Analysis summary of 55Fe runs

This work improved a lot thanks to the CYGNers that gave ideas and suggestions during the R&D meetings and also offline.



Longitudinal and Transverse Diffusion

2nd Collaboration Meeting 19/12/2022 Roma

ermeni

2. Absorption Length

3. VGEM1 scan

4. Prediction of the interaction depth

with ML

Rita Roque acknowledges the FCT PhD studentship (ref. SFRH/BD/143355/2019).

1

Data information

General conditions

Drift field = 940 V/cm, GEMs = 440 V, transfer field = 2.5 kV/cm. Dark lab, He-40%CF₄

Z scan

Runs 5861 - 5911, taken on 04/11, at LNF Exposure time of 50 ms, water cooled **Scan in z with the ⁵⁵Fe source:** z=[5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45] cm

Z + VGEM1 scan

Runs 4432 - 4469, taken on 30/07, at LNF Exposure of 200 ms, air cooled **Scan in z with the ⁵⁵Fe source:** z=[5, 25, 35, 45] cm **Scan in GEM 1 voltage: V**=[320, 350, 386, 406, 420, 431, 440] V



Data Cleaning

Several cuts were applied to the data, to reduce the number of background clusters and improve the SNR: **the original data was reduced to 19.6%**. All the following analysis were performed with the cleaned data.





Longitudinal and transverse diffusion



Absorption Length

$d = \sqrt{(x_0 - x_c)^2 + (y_0 - y_c)^2}$

To calculate the λ , we need to calculate the distance between each cluster and the ⁵⁵Fe source.

Source position: (x₀, y₀, z₀) = (-135, 178.6, z) mm

Cluster position: (x_c, y_c, z_c) = (sc_xmean, sc_ymean, ?)

Simple MC study: random clusters where generated in a spherical cap (θ , ϕ), distributed as: A = exp(- ρ/λ)







Experimental data shows that the aperture of the source is ~20°, corresponding to a relative error of 1.534(14)%.



The theoretical λ for 5.93 keV x-rays in He-40%CF $_{\!\scriptscriptstyle A}$ is 19.268 cm

Scan across the voltage of GEM1



Prediction of z

Can we predict the cluster's z using only the 2D camera images?



Fig. 9. The quantity, defined as $\eta = \frac{\sigma}{A}$, is a good estimator of the longitudinal particle track position, the expected and measured relative error being $\simeq 20\%$.

7

6

n [pixel/ph] 8

0

Prediction of z

Can we predict the cluster's z using only the 2D camera images?



Prediction of z

Can we predict the cluster's z using only the 2D camera images?



Gives a clearly biased and

Prediction of z

Can we predict the cluster's z using only the 2D camera images?



Prediction of z: feature engineering

To build a model that is valid for other energies, we discarded the energy-dependent features:

• integral • corrintegral •tgaussamp • size • nhits • length

We also discarded quasi-constant features:

• energy • pathlength • lstatus • slimness • pearson • tstatus

We included first-order interactions between the original features.

The following showed a promising relation with z:

- Igausssigma*tfullrms
 Ifullrms*tfullrms
 Iongrms*tfullrms
- lgausssigma*tgausssigma tgausssigma*lfullrms
- tgausssigma*longrms tfullrms/sc_rms

Based on f-statistic, r-statistic, and mutual information gain

They are mostly a combination of the longitudinal and transversal shape of the clusters.

Prediction of z: Linear Models

Feature Selection

Univariate Linear Model

Multivariate Linear Model

0.70 Forward 45 Selection 0.68 0.66 SCOLE 40 [cm] 35 Backward 27 Selection N 0.64 30 Depth, 0.62 25 10 20 and 10 and 7.4 score 7.2 BSM 7.0 0 6.8 15 20 25 20 40 60 5 10 Number of features $\sigma_{\rm l} \propto {\rm tfullrms}$

Backward Selection shows a good result for 10 features.

z =-7.52(5)+1.0233 TRMS x σ_L **RMSE = 7.34(13) cm** Intercept = 36.5(8) $\sigma_T \times lfullrms = 1.110(12)$ tchi2 = 0.1949(24) RMS = -2.126(29) $\eta = -7.95(27)$ lfullrms = -5.41(9) lp0fwhm = 1.215(21) $\sigma_L = 1.95(4)$ width = -0.262(7) lp0prominence = 0.00363(13) tp0fwhm = 0.530(22)

RMSE = 6.89(13) cm

Prediction of z: Preliminary Non-Linear Models

Still to do: feature selection and hyperparameter tuning.

Random Forest

sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestRegressor



Boosted Decision Trees

sklearn.ensemble.GradientBoostingRegressor

Neural Network

sklearn.neural_network.MLPRegressor

Iteration 128, loss = 20,62032726 Iteration 129, loss = 20,50199455 Iteration 130, loss = 20.46869279 Iteration 174, loss = 20.28440027 Iteration 175, loss = 20.22996556 Iteration 176, loss = 20.26293995 Iteration 177, loss = 20.28989738 Iteration 178, loss = 20.27266916 Iteration 179, loss = 20.25847311 Iteration 180, loss = 20.22230141 Iteration 181, loss = 20.26131107 Iteration 182, loss = 20.16544232 Iteration 183, loss = 20.20816011 Iteration 184, loss = 20.18866935 Iteration 185, loss = 20.18491165 Iteration 186, loss = 20.17318603 Iteration 187, loss = 20.20616052 Iteration 188, loss = 20.20560822 Iteration 189, loss = 20.22013667 Iteration 190. loss = 20,17777993 Iteration 191, loss = 20.13649429 Iteration 192, loss = 20,18145923 Iteration 193. loss = 20.13846137

With default parameters:

RMSE = 6.39(24) cm

RMSE = 6.11(7) cm

RMSE = 6.28(7) cm

Prediction of z: Performance (preliminary) Linear Model z(n)Linear Model $z(\sigma_L \times \text{TRMS})$ Multi-linear Model 10 features Neural Network Booster Decision Tree Random Forest 10 0 2 8 6 RMSE

Non-linear models have a higher accuracy and lower bias than linear ones.

Z=10cm Z=15cm Z=5cm 3000 2000 2000 1750 1750 2500 -1500 1500 2000 -1250 1250 1500 1000 1000 750 750 1000 500 500 500 250 250 -20 -15 -20 -5 -10 -5 Ó 5 10 -20 -15 -10 -5 Ó 5 10 -15 -100 5 Z=20cm Z=30cm Z=25cm 1600 -1600 1400 1400 1400 1200 1200 1200 -1000 1000 -1000 800 800 -800 600 600 600 400 400 400 200 200 200 0 -0-20 0+-20 -10 -10 -20 -1010 20 10 Ó 10 0 Ω 20 Z=35cm Z=40cm Z=45cm 1800 -2000 1400 1600 -1750 1200 1400 -1500 1200 -1000 1250 1000 -800 1000 800 600 750 600 -400 500 400 250 200 -10 10 15 -10 -5 10 15 20 -10 -5 10 15 -5 5 20 0 5 0 5

Residual distribution for each z

Prediction of z: data limitations

The target for the models, z, is the height of the ⁵⁵Fe source, but the clusters actually interact in a region $z\pm\delta z$, defined by the source aperture.

MC to estimate δz , for $\Box = 20^{\circ}$.





6.11(7) cm, for the Random Forest.

Conclusions

Data Cleaning was fundamental for analysing the LIME results:

The computational time was greatly reduced and the background profile became almost insignificant for most fits.

The measurement of the longitudinal and transverse diffusion needs to be improved: An optical effect is probably affecting the measurement of diffusion in the gas.

The absorption length for ⁵⁵**Fe x-rays in He-40%CF**₄ **is around 21 cm**: This is close to the theoretical value of 19.268 cm.

We can predict the interaction depth from the 2D images: Non-linear models have an RMSE ~6 cm, very close to the limits of the data.

> **Non-linear models still need to be tuned**. The codes are ready: it is just a matter of running time.

Then the models will be tested on MC data and on other energies.

