Unique Properties of the 3rd Group of Cosmic Rays: Results from the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer

> Meijun Liang TeVPA 2023, Napoli

Institute of High Energy Physics (IHEP) on behalf of the AMS Collaboration

# Three Kinds of Charged Cosmic Rays



Primary cosmic rays (P, He, C, O, Ne, Mg, Si, ..., Fe) are produced and accelerated in supernovae explosions

Secondary cosmic rays (Li, Be, B, F, ...) are produced by the collisions of primary cosmic rays and interstellar medium

AMS found the third group of cosmic ray (N, Na, Al, ...) are produced both in stars and collisions of primary cosmic rays with the interstellar medium.

### **Cosmic Ray Nuclei Measurements with AMS**



## AMS N, Na and Al event selection

- AMS has a good charge measurement capability. Charge measured by L1, UTOF, tracker L2-L8, LTOF and L9 are required to be consistent along particle trajectory.
- For example, the tracker L2-L8 charge resolution for 3≤ Z≤15 ranges from 0.05 to 0.17 c.u.
- The background due to finite charge resolution is negligible for N, Na and Al, <0.5% over the entire rigidity range.





### **Background from interaction of heavier nuclei**

The background from interaction of heavier nuclei in the AMS materials of TRD and UTOF (such as  $O, ... \rightarrow N$  or Mg,  $... \rightarrow Na$ ), was accurately subtracted using the measured charge from tracker L1, with a typical error of a few percent.





### Detailed understanding of the N, Na, Al energy spectrum with AMS



**Below 100 GV,** the Sodium flux rigidity dependence is similar to the Nitrogen flux rigidity dependence.

**Above 100 GV,** the Aluminum flux rigidity dependence is similar to the Nitrogen flux rigidity dependence.

#### AMS 11-Year Results on Nitrogen, Sodium and Aluminum Fluxes



6

### Properties of Primary and Secondary Cosmic Rays Result from AMS



### Primary and Secondary Components of the N, Na, Al Flux



The flux ratios at the source: N/O=0.091±0.002, Na/Si=0.038±0.003, Al/Si=0.105±0.004 are directly determined independent of cosmic ray propagation



8

### Latest AMS Results on Cosmic Ray Nuclei Fluxes (Z>=2)



Two classes of primary cosmic rays: He-C-O-Fe and Ne-Mg-Si-S

N, Na and Al, belong to a distinct group, and are combinations of primary and secondary cosmic rays.

Two classes of secondary cosmic rays: Li-Be-B and F

### Summary

We have presented the precision measurements of N, Na, and Al fluxes from 2 GV to 3 TV based on 11 years data. The total error on each flux is <5% at 100 GV.

AMS found that N, Na and Al belong to their own group of cosmic rays, distinctly different from the primary and the secondary cosmic rays. They are well described as linear combinations of primary and secondary fluxes over a wide energy range.

AMS has accurately determined the primary and secondary components of N, Na and Al. The abundance ratios at the source, N/O= $0.091\pm0.002$ , Na/Si = $0.038\pm0.003$  and Al/Si =  $0.105\pm0.004$  are determined independent of cosmic ray propagation.

# Back Up

## **AMS Cosmic Ray Nuclei Measurements**

Tracker (9 Layers) + Magnet: Rigidity (Momentum/Charge) with multi-TV maximal detectable rigidity (MDR)



	<b>Coordinate Resolution</b>	MDR
Z≥2	5-8 μm	3.0-3.7 TV

ToF (4 Layers): Velocity and Direction  $\Delta\beta/\beta^2 \approx 1-2\%$  (Z $\geq$ 2)

L1, UTOF, Inner Tracker (L2-L8), LTOF and L9 Consistent Charge Along Particle Trajectory Inner Tracker Charge Resolution:  $\Delta Z = 0.05 - 0.35 (1 \le Z \le 28)$ 

# **Detailed understanding of the N energy spectrum with AMS** $N(E) \propto E^{-\gamma}$

- •When y is close to 1, it indicates that the number of high-energy cosmic rays decreases relatively slowly with increasing energy.
- •When  $\gamma$  is significantly greater than 1, it indicates a steeper decrease in the number of high-energy cosmic rays. •When  $\gamma$  is less than 1, it suggests that there are more high-energy cosmic rays compared to lower-energy ones.



# Detailed understanding of the N, Na, Al energy spectrum with AMS



**Below 100 GV,** the Sodium flux rigidity dependence are similar to the Nitrogen flux rigidity dependence.

Above 100 GV, the Aluminum flux rigidity dependence are similar to the Nitrogen flux rigidity dependence.

### The fluxes ratio compare with other experiments

Abundance Ratio	AMS	Ref. [6]	Ref. [37]	Ref. [38]	Ref. [39]
$\Phi_{ m Ne}/\Phi_{ m Si}$	$0.833 \pm 0.025$	$0.580{\pm}0.030$	$0.580{\pm}0.061$	$0.581{\pm}0.004$	$0.511 {\pm} 0.058$
$\Phi_{ m Na}/\Phi_{ m Si}$	$0.036 \pm 0.003$	$0.0323 {\pm} 0.0097$	$0.0324\substack{+0.0130\\-0.0077}$	$0.040 {\pm} 0.003$	$0.0372 {\pm} 0.0256$
$\Phi_{ m Mg}/\Phi_{ m Si}$	$0.994 \pm 0.029$	$1.038{\pm}0.028$	$1.080 \pm 0.040$	$1.110 {\pm} 0.011$	$1.111 \pm 0.033$
$\Phi_{ m Al}/\Phi_{ m Si}$	$0.103 \pm 0.004$	$0.0778 {\pm} 0.012$	$0.0778\substack{+0.0130\\-0.0100}$	$0.0966 {\pm} 0.0083$	$0.104{\pm}0.019$
$\Phi_{ m S}/\Phi_{ m Si}$	$0.167\pm0.006$	$0.131{\pm}0.009$	$0.131 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.131{\pm}0.002$	$0.129{\pm}0.019$
$\Phi_{ m C}/\Phi_{ m O}$	$0.836 \pm 0.025$	$0.807{\pm}0.015$	$0.850\substack{+0.066\\-0.064}$	$0.800 {\pm} 0.029$	$0.760{\pm}0.029$
$\Phi_{ m N}/\Phi_{ m O}$	$0.092\pm0.002$	$0.048 {\pm} 0.012$	$0.065 {\pm} 0.006$	$0.072 {\pm} 0.004$	$0.053{\pm}0.037$

Ref.[6]: HEAO-3-C2

Ref.[37]: Ulysses

Ref.[38]: Voyager

Ref.[39]: ACE-CRIS

### Primary and Secondary Components of the Ni, Na and Al Flux



### Primary and Secondary Components of the C, Mg, Ne, S Flux



17

### Primary and Secondary Components of the C, Mg, Ne, S Flux

TABLE I. The primary and secondary components of C (Z = 6), Ne (Z = 8), Mg (Z = 10), and S (Z = 16), as well as of N (Z = 7), Na (Z = 11), and Al (Z = 13) [36] fluxes and their primary fractions at 6 GV, 100 GV, and 2 TV. As seen, the primary and secondary contributions of the even Z element fluxes of C, Ne, Mg, and S are distinctly different from the primary and secondary contributions of the odd Z element N, Na, and Al fluxes.

			F	Primary fraction, %	
Nuclei flux	Primary	Secondary	6 GV	100 GV	2 TV
$\Phi_{\rm C}$	$(0.836 \pm 0.025)  imes \Phi_{ m O}$	$(0.67\pm0.02) imes\Phi_{ m B}$	$80 \pm 1$	$91 \pm 0.5$	$96\pm0.5$
$\Phi_{\rm Ne}$	$(0.833\pm0.025) imes\Phi_{ m Si}$	$(2.07\pm0.14) imes\Phi_{\rm F}$	$76 \pm 1$	$89 \pm 1$	$95\pm0.5$
$\Phi_{Mg}$	$(0.994\pm0.029) imes\Phi_{ m Si}$	$(2.59\pm0.19) imes\Phi_{\rm F}$	$75\pm1$	$89 \pm 1$	$95\pm0.5$
$\Phi_{\rm S}$	$(0.167 \pm 0.006) \times \Phi_{Si}$	$(0.28\pm0.05) imes\Phi_{ m F}$	$82 \pm 3$	$91 \pm 1$	$97 \pm 1$
$\Phi_{\rm N}$	$(0.092 \pm 0.002) \times \Phi_0$	$(0.61\pm0.02)\times\Phi_{\rm B}$	$31 \pm 1$	$56 \pm 1$	$77\pm3$
$\Phi_{Na}$	$(0.036\pm0.003) imes\Phi_{ m Si}$	$(1.36\pm0.04) imes\Phi_{\rm F}$	$17 \pm 2$	$35\pm2$	$62 \pm 12$
$\Phi_{Al}$	$(0.103\pm0.004)\times\Phi_{\rm Si}$	$(1.04 \pm 0.03)  imes \Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$	$43 \pm 1$	$67 \pm 1$	$78\pm8$

# **Primary and Secondary Components in Cosmic Rays**

Nuclei Flux	Primary	Secondary
Φ <sub>c</sub>	(0.83±0.02)×Φ <sub>o</sub>	$(0.70\pm0.02)\times\Phi_{B}$
Φ <sub>Ne</sub>	(0.83±0.02)×Φ <sub>si</sub>	(1.99±0.14)×Φ <sub>F</sub>
$\Phi_{Mg}$	(1.01±0.03)×Φ <sub>si</sub>	(2.39±0.17)×Φ <sub>F</sub>
Φs	(0.162±0.005)×Φ <sub>si</sub>	(0.33±0.04)×Φ <sub>F</sub>
$\Phi_{N}$	(0.091 $\pm$ 0.002) $\times$ $\Phi_{o}$	$(0.61\pm0.02) \times \Phi_{B}$
Φ <sub>Na</sub>	(0.038±0.003)×Φ <sub>si</sub>	(1.33±0.04)×Φ <sub>F</sub>
$\Phi_{AI}$	(0.105±0.004)×Φ <sub>si</sub>	$(1.04\pm0.03)\times\Phi_{F}$

### **AMS Iron Flux**



M. J. Boschini *et al* 2020 *ApJS* **250** 27

before

after