NEW BOUNDS ON LIGHT MILLICHARGED PARTICLES FROM THE TIP OF THE RED-GIANT BRANCH

AUDREY FUNG, SANIYA HEEBA, QINRUI LIU, VARUN MURALIDHARAN, KATELIN SCHUTZ, AARON VINCENT 14/9/2023 TEVPA 2023, NAPOLI BASED ON <u>ARXIV:2309.06465</u>

I. PLASMON DECAY → (FERMIONIC) MCP





longitudinal:

Transverse:

X

Off-shell decay

v*

e



 $\omega_T^2 = k^2 + \omega_p^2$

 e^{-}





I. PRODUCTION OF MCPS IN STARS



I. ASSUMPTIONS MADE IN THE LITERATURE

- 1. only transverse plasmon was considered
- ... we included longitudinal plasmon

- a more proper treatment of the off-shell decay rate

- 2. Constant temperature and plasma frequency over the entire star and throughout the lifetime of the star
- 3. MCP rate = rescaled neutrino rates
- . we ran simulations to properly quantify the effect



II. TRGB IN GLOBULAR CLUSTERS WHY GLOBULAR CLUSTERS?

- globular clusters are old only stars that remain are the low mass ones
- : stellar mass



- globular clusters contain coeval stars - metallicities are the same
- .: metallicities, age



https://www.eso.org/public/images/eso0844a/



https://www.britannica.com/science/ globular-cluster#/media/1/235470/3648





III. ENERGY PROFILE AT HE FLASH

7

III. ENERGY PROFILE AT HE FLASH

III. MCP CHANGES STELLAR STRUCTURE $0.8M_{\odot}$ AT HE FLASH

III. OBSERVATION DATA

- Straniero, O et al. (2020)
 obtained photometric data
 from HST and ground-based
 optical measurements
- parallax measurments of 15 globular clusters
- avoided ZAHB

Table 6. RGB tip bolometric magnitudes as obtained under different assumtion for the distance scale.

M92 M15 M68 M30 M55 ω Cen NGC 6752 M13 M3 NGC 362 M5 NGC 288 M107 NGC 6380 NGC 6342 47 Tuc M69 NGC 6441 NGC 6624 NGC 6440 NGC 6553 NGC 6528

NGC 362 M5 47 Tuc

[M/H]	m-M (ZAHB)	m-M (CH2018)	m-M (B2019)	M_{bol} (ZAHB)	<i>M</i> _{bol} (CH2018)	M_{bol} (B201)			
near-IR photometry									
-2.05 ± 0.20	14.76 ± 0.20		14.64 ± 0.08	-3.63 ± 0.25		-3.51 ± 0.1			
-2.03 ± 0.20	15.14 ± 0.20		15.05 ± 0.03	-3.53 ± 0.24		-3.44 ± 0.1			
-1.97 ± 0.20	15.14 ± 0.20			-3.34 ± 0.32					
-2.03 ± 0.20	14.73 ± 0.20		14.48 ± 0.24	-3.65 ± 0.28		-3.41 ± 0.3			
-1.71 ± 0.20	13.84 ± 0.20		13.63 ± 0.09	-3.66 ± 0.25		-3.44 ± 0.1			
-1.42 ± 0.20	13.67 ± 0.20		13.60 ± 0.02	-3.59 ± 0.23		-3.52 ± 0.1			
-1.33 ± 0.20	13.17 ± 0.20		13.15 ± 0.05	-3.63 ± 0.25		-3.61 ± 0.1			
-1.36 ± 0.20	14.43 ± 0.20		14.15 ± 0.10	-3.56 ± 0.27		-3.28 ± 0.2			
-1.28 ± 0.20	15.02 ± 0.20		14.88 ± 0.10	-3.59 ± 0.24		-3.45 ± 0.1			
-1.08 ± 0.20	14.64 ± 0.20	14.66 ± 0.12	14.82 ± 0.07	-3.48 ± 0.24	-3.50 ± 0.17	-3.66 ± 0.1			
-1.11 ± 0.20	14.38 ± 0.20		14.40 ± 0.04	-3.60 ± 0.25		-3.61 ± 0.1			
-1.10 ± 0.20	14.73 ± 0.20		15.03 ± 0.07	-3.77 ± 0.24		-4.07 ± 0.1			
-0.81 ± 0.20	13.94 ± 0.20		13.86 ± 0.14	-3.55 ± 0.32		-3.47 ± 0.2			
-0.29 ± 0.20	14.65 ± 0.20			-3.97 ± 0.24					
-0.36 ± 0.20	14.54 ± 0.20			-3.76 ± 0.27					
-0.55 ± 0.20	13.25 ± 0.20	13.24 ± 0.06	13.24 ± 0.02	-3.78 ± 0.23	-3.77 ± 0.13	-3.77 ± 0.1			
-0.43 ± 0.20	14.59 ± 0.20			-3.53 ± 0.24					
-0.32 ± 0.20	15.56 ± 0.20		15.36 ± 0.03	-3.96 ± 0.24		-3.77 ± 0.1			
-0.31 ± 0.20	14.55 ± 0.20		14.21 ± 0.13	-3.90 ± 0.26		-3.56 ± 0.2			
-0.15 ± 0.20	14.37 ± 0.20			-4.00 ± 0.24					
-0.12 ± 0.20	13.36 ± 0.20		14.19 ± 0.08	-3.93 ± 0.25		-4.76 ± 0.1			
0.07 ± 0.20	14.24 ± 0.20			-4.07 ± 0.24					
VI photometry									
-1.08 ± 0.20	14.64 ± 0.20	14.66 ± 0.12	14.82 ± 0.07	-3.51 ± 0.26	-3.53 ± 0.21	-3.69 ± 0.1			
-1.11 ± 0.20	14.38 ± 0.20		14.40 ± 0.04	-3.63 ± 0.26		-3.64 ± 0.1			
-0.55 ± 0.20	13.25 ± 0.20	13.24 ± 0.06	13.24 ± 0.02	-3.80 ± 0.26	-3.79 ± 0.17	-3.79 ± 0.1			

hidden photon," JCAP 02, 029 (2014), arXiv:1311.2600 [hep-ph].

IV. CLOSING REMARKS

- Longitudinal + transverse + on-shell + off-shell
- MCPs change the stellar structure
- Simulation is the most precise way to quantify the impact of MCPs
- Using parallax data, we obtained new bounds

- Future work 1: scalar MCPs 500N
- Future work 2: MCPs in horziontal branch stars 500N

I. Production of millicharged particles (MCP) in stars **II.Astrophysical sources** III.Red giants with extra energy loss **IV.Closing remarks**

OUTLINE

I. DEPENDENCES OF EMISSION RATES ON STELLAR INTERIOR DONEC QUIS NUNC

• typical $\omega_p \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ keV in MS

• typical $\omega_p \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ keV in RG

I. DEPENDENCES OF EMISSION RATES ON STELLAR INTERIOR

• typical $\omega_p \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ keV in MS

• typical $\omega_p \sim \mathcal{O}(10)$ keV in RG

I. DEPENDENCES OF EMISSION RATES ON STELLAR INTERIOR DONEC QUIS NUNC

 Q/e^2q^2 [keV⁵]

• typical $\omega_p \sim 0.01 - 1$ keV in MS

• typical $\omega_p \sim 0.1 - 10$ keV in MS

II. STANDARD STELLAR EVOLUTION OF LOW MASS STARS

- 1. Main sequence H core burning
- 2. Red giant branch steady H shell burning
- 3. tip of the red giant (TRGB) - He flash
- 4. horizontal branch He core burning
- 5. Asymptotic giant branch He shell burning

lacksquare

II. TRGB IN GLOBULAR CLUSTERS WHY TRGB?

- TRGB brightness only depends on the He core mass at the time of He ignition
- TRGBs are used as standard candles

II. TRGB IN GLOBULAR CLUSTERS WHY GLOBULAR CLUSTERS?

- globular clusters are old only stars that remain are the low mass ones
- : stellar mass 🗙

- globular clusters contain coeval stars - metallicities are the same
- .: metallicities, age 🗙

https://www.eso.org/public/images/eso0844a/

III. MCP CHANGES STELLAR STRUCTURE $0.8M_{\odot}$ AT HE FLASH

9.00m = 7 keV, [M/H] = -2.058.75 8.50 8.258.00 7.757.507.257.00 +0.00.10.20.3Enclosed mass M/M_{\odot}

III. MCP CHANGES STELLAR STRUCTURE

III. MCP CHANGES STELLAR STRUCTURE

III. MCPS CHANGE STELLAR STRUCTURE $0.8M_{\odot}$ AT HE FLASH

III. MCPS CHANGE STELLAR STRUCTURE $0.8M_{\odot}$ AT HE FLASH

26

III. ENERGY PROFILE

III. MCPS CHANGE STELLAR STRUCTURE

DONEC QUIS NUNC

III. BOLOMETRIC MAGNITUDE VS MCP CHARGEQ

er sca 6	ling fact 8	or $\begin{bmatrix} 10^{-14} \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$	M_{\odot} year 12	r ⁻¹] 14	16
			Δ		
	-₽ - <u>₽</u> -			- • •	
Mirri	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.6	; ;
$\frac{1}{0.05}$	$\frac{1}{0.00}$ M/H] -	$\frac{1}{0.05}$ $[M/H]_{\rm fid}$	0.10	0.15	0.20
0.26 Initial	He frac	0.28 tion Y	0.3	0	0.32
875 Stella	0.900 r mass	0.925 (M_{\odot})	0.950	0.975	1.000

1. MOTIVATIONS

- Main objective: search for MCPs
- address charge quantization
- constrain BSM models
- our assumptions: MCPs couple to photons
- our assumptions: MCPs are stable

OTHER CONSTRAINTS ON MCPS DONEC QUIS NUNC

