



TeVPA 2023, Napoli September 11th-15th 2023

Dr. Luigi Antonio Fusco lfusco@unisa.it

Università degli Studi di Salerno, INFN-NA Gruppo collegato di Salerno





1. Emissions in the galactic plane

2. Gamma rays

3. Neutrinos

The multi-messenger Milky Way





Probes for Cosmic Rays



- Cosmic Rays fill the Galaxy
- Interstellar Medium fills the Galaxy
- \Rightarrow CR collisions will produce γ s and ν s (hadronic mechanism)^a



^aGamma rays may also come from leptonic processes

Galactic plane emissions



The main issue is: we measure CRs at Earth; their behaviour in the rest of the Galaxy may be different

- Neutrinos and gamma-ray allow to map CRs along the line of sight
- Measure CR properties beyond the local environment



Gamma rays





Fermi-LAT





Credit: NASA/DOE/Fermi-LAT Collaboration, see [1]





HAWC Cherenkov detector looks for TeV gamma rays producing showers in the atmosphere, with large FoV and high efficiency

- HAWC sky at TeV energies [2]
- Recent updates shown this summer with improved reconstruction [3]







- The LHAASO array observes large parts of the sky up to (potentially) PeV energies [4]
- Pushing observations to the highest energies ever



- Sources are clearly visible above 100 TeV [5]
- Hints for PeVatrons for some of those





- Once sources (LHAASO and TeVCat) are removed, a diffuse component survives [6]
- High-significance observation



High-energy emissions in the Milky Way



15°<l<125°, -5°<b<5°

power-law fit ----

125°<1<235°, -5°<b<5°

10

10

LHAASO-KM2A power-law fit ----

 10^{2}

E (TeV)

 10^{2}

E (TeV)

LHAASO-KM2A (outer)

- A rather large flux is measured [6] both in the inner and outer plane
- About a factor 2-3 higher than the baseline expectations
- \Rightarrow Some unresolved source in there?



 10^{-9} (a)

 10^{-10}

10-11

10

Neutrinos





Searches for neutrinos - I



ON/OFF searches ("Galactic Ridge")

- Background from data, from analogous regions as the signal – thanks to the rotation of the Earth
- Limited dependency on models
- Provide a "general" limit on the integrated neutrino flux



Searches for neutrinos - II



Template search ("likelihood analysis")

- Sky-map from models (accounting for CRs and the properties of the Galaxy)
- Spatial and spectral information
- Model-dependent results
- Whole sky is contributing

$\mathsf{KRA}_{\gamma} \mathsf{ model} [7]$



ANTARES Galactic Ridge

ON/OFF analysis [8] with 2007-2020 data

- Mild excess (> 2σ)
- Excess of data can be fitted with power-law spectrum
- Best fit $\gamma\simeq 2.5$
- Falls on top of the extrapolation of diffuse gamma rays from Fermi-LAT





ANTARES Galactic Ridge

 ON/OFF analysis [8] with 2007-2020 data

- Mild excess (> 2σ)
- Excess of data can be fitted with power-law spectrum
- Best fit $\gamma\simeq 2.5$
- Falls on top of the extrapolation of diffuse gamma rays from Fermi-LAT





The IceCube results



- June 29th 2023: IceCube announced the detection of Milky Way neutrinos [9]
- Selected cascade events using machine learning techniques to improve the purity



The IceCube results

Template search

- One using Fermi-LAT reference
- 2 from the "old" KRA_γ model ^a (tuned to reproduce Fermi data, and CRs)





19/31

^aSee next talk by A. Marinelli

High-energy emissions in the Milky Way

Updated template search presented at

ICRC2023 [10]

- No significant excess observed
- Resulting best fit is compatible within uncertainties with other ANTARES measurements and IceCube observations







ANTARES, again

Something on templates



Comparison done in the ANTARES proceedings at ICRC23 [10]

- CRINGE models [11]
- Updated KRA models [12]
- Mainly differing in the CR diffusion properties



Something on templates



Comparison done in the ANTARES proceedings at ICRC23 [10]

- CRINGE models [11]
- Updated KRA models [12]
- Mainly differing in the CR diffusion properties



Something on templates



Comparison done in the ANTARES proceedings at ICRC23 [10]

- CRINGE models [11]
- Updated KRA models [12]
- Mainly differing in the CR diffusion properties



Plot by J.Aublin, and the KRA γ group



So, Milky Way neutrinos are there

- Interestingly, the best fit from ANTARES is above the CR propagation models even though its uncertainties are rather large
 - And it's above the IceCube best fit
- On the contrary, the IceCube results are a factor ${\sim}2$ below the CR models for KRA-models, while above the π^0 model

This has triggered some further investigations (see References III for a few of such studies).



Just one example (V. Vecchiotti, G. Pagliaroli, F. Villante, 2023)

- They estimate the neutrino flux from diffuse (i.e. CR propagation) and CR sources
- Compare it to ANTARES (Galactic Ridge)
- And to IceCube (Templates)





What about neutrino sources?

- Not yet observed by either ANTARES [13] or IceCube [14]
- Main target for KM3NeT in the next years







- IceCube finally found Galactic neutrinos!
- LHAASO and HAWC are already studying possible PeVatrons!

There is a lot we can get to know from this

- How do CRs behave away from Earth?
- How much do we not (still) see?
- Which sources?

References I



- 12-years Fermi-LAT results: S. Abdollahi et al. [Fermi-LAT], Astrophys. Journ. S 260: 53 (2022)
- A. Albert et al. [HAWC], Astrophys. Journ. 905: 76 (2020)
- K. Malone et al. [HAWC], PoS(ICRC2023)698
- LHAASO Skymap: Z. Cao et al. [LHAASO], Nature **594**: 33-39 (2021)
- First LHAASO Catalog: Z. Cao et al. [LHAASO], https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.17030
- LHAASO Diffuse Emission: Z. Cao et al. [LHAASO], https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.05372
- KRA models: D. Gaggero et al., Astrophys. Journ. Lett. **815**: L25 (2015)
- ANTARES Galactic Ridge: A. Albert et al [ANTARES], Phys. Lett. B 841, 137951 (2023)

References II



- IceCube Galactic Plane: R. Abbasi et al. [IceCube], Science 380, 6652 (2023)
- ANTARES template: T. Cartraud et al. [ANTARES], PoS(ICRC2023)1084
- CRINGE: G. Schwefer et al., Astrophys. Journ. 949: 16
- KRA: P. De La Torre Luque et al., Front. Astron. Space Sci 9 (2022) and P. De La Torre Luque et al., Astron. & Astrophys. **672**: A58 (2023)
- ANTARES point sources: G. Illuminati et al. [ANTARES], PoS(ICRC2023)1128
- IceCube point sources: R. Abbasi et al. [IceCube], Phys. Rev. Lett. 124: 051103 (2020) and R. Abbasi et al. [IceCube], https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.07576
- KM3NeT Galactic Ridge: F. Filippini [KM3NeT], PoS(**IRC2023**)1190

References III



- V. Vecchiotti et al., https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.16305 and https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.07451
- A. Ambrosone et al., https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.17285
- K. Fang et al., https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.02905
- K. Yan et al., https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.12363
- A. Neronov et al., https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.07978

Backup slides



ANTARES Galactic Ridge



Track

40

4.0

Background

Best-fit signal Background+signal Data (2007 - 2020)

3.5

 $\log_{10}(E_{rec}/\text{GeV})$

45

4.5

Shower

5.0

5.0

- -101 Events per bin 10 Background Best-fit signal 10^{-1} Background+signal Data (2007 - 2020) 20 2.5 35 3.0 log10(Erec/GeV) 10^{1} Events per bin 100

 10^{-1}

2.0

2.5

3.0

.....

ON/OFF analysis [8]

- 2007-2020 data
- Tracks and shower combined
- Excess of data above the average off-region $> 2\sigma$

ANTARES 15 years point sources





The IceCube results





The IceCube results





High-energy emissions in the Milky Way

6/8

Search for neutrinos from the Galactic Ridge with the first data from ARCA [15]

- No excess yet
- Short livetime, but already promising results for ARCA21





KM3NeT, first results

KM3NeT, first results





R. Muller et al. [KM3NeT], PoS(ICRC2023)1018

KM3NeT, expected results





T.J. van Eeden [KM3NeT], PoS(ICRC2023)1075