Unveiling the mysteries of the early universe Anastasia Sokolenko

University of Chicago

with contributions by: Kyrylo Bondarenko, Alexey Boyarsky, Andrii Neronov, Alexander Korochkin, Dmitri Semikoz, Andres Aramburo-Garcia, Dylan Nelson, Annalisa Pillepich, levgen Vovk, ...





Credit: IllustrisTNG

Fermilab







Beyond the SM problems

by the Standard Model, including:

- Neutrino masses: Why do neutrinos have a mass?
- **Baryon asymmetry of the Universe**: What mechanism created a tiny matter-antimatter imbalance in the early Universe?
- **Dark Matter**: What is the prevalent kind of matter in the Universe?

- Particle physics is facing a great challenge: We are certain that the SM does not explain all phenomena in Nature
- Indeed, a number of experimentally established evidence cannot be explained



New relics?

We would like to probe the Universe from much earlier epochs (1<s)

There are other potential messengers from the early Universe:

- Cosmic Neutrino Background
- Primordial gravitational waves?

Primordial magnetic fields?



Searching for primordial MF

Intergalactic magnetic fields in **voids** (IGMF) should be **very** close to the initial conditions (if they exist) and could <u>come from</u> the early Universe



[A. Aramburo-Garcia, AS et al., JCAP, 2020]

Searching for primordial MF

Intergalactic magnetic fields in **voids** (IGMF) should be **very** close to the initial conditions (if they exist) and could come from the early Universe





[A. Aramburo-Garcia, AS et al., JCAP, 2020]

*y***-ray telescopes**





- Our Universe is **not transparent** for TeV photons: they can interact with
 - EBL photons and create an <u>electron-positron</u> pair



Charged particles interact with CMB photons via the inverse Compton effect. This results in the production of GeV photons propagating in the <u>same direction</u> as the original TeV photons



B=0







However, if there is a magnetic field in between, e^+/e^- will be deflected and GeV photons might create an extended emission around TeV point sources



 Blazars have an intrinsic spectrum that grows with energy and emit TeV photons



- **Blazars** have an intrinsic spectrum that grows with energy and emit TeV photons
- If there is **no IGMF**, we expect to detect secondary GeV photons



- **Blazars** have an intrinsic spectrum that grows with energy and emit TeV photons
- If there is **no IGMF**, we expect to detect secondary GeV photons



How to measure the IGMF? Secondary emission: observation

• **HESS** <u>observed</u> the source in the TeV range



How to measure the IGMF? Secondary emission: observation

- **HESS** <u>observed</u> the source in the TeV range
- However, Fermi did not observed the predicted GeV emission



How to measure the IGMF? Secondary emission: explanation

- **HESS** <u>observed</u> the source in the TeV range
- However, Fermi did not observed the predicted GeV emission
- This might indicate that we have the IGMF where <u>charged particles</u> <u>deflect</u> from their initial trajectories



Galactic feedback

The "genuine" properties of the IGMF can be affected by processes within galaxies

Indeed, feedback from supernovae and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) could spread out galactic matter and magnetic field at some distance around galaxies

> To what extend are the IGMF affected by galactic feedback? We use cosmological numerical simulations to analyze this question



Over-magnetized bubbles in IllustrisTNG simulations

In IllustrisTNG we have observed macroscopic (tens Mpc) regions around clusters of galaxies with electron densities as low as in the intergalactic medium (IGM) and magnetic fields as strong as in clusters over-magnetized bubbles



Typical sizes of the bubbles are of an order of magnitude larger than the virial radii of their parent clusters.

Over-magnetized bubbles in IllustrisTNG simulations

At z = 0, the magnetic field is **stronger** than 10^{-12} G in **15% of the volume**, while it is stronger than 10^{-9} G in 3% of the volume

More than **50% of random lines of sight** pass through magnetic bubbles and spend more that 10% of their length there
This makes these bubbles important for e.g. radio and γ-ray astronomy







0.5

Can the lower bound on the IGMF be affected by bubbles? 10⁵ B≠0 10⁴ MFP [Mpc] 000 z=0.0 z=0.25 z=0.5 100 EBLANN – z=2 GeV gamma-rays 10⁴ 1000 100 10 E_{γ} [GeV]

Blazars are very likely surrounded by magnetic bubbles. Very high-energy photons might convert into an electron-positron pair inside a magnetic bubble



[K. Bondarenko, <u>AS</u> et al., A&A, 2022]



Can the lower bound on the IGMF be affected by bubbles?



bubble along the line of sight to the Earth but for most of the systems, the missing energy fraction is below 50%

Individual sources can be "unlucky" and have an extended over-magnetized

For individual objects, up to 70% of the energy of secondary emission was lost,



Can the lower bound on the IGMF be affected by bubbles?



- One way to deal with this problem is to increase statistics
- observed sources

• With the CTA, we will significantly increase both the quantity and quality of



Differences in hydrodynamic and subgrid physics models: CAMELS

IllustrisTNG

Astrid

Credit: Francisco Villaescusa-Navarro

Gas metallicity z = 10.00

Magneticum

SIMBA

Summary

 γ -ray astronomy has the potential to probe intergalactic magnetic fields, and a significant advancement is anticipated through future CTA observations

The feedback from galaxies could "pollute" the intergalactic medium. With γ -ray astronomy, we could probe not the large-scale magnetic fields in the intergalactic medium, which could be primordial, but these magnetic bubbles

To detect primordial magnetic fields, it is essential to comprehend galactic feedback and its associated uncertainties, as well as develop methods for distinguishing them from primordial magnetic fields.

Credit: IllustrisTNG





Differences in hydrodynamic and subgrid physics models: CAMELS

IllustrisTNG

Gas density Gas temperature z = 10.00

Astrid

Credit: Francisco Villaescusa-Navarro

SIMBA

Magneticum

seed magnetic field



Magnetic fields in structures









Magnetic fields in structures

Magnetic fields in collapsed structures "forget" their initial conditions





Credit: dreamstime.com

Magnetic fields in structures

Magnetic fields in collapsed structures "forget" their initial conditions

Credit: dreamstime.com

How can we study the primordial magnetic fields?

CTA consists of two sites: North (La Palma) and South (Chile) There are three types of the telescopes:

- Large (23 m; 4 North, 4 South)
- Medium (12 m; 15 North, 25 South)
- Small (5 m; 0 North, 70 South)

The energy range is from 10 GeV up to 300 TeV

CTA

Magnetic fields in bubbles forget initial conditions!

- different initial conditions for the initial fields
- value of the magnetic field

Distributions of magnetic fields are calculated for TNG25 simulations with

• The distribution of large magnetic fields is the same regardless of the initial

Constraints on the IGMF

Impact of magnetic bubbles Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs)

- Finding UHECRs sources: a central problem of astroparticle physics
- No signatures of sources: UHECRs show a surprisingly high level of isotropy
- **UHECRs in magnetic fields**

• This absence of small-scale clustering is believed to arise from the deflection of

• <u>Common picture</u>: CRs in the disk diffuse to high angles. CRs transverse to the disk are deflected only while crossing the plane, for $E \sim 5 \times 10^{19}$ eV the angle is $\sim 1^{\circ}$ Kachelriess, Semikoz, 2019

Impact of magnetic bubbles Ultra-High Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs)

• The distribution of deflection angles is quite wide with an average value around 1° • The influence of intergalactic magnetic fields on the propagation of the UHECRs could be **important**

[A. Aramburo-Garcia, <u>AS</u> et al., PRD, 2021]

Over-magnetized bubbles in IllustrisTNG simulations

<u>Hypothesis</u>: magnetic bubbles are **caused by the outflows** caused by AGNs and supernovae. We see that **some bubble does not correspond to any halo or AGN**. How could this be?

Over-magnetized bubbles in IllustrisTNG simulations

Zooming in to the volume occupied by this magnetic bubble we found the the source!

- In dense structures we can measure magnetic fields using the Faraday effect
- Polarized light rotates by $\theta_{\!F}$ in the magnetic field

$$\Delta \theta_F = \mathsf{RM} \cdot \lambda^2,$$

$$\mathsf{RM} = \frac{e^3}{2\pi m_e^2} \int \frac{n_e \cdot B_{||}}{(1+z)^2} \frac{dl}{dz} dz$$

- In dense structures we can measure magnetic fields using the Faraday effect
- Polarized light rotates by θ_{F} in the magnetic field

 $\Delta \theta_F = \mathsf{RM} \cdot \lambda^2,$ light wavelength

$$\mathsf{RM} = \frac{e^3}{2\pi m_e^2} \int \frac{n_e \cdot B_{||}}{(1+z)^2} \frac{dl}{dz} dz$$

- In dense structures we can measure magnetic fields using the Faraday effect
- Polarized light rotates by θ_F in the magnetic field

 $\Delta \theta_F = \mathsf{RM} \cdot \lambda^2,$

- In dense structures we can measure magnetic fields using the Faraday effect
- Polarized light rotates by θ_F in the magnetic field

$$\Delta \theta_F = \mathsf{RM} \cdot \lambda^2,$$

number density of charged particles \times magnetic field

Constraints from Faraday rotation

- The Faraday rotation technique provides a powerful probe of astrophysical magnetic fields
- We used the catalog of radio sources NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) Hammond et al., 2012 and predicted mean and median values of RM from TNG as a function of redshift

[A. Aramburo-Garcia, <u>AS</u> et al., MNRAS, 2022]

Constraints from Faraday rotation

THE MAGNETIC COSMIC WEB: DETECTIONS IN FARADAY ROTATION

- detected by LOFAR
- "Residual" Rotation Measure:
- Little to no evolution of RRM(z)
- each LOS crosses a few tens of filaments
- Flat RRM(z) incompatible with simple uniform seed fields.
- Its amplitude also rejects purely astroph. models
- stochastic primordial magnetic fields are favoured

Faraday Rotation Measure of up to z=3 for ~ 1900 polarised sources

RRM = 0.812
$$\int_{z}^{0} \frac{n_{e}(z')B_{\parallel}(z')}{(1+z')^{2}} \frac{dl}{dz'} dz'$$

LOFAR

POMAKOV+22

Credit: F. Vazza

Future: exploring magnetic bubbles

- If IllustrisTNG is correct, tens Mpc regions with large magnetic field surround galaxy clusters
- Eagle is implementing MHD, will provide an alternative picture of the MF dynamics during galaxy formation. Compare predictions of different simulation suits
- Observationally, it is challenging to detect a bubble, stacking might work

New LOFAR data and SKA will improve the results

Future: searching for the IGMF

If we **discover the IGMF** and prove that they are due to galactic feedback - **primordial magnetic fields**

Credit: IllustrisTNG

Future: searching for the IGMF

If we discover the IGMF and prove that they are due to galactic feedback primordial magnetic fields

 In the Standard Model primordial magnetic fields do not exist! Parity must be violated in the electromagnetic sector to create primordial magnetic fields

Future: searching for the IGMF

If we discover the IGMF and prove that they are not due to galactic feedback primordial magnetic fields

- In the Standard Model primordial magnetic fields do not exist!
- Parity must be violated in the electromagnetic sector to create primordial magnetic fields
- There are several classes of extensions of the SM which violate parity:
 - Fermions: sterile neutrinos, ...
 - **Bosons**: axions, ...
 - Phase transitions: topological defects,

Credit: IllustrisTNG

