

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering off proton and neutron from deuterium with CLAS12 at Jefferson Laboratory

Adam HOBART on behalf of CLAS Collaboration

EINN 2023, Paphos, Cyprus



Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis







GPDs

- QCD at low energies: non perturbative regime
 - Need structure functions to describe nucleon structure

GPDs

Correlation of transverse position and longitudinal momentum of partons in the nucleon & the spin structure - through Ji's sum rule X. Ji, Phy.Rev.Lett.78,610(1997)

- GPDs can be accessed through exclusive leptoproduction reactions
- At leading order QCD, chiral-even (quark helicity is conserved), quark sector: 4 GPDs for each quark flavor H, \tilde{H}, E and \tilde{E}
- GPDs depend on x, ξ and t = (p' p) 2





Belitsky, Radyushkin, Physics Reports, 2005



• GPDs: Fourier transforms of non-local, non-diagonal QCD operators





R. Dupré, M. Guidal, M.Vanderhaeghen, PRD95, 011501 (2017)

Quark angular momentum X. Ji, Phy.Rev.Lett.78,610(1997) $\frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} x dx (H(x,\xi,t=0) + E(x,\xi,t=0)) = J = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta L$ Nucleon spin: $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma + \Delta L + \Delta G$

- The intrinsic spin of the quarks can not explain the origin of the spin of the nucleon (nucleon Spin Crisis)
- Intrinsic spin of the gluons
- GPDs: quantify the contribution of orbital angular momentum of quarks to the nucleon spin

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering of leptons off nucleons



- DVCS allows access to 4 complex GPDs-related quantities:
 - Compton Form Factors (x, ξ, t) (CFFs)

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left\{ i \, \pi \left[H^{q}(\xi,\xi,t) - H^{q}(-\xi,\xi,t) \right] \, + \, \mathcal{P} \int_{-1}^{1} dx H^{q}(x,\xi,t) \left[\frac{1}{\xi-x} - \frac{1}{\xi+x} \right] \right\}$$

 x can not be accessed experimentally by DVCS: Models needed to map the x dependence



BH is purely electromagnetic and parametrised by FFs

- Experimentally measured observables:
 - Sensitive to the DVCS-BH interference part (linear in CFFs)
 - Should have: Beam polarized and/or target polarized
 - Access to a combinations of CFFs
 - The separation of CFFs requires the measurement of several observables
 - Depending on the target (proton or neutron): different sensitivity to the CFFs (GPDs)
 - The flavor separation of GPDs requires measurements on both nucleons

 $(H,E)_{u}(\xi,\xi,t) = \frac{9}{15} \Big[4 \big(H,E\big)_{p}(\xi,\xi,t) - \big(H,E\big)_{n}(\xi,\xi,t) \Big]$ $(H,E)_{d}(\xi,\xi,t) = \frac{9}{15} \Big[4 \big(H,E\big)_{n}(\xi,\xi,t) - \big(H,E\big)_{p}(\xi,\xi,t) \Big]$ Polarized beam, unpolarized taget $\Delta \sigma_{LU} \sim \sin(\phi) \Im \{F_1 H + \xi (F_1 + F_2) \widetilde{H} - k F_2 E + \dots \}$

Unpolarized beam, polarized target $\Delta \sigma_{UL} \sim \sin(\phi) \Im \left\{ F_1 \, \widetilde{H} + \xi (F_1 + F_2) \left(H + \frac{x_b}{2} E \right) - \xi k \, F_2 \widetilde{E} \right\}$

polarized beam, longitudinal polarized target $\Delta \sigma_{LL} \sim (A + B \cos(\phi)) \Re \{F_1 \, \widetilde{H} + \xi (F_1 + F_2) \left(H + \frac{x_b}{2} E \right) + \dots \}$

unpolarized beam, transverse polarized target $\Delta \sigma_{UT} \sim \cos(\phi) \sin(\phi_s - \phi) \Im\{k(F_2 H - F_1 E) + ...\}$



Observable	Proton	Neutron
$\Delta\sigma_{LU}$	$\Im \{ \boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{p}}, \widetilde{H}_{p}, E_{p} \}$	$\Im \{H_n, \widetilde{H}_n, \boldsymbol{E_n}\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{UL}$	$\Im\{H_p, \widetilde{H}_p\}$	$\Im\{\boldsymbol{H_n}, \boldsymbol{E_n}\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{LL}$	$\Re\{H_p, \widetilde{H}_p\}$	$\Re\{\boldsymbol{H_n}, \boldsymbol{E_n}\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{UT}$	$\Im\{H_p, E_p\}$	ℑ{ H _n }

Different contributions from F_1 and F_2 for the different nucleons

e.g. (in experiment)
$$\Delta \sigma_{LU} = \frac{1}{Pol.} \times \frac{N^+ - N^-}{N^+ + N^-}$$

• DVCS with an unpolarized deuterium target :

- Scattering off neutron (nDVCS): GPD E
 - Determination of Ji sum rule
 - Contribution of orbital angular momentum of quarks to the nucleon spin

 $\frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1} x dx (H(x,\xi,t=0) + E(x,\xi,t=0)) = J = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta L$

- Scattering off proton (pDVCS): GPD H
 - Quantify medium effects
 - Essential for the extraction of BSA of a "free" neutron (deconvoluting medium effect via comparison with DVCS on hydrogen target)
- The BSA for nDVCS:
 - is complementary to the TSA for pDVCS on transverse target, aiming at E
 - depends strongly on the kinematics \rightarrow wide coverage needed
 - is smaller than for pDVCS → more beam time needed to achieve reasonable statistics

Observable	Proton	Neutron
$\Delta \sigma_{LU}$	$\Im \{ \boldsymbol{H_p}, \widetilde{H}_p, E_p \}$	$\Im \{H_n, \widetilde{H}_n, \boldsymbol{E_n}\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{UL}$	$\Im\{H_p, \widetilde{H}_p\}$	$\Im\{\boldsymbol{H_n}, E_n\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{LL}$	$\Re\{H_p, \widetilde{H}_p\}$	$\Re\{\boldsymbol{H_n}, \boldsymbol{E_n}\}$
$\Delta\sigma_{UT}$	$\Im\{H_p, E_p\}$	$\Im\{H_n\}$

Model predictions (VGG) for different values of quarks' angular momentum



01/11/2023



Different contributions from F_1 and F_2 for the different nucleons



- Previous pioneering measurement of nDVCS (Jlab Hall A @ 6 GeV)
 - Beam-energy « Rosenbluth » separation of nDVCS CS using an LD2 target and two different beam energies
 - First observation of non-zero nDVCS CS
- No neutron detection $D(e, e'\gamma)X H(e, e'\gamma)X = n(e, e'\gamma)n + d(e, e'\gamma)d + \dots$

One measured kinematical point: $Q^2=1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $x_B=0.36$





Benali, M., Desnault, C., Mazouz, M. et al. Nat. Phys. 16, 191–198 (2020)



The CEBAF and CLAS at Jefferson Laboratory

Continuos Electron Beam Accelerator Facility

- Up to 12 GeV electrons
- Two anti-parallel linacs, with recirculating arcs on both ends
- 4 experimental halls







.

- A 10.6/10.4/10.2 GeV electron beam
 - With an average polarization of 86%
 - Scattering off an unpolarized Liquid Deuterium target of 5 cm length
- The exclusivity of the event is insured by:
 - Electron detection: Cerenkov detector, drift chambers and electromagnetic calorimeter
 - Photon detection: sampling calorimeter or a small PbWO4-calorimeter close to the beamline
 - Proton detection: Silicon and Micromegas detector OR Neutron detection: Central Neutron Detector
- For Neutron Detection:
 - Machine Learning techniques are applied to improve the Identification and reduce charged particle contamination





- The tracking of the CVT is neither 100% efficient nor uniform
- In the dead regions of the CVT protons have no associated track and thus can be misidentified as neutrons
- Protons roughly account for more than >40% contamination in the "nDVCS" signal sample Current approach, based on Machine Learning & Multi-Variate Algorithms:
 - We reconstruct nDVCS from DVCS experiment on proton requiring neutron PID : selected neutron are misidentified protons
 - We use this sample to determine the characteristics of fake neutrons in low- and high-level reconstructed variables
 - Based on those characteristics we subtract the fake neutrons contamination from nDVCS
 - As a « signal » sample in the training of the ML we use $ep \rightarrow en\pi^+$ events from DVCS experiment on proton





Improving the neutron selection with ML techniques



CLAS12: DVCS with an unpolarized deuterium target

- The nDVCS (pDVCS) final state is selected with the following exclusivity criteria: (N:nucleon)
 - Missing mass
 - ed \rightarrow eN γ X
 - $e N \rightarrow e N \gamma X$
 - $e N \rightarrow e N X$
 - Missing momentum
 - $e d \rightarrow e N \gamma X$
 - ΔΦ, Δt, θ(γ,X)
 - Difference between two ways of calculating Φ and t
 - Cone angle between measured and reconstructed photon
- Exclusivity selection is optimized with a 4-D χ^2 -like distribution including $\Delta \Phi$, Δt , $\theta(\gamma, X)$ and missing mass e N \rightarrow e N X





 π^0 background contamination is estimated using simulations



- Subtraction using simulations of the background channel
 - Monte Carlo simulations:
 - GPD-based event generator for DVCS/pi0 on deuterium
 - DVCS amplitude calculated according to the BKM formalism
 - Fermi-motion distribution evaluated according to Paris potential
- 1. Estimate the ratio of partially reconstructed eN $\pi^0(1 \text{ photon})$ decay to fully reconstructed eN π^0 decays in MC
- 2. This is done for each kinematic bin to minimize MC model dependence
- 3. Multiply this ratio by the number of reconstructed eN π^0 in data to get the number of eN $\pi^0(1 \text{ photon})$ in data
- 4. Subtract this number from DVCS reconstructed decays in data per each kinematical bin

Simulations: $R = \frac{N(eN\pi_{1\gamma}^{0})}{N(eN\pi^{0})}$ Data: $N(eN\pi_{1\gamma}^{0}) = R * N(eN\pi^{0})$ $N(DVCS) = N(DVCS_{recon}) - N(eN\pi_{1\gamma}^{0})$ π^0 background subtraction is also performed by statistical unfolding of contribution to the missing mass spectrum M. Pivk and F.R. Le Diberder, NIMA 555 1 2005



The difference between the estimations of background from both methods is considered as a systematic

01/11/2023



Under internal review

First-time measurement of nDVCS with detection of the active neutron



- Compared to the previous experiment, CLAS12 provides :
 - The possibility to scan the BSA of nDVCS on a wide phase space
 - The possibility to reach the high Q^2 high x_b region of the phase space
 - Exclusive measurement with the detection of the active neutron
- Hall A @ JLAB: one measured kinematical point at Q²=1.9 GeV² and x_B =0.36

			1
0 0.1	0.2 0.3 0.4	0.5 0.6	0.7 0.8 x _B
bin number	$< Q^2 > { m GeV^2}$	$\langle x_b \rangle$	$< -t > { m GeV}^2$
1	1.60973	0.132015	0.388061
2	2.33568	0.199322	0.467386
3	3.92472	0.314797	0.667296
4	1.70901	0.111932	0.324567
5	2.35954	0.167174	0.384192
6	3.29066	0.312552	0.70405
7	2.91918	0.277885	0.832902
8	2.44265	0.185242	0.355265
9	2.16854	0.149355	0.22063

CLAS12: nDVCS with an unpolarized deuterium target







EINN 2023







• Previous attempt at flavor separation by M. Čuić, K. Kumerički, A. Schäfer Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020), no. 23 232005



• up and down contributions to CFF H separated



• CFF E cannot be separated



- Train new models with old JLab and new CLAS12 data
 - 40 NN trained on old data and another 40 NN trained on old JLAB pDVCS + new CLAS12 nDVCS data
 - separate models for u and d flavors of CFFs Im H and Im E
 - flavor agnostic (flavor summed) models for CFFs Re H and Im \widetilde{H}



Globally: shift and reduction of uncertainty more evident in Im E



- Train new models with old JLab and new CLAS12 data
 - 40 NN trained on old data and another 40 NN trained on old JLAB pDVCS + new CLAS12 nDVCS data
 - separate models for u and d flavors of CFFs Im H and Im E
 - flavor agnostic (flavor summed) models for CFFs Re H and Im \widetilde{H}





Summary

- GPDs are powerful tool to explore the structure of the nucleons and nuclei
 - Nucleon tomography, quark angular momentum, distribution of forces in the nucleon
- Exclusive reactions can provide important information on nucleon structure
 - DVCS via the extraction of GPDs
- CLAS12 offers a wide kinematical reach over which the GPDs dependence on different kinematical variables can be scanned
 - Data to add constraints on GPDs in unexplored regions of the phase space
 - Possibilities to measure new observables using different experimental configurations
 - Flavor separation of GPDs
- Promising results from incoherent DVCS on deuteron (n and p channels) from CLAS12 data
 - First BSA measurement from neutron-DVCS with tagged neutron
 - First measurement of BSA for proton-DVCS with deuterium target
 - To be compared to free-proton DVCS BSA measured by CLAS12

G. Christiaens, M. Defurne, D. Sokhan V.Ziegler et al., arXiv (2022) 221111274.







Under internal review

