$ and $B^{-/0} \rightarrow$ genetic (no machine backgrounds)
 - Save all the events
 - Look for the reconstructed neutrals on bwd-EMC and calculate the total energy deposit (some kind of Eextra(Bwd-EMC))
 - Neutrals on Bwd-EMC mainly due to the generic decay of the B
 Sector (Dwd EMC) expected to be similar to DB sector is bealer.
 - \Rightarrow Eextra(Bwd-EMC) expected to be similar to BB-generic background
 - Apply the filtering algorithm and compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- **Samples:** $B^{+/0} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^{-/0}$ and $B^{-/0} \rightarrow$ genetic (no machine backgrounds)
 - Save all the events
 - Look for the reconstructed neutrals on bwd-EMC and calculate the total energy deposit (some kind of Eextra(Bwd-EMC))
 - Neutrals on Bwd-EMC mainly due to the generic decay of the B
 ⇒ Eextra(Bwd-EMC) expected to be similar to BB-generic background
 - Apply the filtering algorithm and compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- Samples: signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC) requirement
 - Apply the filtering algorithm on then and calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Use the method described previously to include the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - Compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- Samples: signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC) requirement
 - Apply the filtering algorithm on then and calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Use the method described previously to include the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - Compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- Samples: signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC) requirement
 - Apply the filtering algorithm on then and calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Use the method described previously to include the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - Compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- Samples: signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC) requirement
 - Apply the filtering algorithm on then and calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Use the method described previously to include the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - Compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- Samples: signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC) requirement
 - Apply the filtering algorithm on then and calculate Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Use the method described previously to include the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)_{mach-bkg}
 - Compare the filtered/un-filtered Eextra(Bwd-EMC) distributions



- **Samples:** signal samples for the semi-leptonic recoil analyses: $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{+/0} \nu \nu$, $B^{+/0} \rightarrow K^{*+/0} \nu \nu$ and $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ (machine backgrounds included).
 - Only consider those selected events just before the Eextra(Bwd-EMC)
 - Filtering algorithm always gives a somewhat lower efficiency
 - Quote a systematic of ~+1.0% on the estimation of BB-generic background efficiency

ich-bkg



Physics Results on Bwd-EMC Studies

Signal V0.2.7/V0.2.6: B⁺→τ⁺ν



Signal V0.2.7/V0.2.6: B⁺→τ⁺ν



B⁺B⁻ bkg (V0.2.6) filtered/unfiltered: B⁺ $\rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$



B⁺B⁻ bkg (V0.2.6) filtered/unfiltered: B⁺ $\rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$



B⁰B⁰ bkg (V0.2.6) filtered/unfiltered: $B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$



$B^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ results

No $E_{gamma}(min)$ cut Sep. 2010 samples: Effic(S) = (95.332 ± 0.047) % Effic(B ⁺ B') = (84.292 ± 0.037) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (85.006 ± 0.071) % Δ Sign/Sign = (3.744 ± 0.068) % New signal and patched Bkg: Effic(S) = (94.040 ± 0.076) % Effic(B ⁺ B') = (76.440 ± 0.043 + 1.0) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (79.770 ± 0.080 + 1.0) % Δ Sign/Sign = (7.05 ± 0.10 - 0.69(sys.)) %	$E_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \text{ cut } 30.0 \text{ MeV} (E_{\text{extra}} \text{ cut at } 30.12 \text{ MeV})$ Sep. 2010 samples: Effic(S) = (93.909 ± 0.054) % Effic(B ⁺ B) = (81.371 ± 0.039) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (82.335 ± 0.076) % $\Delta \text{Sign/Sign} = (3.977 \pm 0.077) \%$ New signal and patched Bkg: Effic(S) = (93.230 ± 0.080) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (76.487 ± 0.043 + 1.0) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (79.879 ± 0.080 + 1.0) % $\Delta \text{Sign/Sign} = (6.08 \pm 0.11 - 0.69(\text{sys.})) \%$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 50.0 \ MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 50.00 \ MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 \ samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (95.405 \pm 0.047) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}) &= (84.466 \pm 0.036) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0\textbf{B}^0) &= (85.165 \pm 0.071) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (3.718 \pm 0.067) \ \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (94.066 \pm 0.075) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}^-) &= (78.894 \pm 0.041 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0\textbf{B}^0) &= (81.984 \pm 0.077 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.45 \pm 0.10 - 0.66(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 70.0 \ MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 71.43 \ MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 \ samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (96.577 \pm 0.041) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} = (87.661 \pm 0.033) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} = (87.966 \pm 0.065) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (3.113 \pm 0.058) \ \% \\ \textbf{New \ signal \ and \ patched \ Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (94.928 \pm 0.070) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} = (81.462 \pm 0.039 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} = (84.257 \pm 0.073 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (4.78 \pm 0.09 - 0.64(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$

B⁺→K⁺vv results

No $E_{gamma}(min)$ cut Sep. 2010 samples: Effic(S) = (97.665 ± 0.064) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (85.360 ± 0.757) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (87.805 ± 1.475) % Δ Sign/Sign = (5.394 ± 0.908) % New signal and patched Bkg: Effic(S) = (97.104 ± 0.076) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (82.048 ± 0.822 + 1.0) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (86.585 ± 1.536 + 1.0) % Δ Sign/Sign = (6.55 ± 0.99 - 0.64(sys.)) %	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 30.0 MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 30.12 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (96.390 \pm 0.079) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} = (83.066 \pm 0.803) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} = (85.772 \pm 1.575) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (5.406 \pm 0.996) \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (96.414 \pm 0.084) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} = (82.056 \pm 0.822 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} = (86.789 \pm 1.527 + 1.0) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (5.75 \pm 0.98 - 0.64(sys.)) \% \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 50.0 MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 50.00 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.730 \pm 0.063) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (85.452 \pm 0.755) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (87.805 \pm 1.475) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.422 \pm 0.907) \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.120 \pm 0.076) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (83.662 \pm 0.792 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (88.415 \pm 1.443 + 1.0) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.49 \pm 0.90 - 0.62(sys.)) \% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \ \textbf{cut 70.0 MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 71.43 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (98.729 \pm 0.048) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (87.838 \pm 0.700) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (89.228 \pm 1.398) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.176 \pm 0.836) \ \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (97.809 \pm 0.066) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (85.223 \pm 0.760 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (90.041 \pm 1.350 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.24 \pm 0.83 - 0.61(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$

$B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \nu \nu$ results

No $E_{gamma}(min)$ cut Sep. 2010 samples: Effic(S) = (98.254 ± 0.119) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (87.129 ± 0.506) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (91.388 ± 0.270) % Δ Sign/Sign = (3.313 ± 0.315) % New signal and patched Bkg: Effic(S) = (97.597 ± 0.129) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (78.744 ± 0.618 + 1.0) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (85.430 ± 0.339 + 1.0) % Δ Sign/Sign = (6.56 ± 0.42 - 0.64(sys.)) %	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \ \text{cut } 30.0 \ \text{MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{\text{extra}} \ \text{cut } \text{at } 30.12 \ \text{MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.521 \pm 0.141) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}) &= (84.231 \pm 0.551) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0) &= (89.649 \pm 0.293) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (3.690 \pm 0.356) \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.060 \pm 0.142) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}) &= (78.822 \pm 0.617 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0\textbf{B}^0) &= (85.358 \pm 0.340 + 1.0) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (6.00 \pm 0.42 - 0.63(\text{sys.})) \% \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \ \textbf{cut 50.0 \ MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 50.00 \ MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 \ samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (98.311 \pm 0.117) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (87.243 \pm 0.504) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (91.499 \pm 0.268) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (3.308 \pm 0.313) \ \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.611 \pm 0.128) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (81.105 \pm 0.591 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (87.004 \pm 0.323 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.47 \pm 0.39 - 0.62(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 70.0 MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 71.43 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (99.003 \pm 0.090) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (90.256 \pm 0.448) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (93.442 \pm 0.238) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (2.805 \pm 0.265) \ \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (98.113 \pm 0.114) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (83.797 \pm 0.557 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (88.632 \pm 0.305 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (4.88 \pm 0.36 - 0.60(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$

B⁺→K*⁺vv results

No $E_{gamma}(min)$ cut	$E_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \text{ cut } 30.0 \text{ MeV} (E_{\text{extra}} \text{ cut at } 30.12 \text{ MeV})$
Sep. 2010 samples:	Sep. 2010 samples:
Effic(S) = (97.493 ± 0.081) %	Effic(S) = (96.282 ± 0.098) %
Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (86.957 ± 0.106) %	Effic(B ⁺ B) = (84.164 ± 0.115) %
Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (88.804 ± 0.151) %	Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (86.519 ± 0.163) %
Δ Sign/Sign = (4.183 ± 0.124) %	$\Delta \text{Sign/Sign} = (4.467 \pm 0.146) \%$
New signal and patched Bkg:	New signal and patched Bkg:
Effic(S) = (96.666 ± 0.093) %	Effic(S) = (95.978 ± 0.102) %
Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (79.773 ± 0.118 + 1.0) %	Effic(B ⁺ B) = (79.872 ± 0.118 + 1.0) %
Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (81.793 ± 0.167 + 1.0) %	Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (81.873 ± 0.167 + 1.0) %
Δ Sign/Sign = (7.77 ± 0.15 - 0.67(sys.)) %	$\Delta \text{Sign/Sign} = (6.95 \pm 0.16 - 0.66(\text{sys.})) \%$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 50.0 MeV} \ \textbf{(E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 50.00 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (97.549 \pm 0.080) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (87.110 \pm 0.106) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (88.893 \pm 0.150) \% \\ \textbf{\Delta Sign/Sign} &= (4.166 \pm 0.123) \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (96.682 \pm 0.093) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (82.312 \pm 0.112 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (83.940 \pm 0.159 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{\Delta Sign/Sign} &= (6.22 \pm 0.14 - 0.64(sys.)) \% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 70.0 \ MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 71.43 \ MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 \ samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (98.469 \pm 0.063) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (90.187 \pm 0.094) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (91.347 \pm 0.134) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (3.470 \pm 0.102) \ \% \\ \textbf{New \ signal \ and \ patched \ Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic(S)} &= (97.348 \pm 0.083) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^+B^-)} &= (84.785 \pm 0.106 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic(B^0B^0)} &= (86.060 \pm 0.150 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (5.46 \pm 0.13 - 0.62(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$

$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}vv$ results

No $E_{gamma}(min)$ cut Sep. 2010 samples: Effic(S) = (98.672 ± 0.093) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (84.555 ± 0.549) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (85.302 ± 0.514) % Δ Sign/Sign = (7.055 ± 0.360) % New signal and patched Bkg: Effic(S) = (97.880 ± 0.081) % Effic(B ⁺ B ⁻) = (77.633 ± 0.590 + 1.0) % Effic(B ⁰ B ⁰) = (81.096 ± 0.515 + 1.0) % Δ Sign/Sign = (9.71± 0.42 - 0.69(sys.)) %	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut} \ \textbf{30.0} \ \textbf{MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut} \ at \ \textbf{30.12} \ \textbf{MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (98.055 \pm 0.113) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) = (81.743 \pm 0.587) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) = (83.133 \pm 0.543) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (7.961 \pm 0.403) \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.422 \pm 0.089) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) = (77.802 \pm 0.588 + 1.0) \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) = (81.292 \pm 0.513 + 1.0) \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (9.07 \pm 0.41 - 0.68(sys.)) \% \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\textbf{min}) \ \textbf{cut 50.0 MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{extra} \ \textbf{cut at 50.00 MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (98.745 \pm 0.091) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (84.809 \pm 0.545) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (85.407 \pm 0.512) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (7.025 \pm 0.356) \ \% \\ \textbf{New signal and patched Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (97.912 \pm 0.080) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{+}\textbf{B}) &= (80.128 \pm 0.565 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^{0}\textbf{B}^{0}) &= (83.089 \pm 0.493 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} &= (8.25 \pm 0.38 - 0.66(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{E}_{\gamma}(\text{min}) \ \textbf{cut 70.0 \ MeV} \ (\textbf{E}_{\text{extra}} \ \textbf{cut at 71.43 \ MeV}) \\ \textbf{Sep. 2010 \ samples:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (99.250 \pm 0.070) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}) = (88.174 \pm 0.490) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0\textbf{B}^0) = (88.040 \pm 0.471) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (5.738 \pm 0.304) \ \% \\ \textbf{New \ signal \ and \ patched \ Bkg:} \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{S}) &= (98.380 \pm 0.071) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^+\textbf{B}) = (82.314 \pm 0.540 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \textbf{Effic}(\textbf{B}^0\textbf{B}^0) = (85.265 \pm 0.466 + 1.0) \ \% \\ \Delta \textbf{Sign/Sign} = (7.34 \pm 0.35 - 0.64(sys.)) \ \% \end{array}$

Summary and outlook

Bwd-EMC studies:

- Bugged version of Bwd-EMC for Sep. 2010 production
- Implemented Off-line patch to try to estimated Eextra(Bwd-EMC) using mc-truth
- Small effect of patch correction on signal and significant effect on BB-background samples
- All analysis give similar results:
 - Negligible reduction on signal efficiency ~(2-7)%
 - > ~(15-20)% reduction on main backgrounds (B^+B^- , B^0B^0)
- Summary on $\delta(S/\sqrt{S+B})$ gain due to Bwd-EMC (E γ (min) > 30MeV):
 - > B⁺→ $\tau^+\nu$: (3.98 ± 0.08)% (previous) → (6.08 ± 0.11 0.69(sys))% (current)
 - > B⁺→K⁺vv: (5.41 ± 0.10)% (previous) → (5.75 ± 0.98 0.64(sys))% (current)
 - > B^0 → K^0vv : (3.69 ± 0.36)% (previous) → (6.00 ± 0.42 0.63(sys))% (current)
 - > B⁺→K^{*+}vv: (4.47 ± 0.15)% (previous) → (6.95 ± 0.16 0.66(sys))% (current)
 - > B^0 →K*⁰vv: (7.96 ± 0.40)% (previous) → (9.07 ± 0.41 0.68(sys))% (current)

