Observation of sub-TeV gamma-ray emission from GRB 201216C at redshift 1.1

Yusuke Suda (Hiroshima U.),

A. Berti, S. Fukami, S. Loporchio, L. Nava, K. Noda, K. Asano,
Z. Bosnjak, F. Longo on behalf of the MAGIC Collaboration,
A. Gomboc, N. Jordana-Mitjans, A. Melandri, C. Mundell,
M. Shrestha, and I. Steele







TeV GRBs



- At least some GRBs are powerful enough to shine the sky with blue-ish flash lights
- Synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) by relativistic electrons in the forward shock is considered to be a straightforward explanation for such TeV emissions
- Need more TeV detections (+MWL data) to deepen our understanding of GRBs

2

GRB 201216C

- Bright long GRB triggered by Swift-BAT
 - T₉₀ = 48 ±16 s (Swift-BAT, 15-350 keV) <u>GCN 29080</u>
 - z = 1.1 (VLT) <u>GCN 29077</u>
 - $E_{iso} = (4.71 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{53} \text{ erg} (\text{Fermi-GBM}, 10-1000 \text{ keV}) \underline{GCN}$
- Sub-TeV detection by MAGIC: > 5σ detection (quick analysis)
 → ATel & GCN
- Optical detection
 - Liverpool Telescope: Stable flux from $T_0+178 \text{ s} T_0+\sim 400 \text{ s}$
 - VLT: Smaller flux at T₀+2.4 h
 - FRAM-ORM: Early-time detection after T₀+31.6 s
- X-ray detection by Swift-XRT from T₀+3 ks
- Radio detection > T₀+5 days (e-MERLIN, VLA, and MeerKAT from Rhodes et al. 2022)
- No detection in UV (UVOT), GeV (Fermi-LAT), sub-PeV (HAWC)



3



Credit: G. Ceribella

energetic, but a little far



- GRB 201216C has a similar *E*_{iso} as previously detected TeV GRBs (190114C and 180720B), but the redshift is much larger
- Significant gamma-ray absorption by EBL makes GRB 201216C a challenging object for IACTs

MAGIC observations

- Thanks to the fast repointing capability of MAGIC, we could start observations 36 seconds after the alert arrived (T₀+56 s)
- Zenith angle ranges: (1st night) $37 \rightarrow 68$ deg, (2nd night) $17 \rightarrow 46$ deg
- Dark time (no moon)
- Good weather condition (LIDAR transmission > 0.9 at 9 km both nights)
- MAGIC low energy analysis method applied

Significance of sub-TeV emission

- Optimized event cuts using simulated data with a power-law index of -2
- θ^2 plot: 6.0 σ significance (Li&Ma) in the first 20 min of observations
- Skymap: > 6 σ hotspot close to the GRB position by Swift-XRT
- GRB 201216C is the farthest IACT source so far

6

SED in sub-TeV regime

- Observed spectrum has a steep power-law index of -5.32±0.53 (stat.)
- Intrinsic spectrum is consistent with a power-law function whose index is
 -3.15±0.70 (stat.)
- Systematic errors on the energy-scale/EBL models are larger than statistical errors due to the steep spectrum/high redshift

light scale	EBL	normalization [TeV ^{-1} cm ^{-2} s ^{-1}]	index
nominal	D11	$(2.03 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-8}$	-3.15 ± 0.70
-15%	D11	$(1.14 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-8}$	-3.19 ± 0.52
+15%	D11	$(2.99 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-8}$	-2.17 ± 0.57
nominal	F08	$(1.95 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-8}$	-3.19 ± 0.70
nominal	FI10	$(2.76 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-8}$	-2.65 ± 0.73
nominal	G12	$(3.99 \pm 0.77) \times 10^{-8}$	-2.45 ± 0.71

Sub-TeV light curve

- EBL-corrected energy-flux light curve in 70 GeV 200 GeV
 - 1st night: T₀+56 s to T₀+40 min
 - 2nd night: T₀+20.5 h to T₀+24.6 h
 - Upper limits calculated as 95% C.L. for the bins with relative errors > 50%
- Compatible with a power-law decay. Best fit index (until 5th bin) is -0.62±0.04

MWL light curves

- Strong suggestion of wind-like density profile (by Granot&Sari 2002)
 - Plateau in optical flux up to T₀+~400 s
 - No increase in sub-TeV flux at the deceleration phase
- Indication of another component for radio emission

MWL SEDs

- MWL fluxes are consistent with the synchrotron+SSC model (Miceli&Nava 2022)
 - Sub-TeV emission is well above the maximum synchrotron energy (~10 GeV at T_0 +~177 s)
 - No solution found with a homogeneous density medium

Summary

- GRB 201216C is a bright long GRB at z = 1.1
- Thanks to both the fast repointing and low energy capability of the MAGIC telescopes, the sub-TeV emission was detected at 6 σ level
- The sub-TeV spectrum and light curve are consistent with powerlaws
- Both observations and modeling support a wind-like medium
- The synchrotron+SSC one-zone model can explain the MWL data except for the radio one, which requires modification on the simple model
- Paper is being reviewed by the journal. Stay tuned!

Improving our eyesight

We are ready to catch more TeV GRBs together with CTA LST-1!

12