Tre Facce dell Stessa Medaglia

coalescence, thermal production and the Koonin equation



Scott Pratt Department of Physics & Astronomy, Facility for Rare Isotope Beams Michigan State University









GOALS:

- Review theory
- Compare coalescence and Koonin Eq.
- Understand roles of Femtoscopic and Coalescence
- Wish list

Three classes of measurement





"SOURCE FUNCTION" measures phase space cloud, not source!!!

GOAL: Measure $C(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2)$ to infer $S(\vec{v}_{cm}, \vec{r})$ For identical bosons: $|\phi|^2 = 1 + \cos(2\vec{q} \cdot \vec{r})$ Strong/Coulomb makes inversion more complicated



Coalescence vs Koonin Eq.

Both use same source function:



Coalescence vs Koonin Eq.

Both use same source function:

 C_{ab}

$$\frac{P_{c}(\vec{v}_{cm})}{P_{a}(\vec{v}_{cm})P_{b}(\vec{v}_{cm})} = \int d^{3}r S_{ab}(\vec{v}_{cm},\vec{r}) |\phi_{c}(\vec{r})|^{2},$$
source function
$$\vec{v}_{coalescence}$$
Femtoscopy
$$(\vec{v}_{cm},\vec{q}) = \frac{P_{ab}(\vec{p}_{1},\vec{p}_{2})}{P_{a}(\vec{p}_{1})P_{b}(\vec{p}_{2})}$$

$$= \int d^{3}r S_{ab}(\vec{v}_{cm},\vec{r}) |\phi_{\vec{q}}(\vec{r})|^{2}$$
wave function
$$= \int d^{3}r S_{ab}(\vec{v}_{cm},\vec{r}) |\phi_{\vec{q}}(\vec{r})|^{2}$$

Wave Function and Density of States



Phase shifts:

- a) describe wave function outside range of V
- b) give density of states

Wave Function and Density of States

 $|\phi_q(r)|^2$ describes contribution to density of states at r

If $\Delta |\phi_q(r)|^2$ is localized (compared to S(r)), Koonin formula becomes

$$egin{aligned} C_{ab}(ec{v}_{ ext{cm}},ec{q}) &= 1 + rac{dn_{ ext{states}}^{(ext{int})}/dE}{dn_{ ext{states}}^{(ext{free})}/dE} \ & rac{dn_{ ext{states}}^{(ext{free})}/dE}{dE} & rac{dn_{ ext{states}}^{(ext{free})}}{dE} & \sim rac{1}{ ext{volume}} \end{aligned}$$

Wave function and density of states
$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int dr \left\{ |\phi_q(r)|^2 - |\phi_q^{(0)}(r)|^2 \right\} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\delta}{dq}$$
$$|\phi_q(r)|^2 \text{ describes contribution to density of states at } r$$

Femtoscopy:

If $\Delta |\phi_q(r)|^2$ is localized (compared to S(r)), Koonin formula becomes $C_{ab}(\vec{v}_{cm}, \vec{q}) = 1 + \frac{dn_{states}^{(int)}/dE}{dn_{states}^{(free)}/dE} \frac{dn_{states}^{(free)}}{dE} \sim \frac{1}{volume}$

Coalescence:

If $|\phi_c(r)|^2$ is localized, coalescence formula becomes

$$P_c(ec{v}) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3r \; f_a(ec{v},ec{r}) f_b(ec{v},ec{r})$$

Same as thermal model (aside from binding energy)

Wave function and density of states

BUT!!! wave function is not always localized

Deuteron r.ms. radius $\approx 3 \text{ fm}$

At small q, $\Delta |\phi_q(r)|^2$ extends $\approx 1/q$

Therefore: a) Koonin/Coalescence formula necessary unless sources are large b) Thermal model (which ignores extent of w.f.) is questionable for small sources Example: $\pi^+\pi^-$ bound (by Coulomb) states extend ≈ 200 fm

Do you need a "good" wave function?

Femtoscopy:

Outside range of potential, $\phi_q(r) = \sin(qr + \delta)$

Overall strength of integrated w.f. is $(1/\pi)d\delta/dq$

Thus

all potentials give same answer if they have same δ and $d\delta/dq$ unless range of potential is large (e.g. *pd*)

Coalescence:

Outside range of potential, $\phi_c(r) = Ae^{-\sqrt{2\mu B}r}$

Thus

all potentials give same answer if they have same binding energy *B* and *A*. unless range of potential is large

When are the approximations good?

Approximations are uncontrolled

Not out of control





The Truth:

$$P(p_a,p_b) = \sum_{f'} \left| \int dx_a dx_b T_{f'}(x_a,x_b) \phi_{f'}(x_a,x_b;p_a,p_b,)
ight|^2$$

Sum over all "remainder" states f'

APPROXIMATIONS

1) $\phi(x_a, x_b; p_a, p_b)$ does not depend on f'

- a) fails if phase space density is high (identical particles) multi-particle symmetrization is important otherwise, must calculate for all momenta, then integrate over all other particles
- b) fails if interaction with other particles lasts long time at sufficiently small relative momentum, this is fine Coulomb with other particles slowest other interaction

$$\phi_f(x_a, x_b; p_a, p_b) \rightarrow \phi(x_a - x_b; p_a, p_b)$$

After first approximation:

$$P(p_a,p_b)=\sum_{f'}\left|\int dx_a dx_b T_{f'}(x_a,x_b)\phi(x_a,x_b;p_a,p_b,)
ight|^2$$

APPROXIMATIONS

2) Emission (T-matrix) is independent.

Sum over f' and T-matrices must factorize

Ignores other correlations (energy/momentum/charge) conservation...

good at small relative momentum (other sources have longer characteristic scales)

$$\sum_{f'}
ightarrow \sum_{f'_a} \sum_{f'_b}, \ T_{f'}(x_a, x_b)
ightarrow T_{f'_a}(x_a) T_{f'_b}(x_b)$$

After approximations 1 & 2: Define:

$$s_a(x,p)\equiv\sum_{f_a'}\int d\delta x\; T^*_{f_a'}(x+\delta x/2)T_{f_a'}(x-\delta x/2)e^{ip\cdot\delta x}$$
ia vive

this gives

$$P_{ab}(p_a,p_b) = \int dx_a dx_b d\delta x d ilde{q} s_a (ar{P}_a + ilde{q},x_a) s_b (ar{P}_b - ilde{q},x_b)
onumber \ e^{i ilde{q}\delta x} \phi_q^*(x_a - x_b + \delta x/2) \phi_q^*(x_a - x_b - \delta x/2)$$

3) Smoothness approximation:

- a) Ignore \tilde{q} dependence in $s_a(\bar{P}_a + \tilde{q}, x_a)$ and $s_b(\bar{P}_b \tilde{q}, x_b)$, b) replace $s_a(\bar{P}_a + \tilde{q}, x_a)s_b(\bar{P}_b + \tilde{q}, x_b)$ with $s_a(p_a, x_b)s_b(p_b, x_b)$ or $s_a(E_a, \vec{p}_{a,cm}s_b(E_b, \vec{p}_{b,cm})$
- good when emissions are thermal and matrices are broad
- questionable if relative momentum is small
- necessary if you don't know off-shell behavior of s(p, x)
- for coalescence you can add $e^{B/T}$ factor

S.P. PRC 1997

Last approximation: non-simultaneous wave functions

 $\phi_q(x_1-x_2)=\phi(\Delta t=0,\vec{x}_1-\vec{x}_2)$ in pair frame

4) Non-simultaneous emission

- no problem for pure HBT
- should be fine for small relative momentum



Sometimes interactions involve change of degrees of freedom: No interaction through potential

Examples:
$$K^+K^- \leftrightarrow \phi$$

 $\alpha d \leftrightarrow^6 \text{Li}$

Wavefunction paradigm questionable — but thermal equilibrium still applies

When are the approximations good?

Femtoscopy:

- Emission uncorrelated aside from FSI
- Relative motion is small, $q/\mu \lesssim 0.1$
- Phase space density not high (as long as phase space densities ≤ 0.5)
- Range of interaction smaller than source size
- Rearrangement interactions, e.g. $K^+K^- \leftrightarrow \phi$ where wave function paradigm is questionable

Coalescence:

- Same as above
- Wave function should not have high p components (low B)
- Should correct for binding energy: $e^{B/T}$

Thermal:

- Must be at freeze out!!
- Whenever wave function extent is < < source size
- OK with rearrangement interactions

Central H.I. Collisions: — Usually very solid

pp Collisions:

Be more careful

Rearrangment interactions — Be careful

Complementary Roles

FROM FEMTOSCOPY:

 $R_{\rm inv}, R_{\rm out}, R_{\rm side}, R_{\rm long}$ + plus radial form information all as function of y, p_t, ϕ

FROM COALESCENCE:

For Gaussian:

 $R_{\rm inv}$ or $S_{ab}(r \approx 0)$ all as function of y, p_t, ϕ deuteron spectra provides nothing additional beyond this



Because you take third root — this is very accurate!







neutron-neutron correlations 25A MeV and 58A MeV



Expand $\pi - \pi, K - K, p - p$

- full 6-dimensional
- lower energy: No boost invariance
- imaging
- relation to EoS

Coordinated analysis with *d* coalescence – full 3-dimensional

Neutron-neutron femtoscopy

- no Coulomb
- shape

Non-identical femtoscopy

relation fo EoS