

Emilija Pantic (UC Davis) on behalf of DarkSide50 collaboration @ TeVPA23

Interactions considered for low mass DM search



Interactions with nucleus DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 063001 Interaction with nucleus including Migdal effects DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 101001, Eur. Phys. J. C 83, 322 (2023)

Interactions with electron final states

DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 101001



DarkSide 50 Dual Phase LAr TPC with S2 only



XY positioning/fiducialization Pulse shape discrimination for NRs vs ERs S2/S1 discrimination of ERs

Energy threshold ~0.6 keVnr

Ionization response to electronic recoils

in terms of primary ionization electrons



DarkSide-50, Phys.Rev.D 104 (2021) 8, 082005 (Thomas-Imel + extended custom model)

Ionization response to nuclear recoils

in terms of primary ionization electrons



New Dataset: 653.1 live-days of UAr data

 10^{7}

Ne

ທ 10⁵ ດ

10

10³

10¹

2 5

5.0

7.5

10.0

Number of Electrons

12.5

15.0

17.5

Quality cuts against pile-up: -Pulse-shape via peak time and FWHM to remove overlapping S1 and S2. -Anomalous pulse start time to remove S2 only event from the tail of larger pulse. -Pulse length and peak time to remove multiple S2's.

Quality cuts against alphainduced S2 only pulses: - S2/S1 ratio tuned on calibration data

Quality cuts against Events spurious electrons: - Reject correlated events (if within 20 ms from the previous one) Contribution negligible >4e-



Using S2 only and, S1 and S2 events

20.0

DarkSide-50 653.1 live days low mass DM search dataset



DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 063001

Background model: ³⁹Ar, ⁸⁵Kr and γs



Assumption is that internal sources are uniformly distributed in fiducial volume

Background only fit: frequentist approach binned likelihood



similarity in spectra between various components causes anti correlation

DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 063001

0.05 0.15 -0.00 -0.01 0.10 0.07 -0.16 -0.08 0.01

Q

OFP SP

St

e,

WIMP-nucleon interaction: frequentist approach



 10^{-45}

2.0

3 0

 M_{γ} [GeV/c²]

6.0

X10 more stringent limit @ 3GeV/c2

DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 063001

10.0

Migdal effect: frequentist approach



 $[GeV/c^2]$

 \mathbf{m}_{DM}



WIMP-e- interactions: frequentist approach



Light dark matter: Sub-GeV fermion or scalar boson particle may couple to electrons Heavy and light vector mediators considered Interaction: Elastic scatter off bound electrons



DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 101002

ALP and Dark Photon interactions: frequentist approach

Interactions with electron final states



Galactic axion-like particle (ALP) Pseudo-scalar particle

Connection between mass and symmetry breaking scale is relaxed (hence axion-like).

dark photon Interaction: Absorption by bound electrons resulting in a monoenergetic signal

Dark photon Vector-boson particle Mediate a new dark force in models with a new local U(1) symmetry Interaction: Absorption by bound electrons resulting in a monoenergetic signal

DarkSide-50, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 101002

n e-

 \mathbf{O}

e

Electronic Recoil

keVer

e

• e-

ÅLP

Sterile neutrinos interactions: frequentist approach

A sterile neutrino with a mass between 7 keV and 36 keV can be a viable DM candidate Can mix with active neutrinos Interaction: Inelastic scatters off bound electrons





Indirect detection limits by the NuSTAR already exclude this full parameter space but DS50 curve improves limits based on the precision measurement of various beta spectra.

Conclusions

1. Extended constraints on WIMP-nucleon SI scattering in (1.2-3.6) GeV/ c^2

2. Extended range for constraints on WIMP-nucleon SI scattering with Migdal effect down to ~ $40 MeV/c^2$

3. Excluded new parameter space for light Dark Matter with heavy vector mediator, galactic ALPS and Dark Photon candidates.

4. First DM direct-detection constraints on for keV sterile neutrinos.

Not covered in this talk but see also

5. New results on annual modulation with DarkSide50 (arXiv:2307.07249) 6. Sensitivity projection for DarkSide LowMass detector optimized for light dark matter searches through the ionization channel (Phys. Rev. D 107, 112006, 2023) 7. Detecting SN neutrino bursts via CEvNS with DarkSide-20k (see talk at SNvD See the talk about DarkSide20k 2023@LNGS)

Future work: Sensitivity projections for DarkSide-20k.

Backup

DarkSide-50 (2013-2019) @LNGS

Water Cherenkov Detector 1 kt water, 5.5 m radius 80 PMTs

Liquid Scintillator Veto 30 tons, 2 m radius Boron loaded scintillator 110 PMTs (LY = 0.5 pe/keV)

Low radioactivity LAr TPC 50 kg, 0.36 m 19 + 19 3'' PMTs Reflectors and TPB coating

Detector response from previous analysis (2018)

Assumption is that internal sources are uniformly distributed in fiducial volume

Background model: External Gammas

Normalization from material screening, including measurement errors Account for detailed location in the detector (eg breakdown contribution of different PMT parts) and source decay times (eg ⁶⁰Co)

Location		tion	Activity	Single-scatter events in the RoI	
and source		source	[Bq]	Event rate [Hz]	Total rate [Hz]
٩r		³⁹ Ar	$0.034\ {\pm}0.005$	$(6.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$	$(6.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$
Ľ		85 Kr	$0.084\ {\pm}0.004$	$(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$
	Ceramic Stems	²³² Th	0.16 ± 0.03	$(3.2\pm 0.6) imes 10^{-4}$	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$
		$^{238}\mathrm{U}~\mathrm{up}$	1.06 ± 0.22	$(4.9 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$	
		$^{238}\mathrm{U}$ low	0.34 ± 0.03	$(3.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$	
		$^{235}\mathrm{U}$	0.05 ± 0.01	$(1.2\pm0.2)\times10^{-4}$	
		40 K	2.39 ± 0.32	$(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$	
E		$^{54}\mathrm{Mn}$	0.05 ± 0.02	$(3.5 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-5}$	
PN		²³² Th	0.07 ± 0.01	$(2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$	
		$^{238}\mathrm{U}~\mathrm{up}$	4.22 ± 0.88	$(4.2\pm0.9) imes10^{-4}$	
		$^{238}\mathrm{U}$ low	0.34 ± 0.03	$(5.3 \pm 0.5) imes 10^{-4}$	
		$^{235}\mathrm{U}$	0.21 ± 0.03	$(9.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	
		40 K	0.61 ± 0.08	$(8.1 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5}$	
	Body	⁶⁰ Co	0.17 ± 0.02	$(2.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$	
	2	232 Th	0.19 ± 0.04	$(7.9 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$	
ţ.		²³⁸ U up	1.30 ± 0.2	$(1.5\pm0.2) imes10^{-5}$	
sta		$^{238}\mathrm{U}$ low	$0.38\substack{+0.04\\-0.19}$	$(5.3^{+0.6}_{-2.6}) \times 10^{-6}$	$(50\pm0.4) \times 10^{-4}$
ryo		$^{235}\mathrm{U}$	$0.045_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$	$(1.5^{+0.3}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-5}$	$(3.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-10}$
Ð		60 Co	1.38 ± 0.1	$(4.7\pm 0.3) imes 10^{-4}$	
		40 K	$0.16\substack{+0.02\\-0.05}$	$(3.4^{+0.4}_{-1.1}) \times 10^{-6}$	

Posterior

Flat prior for signal

Equivalent to the frequentist gaussian constraints

Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Bin-by-bin Poisson likelihood detector response model included in the likelihood function via semi-analytical functions

Treats the systematic uncertainties in a straightforward way.

Fluctuations treated as binomial.

Posterior pdf on the fit parameters, no significant deviation from the prior distribution

NR Quenching fluctuation: frequentist approach

NQ model: Suppression of quenching fluctuations. Visible energy is fixed to its average (measured) value. Not physical but provides conservative approach.

QF model: Visible energy fluctuates with a binomial distribution due to quenching.

Analysis of DS50 NR calibration data does not distinguish between two models

Note: quenching fluctuations are added to fluctuations resulting from the partitioning between excitons and ionization electrons and from ion-electron recombinations.

