





Broad-band energy modelisation of AGNs observed with CTA LST-1

Estelle Pons (LAPP, Annecy)

N. Álvarez Crespo, A. Arbet-Engels, A. Baquero Larriva, N. Biederbeck, S. Caroff, G. Di Marco, V. Fallah Ramazani, D. Green, L. Heckmann, M. Láinez, L. Nickel, M. Nievas Rosillo, E. Pons, C. Priyadarshi, R. Takeishi, D. A. Sanchez and M. Vázquez Acosta on Behalf of the CTA-LST Project

TeVPa 2023

Active Galactic Nuclei model





- AGN Unified Model
 - AGN classification depends on orientation only
 - 2 classes: Type 1 = Broad-line AGN

Type 2 = Narrow-line AGN

- Subclasses based on the presence of a jet
 - Jet towards the observer = **Blazars**
 - **BL Lac:** lack UV/opt emission-lines
 - Flat Spectrum Radio Quasars (FSRQ)



3

Blazars Broad Band Emission

- Typical 2-humps spectrum
 - 1st peak: e⁻ synchrotron
 - -2^{nd} peak :
 - Leptonic model \rightarrow Inverse Compton scattering of jet einteract with
 - synchrotron photons (Synchrotron Self Compton, SSC)
 - external photons from the local environment (External Compton)
 - Hadronic model



log₁₀[Frequency (Hz)]



• CTA: next generation of atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes

- 64 telescopes: distributed between the Northern and Southern hemispheres
- 3 different sizes (SST, MST, LST) to cover the full range of energy (20 GeV up to 300 TeV)
- 10x better sensitivity
- LST-1: 1st prototype of CTA telescope in La Palma since 2018
 - covered the low energy range (20 GeV 3 TeV)







AGNs observed by LST-1



See next talk by J. Baxter

LST-1 AGN zoo paper

- Mrk 421 (z=0.03)
- Mrk 501 (z=0.03)

- 1ES 1959+650 (z=0.05)
 - 1ES 0647+250 (z=0.45)
- PG 1553+113 (z=0.43)
 - M87 (z=0.004; not detected)

- PG 1553+113 and 1ES 0647+250
 - BL Lac class
 - The two most distant AGN observed by LST-1

٠

- Observations: 2020/12/16 2020/12/21 (1ES 0647+250)
 2021/04/08 2022/05/23 (PG 1553+113)
- Global cuts (gammaness cut = 0.7, theta_cut = 0.2)
- Spectral fitting: PL, LP, ECPL with EBL absorption

LST-1: PG 1553+113





LST-1: 1ES 0647+250





Joint-Fit Fermi + LST-1



Preliminary results

¹https://asgardpy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

- Test LST-1 low energy performance \rightarrow Fermi+LST: asgardpy¹
 - best-fit spectral model for the HE-VHE range is not a simple Power Law
 - better and more physical understanding of the SED \rightarrow multi-wavelength modelling







https://agnpy.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html

- open-source python package (Nigro+2022)
- SED from radio to γ -rays
- Leptonic model
 - Emission: e⁻ distribution (blob)& thermal emission (FSRQ)
 - Radiative process (synchrotron + Compton)
 - Absorption



PG 1553+113: MWL SED





• LST consistent with previous VHE observations

• Archive data

 Large scatter in X-rays catalogue data

PG 1553+113: MWL SED





- Abdo+2010 Fermi paper
 - SSC models for different epochs of Xray data
- Aleksic+2012
 - SSC model (Suzaku + MAGIC data)

need careful selection of data and simultaneous opt. /UV / X-rays observations

PG 1553+113: agnpy fit





- agnpy 1st fit
 - Catalogue data: IR + Fermi & LST
 - Compatible with X-rays catalogue data

Next: look for UV/Xrays (Swift) and Fermi simultaneous observation

1ES 0647+250: MWL SED





- MWL Catalogue data (SSDC) + LST
- Challenging fit for archive data with single zone SSC
 - "flat" synchrotron peak compared to Compton peak
- Acciari+2023
 - Also variable in X-rays/ γ -rays

need also UV/X-rays (Swift) and Fermi simultaneous observation

Conclusion



- LST SED consistent with Fermi
 - but combined fit parameters do not constrain emission model
- MWL SED fitting: agnpy
 - From fit \rightarrow physical parameters of the emission
 - Fit vary depending on which data are included \rightarrow challenging fit
- AGN variability:
 - SED can vary a lot (especially in the X-rays)
 - Next step: look for UV/X-rays (Swift) and gamma-rays (Fermi) data simultaneous with LST observations

Back Up



agnpy parameters