# Updates on HiDRa simulation analysis

Andrea Pareti - 9/11/2022

# Simulation

- Calorimeter depth: 2500mm
- Geometry: 80 miniM
- Material: Steel/Brass

48x10 minimodules geometry has also been used in the extraction of the  $\chi$  factor

Details on simulation:

- Beam tilted by 2.5° in X and Y directions
- Datasets: [10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80, 100] GeV
- 10k events per set
- Beam diameter: 1cm
- Fiber diameter: 1mm



#### Steel Vs Brass: electrons



#### Steel Vs Brass: electrons



Electron resolution in [10, 100] GeV Range

Attempt n°1: Extract  $\chi$  from 480 miniM simulation and use it to obtain resolution with 80 miniM geometry (find  $\chi$  such that the 480miniM calo is perfectly linear at 40 GeV)

- Steel:  $\chi = 0.3394$
- Brass:  $\chi = 0.2960$



Pion Linearity, 80miniM

Attempt n°1: Extract  $\chi$  from 480 miniM simulation and use it to obtain resolution with 80 miniM geometry (find  $\chi$  such that the 480miniM calo is perfectly linear at 40 GeV)

- Steel:  $\chi = 0.3394$
- Brass:  $\chi = 0.2960$

#### Pion resolution in [10, 100] GeV Range



Pion Linearity, 80miniM



#### Pion resolution in [10, 100] GeV Range

Attempt n°2: Using only the 80 miniM simulated set, iterate over  $\chi$  to minimize

$$\sum_{E_k=[10,..,100]}rac{\left(E_{beam}-Ereco
ight)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

- Steel:  $\chi = 0.3517$
- Brass:  $\chi = 0.3109$



Pion resolution in [10, 100] GeV Range, Fit Comparison

# **Comparing Fits**

Compare goodness of fit, with errors added linearly or in quadrature

Added two new sets at higher energies, 200 and 500 GeV

Chi extracted with the iterative procedure



Pion resolution in [10, 100] GeV Range, Fit Comparison

# **Comparing Fits**

Compare goodness of fit, with errors added linearly or in quadrature

Added two new sets at higher energies, 200 and 500 GeV

Chi extracted with the iterative procedure



# Backup

11

# **Comparing Fits**

$$E_{reco} = \frac{S - \chi C}{1 - \chi}$$