

/inistero dell'Università e della Ricerca

Finanziato dall'Unione europea NextGenerationEU

XVI Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy & IV Resonance Workshop

> WPCF 2023 Catania | 6-10 Nov 2023





UNIVERSITÀ degli STUDI di CATANIA

Lucia Oliva

in collaboration with Wenkai Fan, Pierre Moreau, Steffen Bass, Elena Bratkovskaya, Vadim Voronyuk





QGP initially expected only in high energy collisions of two heavy ions (AA), small colliding systems regarded as control measurements



LO, P. Moreau, V. Voronyuk and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 101, 014917 (2020) <u>中</u>

Х

overlap zone

PHENIX

0.5

PHSD

0.14

0.12

0.1

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

0₀

charged particles v_2

Simulating large and small colliding systems

Two main approaches to describe the dynamics of hot QCD medium in relativistic nuclear collisions

HYDRODYNAMIC MODELS

macroscopic description evolution based on conservation law unreasonable effectiveness of hydro

energy-momentum
tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = eu^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu}(P + \Pi) + \pi^{\mu\nu}$$

 $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ fluid 4-velocity
collision integro

TRANSPORT MODELS

 $(p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} + gQF^{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}\partial^{p}_{\mu})f(x,p) = \mathcal{C}[f]$ microscopic description evolution of particle distribution functions inherent inclusion of nonequilibrium

field interaction

one-particle distribution function

viscous

Simulating large and small colliding systems

Two main approaches to describe the dynamics of hot QCD medium in relativistic nuclear collisions

Initial conditions + HYDRODYNAMIC MODELS

+ hadronic afterburner (transport) macroscopic description evolution based on conservation laws unreasonable effectiveness of hydro

TRANSPORT MODELS

microscopic description evolution of particle distribution functions inherent inclusion of nonequilibrium suitable for the pre-equilibrium stage, for partonic and hadronic phases



Picture credit: MADAI Collaboration 🖳



Picture credit: PHSD project **D**

Simulating large and small colliding systems

Two main approaches to describe the dynamics of hot QCD medium in relativistic nuclear collisions

Initial conditions + HYDRODYNAMIC MODELS + hadronic afterburner (transport) macroscopic description evolution based on conservation laws unreasonable effectiveness of hydro

TRANSPORT MODELS

microscopic description evolution of particle distribution functions **inherent inclusion of nonequilibrium** suitable for the pre-equilibrium stage, for partonic and hadronic phases



Picture credit: MADAI Collaboration 🖳



Picture credit: PHSD project **D**

Both hybrid and transport approaches are successful in describing AA and pA Different way of treating nonequilibrium effects

Parton-Hadron-String Dynamics – PHSD

non-equilibrium off-shell transport approach

to study the phase transition from hadronic to partonic matter and the QGP properties from a microscopic origin



- INITIAL NUCLEI COLLISION: nucleon-nucleon collisions lead to the formation of strings that decay to pre-hadrons
- > FORMATION OF QGP: if $\epsilon > \epsilon_c$ pre-hadrons dissolve in massive off-shell quarks and gluons + mean-field potential
- PARTONIC STAGE: off-shell transport equations with Dynamical Quasi-Particle Model (DQPM)
- HADRONIZATION: partons with broad spectral functions hadronize to off-shell baryons and mesons
- HADRONIC PHASE: off-shell transport equations with hadronhadron interactions



 $q + \overline{q}$

LUND string mode

VISHNew (+ hadronic afterburner)

2+1D viscous hydrodynamic model

to study the QGP medium and its properties from a macroscopic point of view

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

space-time evolution of the QGP via conservation equations of the energy-momentum tensor

$$T^{\mu\nu} = e \, u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu} (P + \Pi) + \pi^{\mu\nu}$$

time evolution of the viscous corrections via 2nd order Israel-Stewart equations

$$\tau_{\Pi}\dot{\Pi} + \Pi = -\zeta\theta - \delta_{\Pi\Pi}\Pi\theta + \phi_{1}\Pi^{2} + \lambda_{\Pi\pi}\pi^{\mu\nu}\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \phi_{3}\pi^{\mu\nu}\pi_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\tau_{\pi}\dot{\pi}^{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \pi^{\mu\nu} = 2\eta\sigma^{\mu\nu} + 2\pi^{\langle\mu}_{\alpha}w^{\nu\rangle\alpha} - \delta_{\pi\pi}\pi^{\mu\nu}\theta + \phi_{7}\pi^{\langle\mu}_{\alpha}\pi^{\nu\rangle\alpha} - \tau_{\pi\pi}\pi^{\langle\mu}_{\alpha}\sigma^{\nu\rangle\alpha} + \lambda_{\pi\Pi}\Pi\sigma^{\mu\nu} + \phi_{6}\Pi\pi^{\mu\nu}$$

hydro equations closed by an equation of state P=P(e) (lattice QCD + HRG)

e: local energy density P: local isotropic pressure Π : bulk viscous pressure $\pi^{\mu\nu}$: shear stress tensor

- PARTONIC STAGE: VISHNew
- HADRONIZATION: Cooper-Frye
- HADRONIC PHASE: UrQMD

Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

Coarse-graining PHSD medium

Study the hot medium evolution with transport and hydro

- → same initial conditions to reduce the early stage impact
- → similar equation of state and specific shear viscosity

$$T^{\mu\nu} = e u^{\mu} u^{\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu} (P + \Pi) + \pi^{\mu\nu}$$

initiolized with

0



 $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$

$$= \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d^{3}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{i}(E_{i}) \frac{p_{i}^{\mu}p_{i}^{\nu}}{E_{i}} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{\mu}p_{i}^{\nu}}{E_{i}}$$

Hydro initialization time?

Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 202

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

t [fm/c]



Medium evolution: hydrodynamics vs PHSD

p+Pb @ LHC 5.02 TeV – b = 2 fm



Higher degree of inhomogeneity in pA than in AA

LO, W. Fan, P. Moreau, S.A. Bass and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 106, 044910 (2022) <u>日</u>

Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

- PHSD evolution more chaotic all times
- VISHNew smooths the initial PHSD profile
- Visible impact of hydro initialization time

Au+Au @ RHIC 200 GeV b = 6 fm



Quantifying medium inhomogeneity

Fourier transform of the energy density profile

$$\tilde{e}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} e(x, y) e^{2\pi i (\frac{xk_x}{L_x m} + \frac{yk_y}{L_y n})}$$



radial distribution of the Fourier modes of the energy density

$$\left| \tilde{e} \left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2} \right) \right|$$

Shorter wavelength modes survive only in PHSD

→ constant inhomogeneity of QGP medium in the microscopic description
 → nonequilibrium dynamics able to preserve the medium irregularities

LO, W. Fan, P. Moreau, S.A. Bass and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 106, 044910 (2022) 🕒

Viscous corrections

p+Pb @ LHC 5.02 TeV – b = 2 fm

 \geq

quicker decay than in AA

H. Song and U. W. Heinz,

 Π [GeV/fm³] t=2.0 fm/c t=0.2 fm/c t=0.4 fm/c t=1.5 fm/c Π drops much faster in 1.2 8.0 t = 0.20 fm/ct = 0.40 fm/ct = 1.50 fm/ct = 2.00 fm/chydro than in PHSD 4.0 HYDRO 9**4**. 11. 1.0 0.0 Hydro lines lose -4.0 memory of initial t₀=0.2 fm/c Hydro $(t_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm/c})$ Hydro $(t_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm/c})$ Hydro $(t_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm/c})$ -8.0 conditions at ~1.8 fm/c 0.8 8.0 t = 2.00 fm/ct = 0.40 fm/ct = 1.50 fm/c4.0 5 9.0 HYDRO \dot{u}_{kn} 0.6 averaged **Π** -4.0 t₀=0.4 fm/c Hydro $(t_0 = 0.4 \text{ fm/c})$ Hydro $(t_0 = 0.4 \text{ fm/c})$ -8.0 0.4 Hydro ($t_0 = 0.2 \text{ fm/c}$) 8.0 t = 0.20 fm/ct = 0.40 fm/ct = 1.50 fm/ct = 2.00 fm/c10¹ Hydro ($t_0 = 0.4$ fm/c) PHSD 4.0 PHSD 1.12 8**9**., $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}}}$ 0.2 PHSD 0.0 10° [GeV/fm³] [GeV/fm³] 10⁰ --4.0 attractor PHSD PHSD PHSD PHSD -8.0 behaviour 0.0 -8.0 -4.0 0.0 4.0 8.08.0 -4.0 0.0 4.0 8.08.0 -4.0 0.0 4.0 8.08.0 -4.0 0.0 4.0 8.0 x [fm] bulk viscous 10^{-2} $= -\frac{1}{3}\Delta_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ pressure 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.25 1.50 1.75 2.00 t (fm/c)

Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

QGP signals in small systems

Experimental evidence of collective-like behaviour in high-multiplicity pp and pA collisions

elliptic flow



CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 765, 193 (2017) 垣

difficulty to well identify QGP signals in small systems attempts to study observables through novel multi-differential methods \rightarrow event-shape engineering

strangeness enhancement

LICE s = 13 TeV

pp, (s = 7 TeV

 10^{2}

p-Pb, \s_N = 5.02 TeV

Pb-Pb, \screwspace{s_NN} = 2.76 TeV PYTHIA8 + color ropes HERWIG7 PYTHIA8 Monash PYTHIA8 Monash, NoCR

000

Ф Фф

 $2K_{e}^{0}$

 $\Lambda + \Lambda (\times 2)$

Ξ^{_}+Ξ΄ (×6)

Ω[−]+Ω⁺ (×16)

 $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle_{|\eta| < 0.5}$

Event topology: transverse spherocity



$$S_0 \equiv \frac{\pi^2}{4} \min_{\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{s}}} \left(\frac{\sum_i |\mathbf{p}_{T\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{s}}|}{\sum_i p_{Ti}} \right)^2$$

A. Banfi, G. Salam and G. Zanderighi, JHEP 06, 038 (2010) 🕒

• $S_0 \rightarrow 0$: JETTY events

all transverse momenta (anti)parallel or sum dominated by a single track → dominated by hard physics in pp

* $S_0 \rightarrow 1$: ISOTROPIC events

transverse momentua isotropically distributed → dominated by soft physics in pp

A. Khuntia et al., J. Phys. G 48, 035102 (2021) A. Ortiz et al., Nucl. Phys. A 941, 78 (2015) ALICE Coll., Eur. Phys. J. C 79, 857 (2019) A. Nassirpour, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1602, 012007 (2020) S. Prasad et al., Sci. Rep. 12, 3917 (2022) N. Mallick et al., J. Phys. G 48, 045104 (2021) S. Prasad et al., Phys. Rev. D 107, 074011 (2023) Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

TRANSVERSE

SPHEROCITY

Multi-differential event categorization



charged particle distribution

transverse spherocity distribution



More isotropic event configurations in PHSD compared to hydro

- event topology connected to the different description of the medium in transport and hydro approaches
- multi-differential measurements promising tools to study medium properties in pA

@ LHC 5.02 TeV

Elliptic flow in pA

$$v_2(p_T) = \frac{\langle \cos[2(\varphi(p_T) - \Psi_2)] \rangle}{Res(\Psi_2)}$$

In high-multiplicity pA events v_2 comparable to that found in AA



charged particle elliptic flow

Elliptic flow and trasverse spherocity in pA

$$v_2(p_T) = \frac{\langle \cos[2(\varphi(p_T) - \Psi_2)] \rangle}{Res(\Psi_2)}$$

In high-multiplicity pA events v_2 comparable to that found in AA

p+Pb @ LHC 5.02 TeV





charged particle elliptic flow

isotropic events (high 20% S₀) → v₂≈0
 jetty events (low 20% S₀) → predominant contribution to the v₂ of spherocity-integrated events

in agreement with AMPT results for Pb+Pb N. Mallick et al., J. Phys. G 48, 045104 (2021)

LO, W. Fan, P. Moreau, S.A. Bass and E. Bratkovskaya, Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. 16, 68 (2023) Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

Non-trivial relation between event classifiers



Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

Conclusions

Study of **nonequilibrium effects** and **transverse spherocity** in *p*+*Pb* collisions at LHC energy by comparing the PHSD **transport** approach and the VISHNew **hydro** model

- hydro dissolves efficiently initial hot spots in energy density e; PHSD preserves medium irregularities
- The bulk viscous pressure Π quickly vanishes in hydro; in PHSD it remains nonzero during the QGP lifetime
- In pA collisions e and Π keep in both approaches a high degree of inhomogeneity than in AA
- Transverse spherocity is an event-shape observable that separates jetty and isotropic topologies
- PHSD favors more isotropic event configurations compared to hydro
- Analysis on the elliptic flow v₂ by applying multiplicity + spherocity event selection: in high-multiplicity class, jetty events contribute predominantly to v₂ while isotropic events have v₂~0

Thank you for your attention

Coarse-graining the PHSD medium

Study the hot medium evolution with transport and hydro

- same initial conditions to reduce the early stage impact
- similar equation of state and specific shear viscosity

T. Song et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 044903 (2020) 🕒 LO et al., Phys. Rev. C 106, 044910 (2022)

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

t [fm/c]

Specific viscosities in the two models $\eta/s(T)$: the hydro code uses a parametrization obtained from PHSD $\zeta/s(T)$: much smaller in the hydro code than in PHSD



Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

time for

hydro?

Multi-differential event categorization



charged particles | n | < 0.5 $p_{T} > 0.15 \text{ GeV/c}$ $N_{\rm trk} \ge 3$ for S_0

More isotropic configurations in PHSD than in hydro

charged particle distr.

q4+a

transverse spherocity distr.

Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

Multiplicity: PHSD vs hydrodynamics

charged particle distribution



event distribution in multiplicity CHANGES applying different p_T cuts



charged particle p_T-spectrum

LO, W. Fan, P. Moreau, S.A. Bass and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 106, 044910 (2022) Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

>

U

02

5

Ĭ

6

Pb

+ Q

Multi-differential event categorization



applying p_T cuts

- N_{ch}-distr. CHANGES
- S₀-distr. DOES

event topology connected to the different medium description

importance of multi-differential measurements in pA

charged particle distr.

TeV

5.02

Ĭ

6

q4+a

transverse spherocity distr.

LO, W. Fan, P. Moreau, S.A. Bass and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 106, 044910 (2022) Lucia Oliva (Catania University, INFN-Catania) - 7th November 2023 - WPCF - Resonance Workshop 2023

Centrality determination : A+A vs p+A

A+A centrality characterizes the amount of overlap in the interaction area

> **p+A** multiplicity ctuation mix

fluctuation mixes events from different impact parameters



LO, P. Moreau, V. Voronyuk and E. Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 101, 014917 (2020) 弡

Anisotropic radial flow v_n

QGP: hydrodynamic behavior with very low η /s and formation of collective flows

Z M Py Pz M

heavy-ion collisions: not a simple **almond shape** but a **"lumpy" profile**

 $\frac{dN}{d\varphi} \propto 1 + \sum 2 v_n(p_T) \cos[n(\varphi +$ $\Psi_n)]$ Py ∧ flow coefficients event-plane angle $v_n = \frac{\langle \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_n)] \rangle}{Res(\Psi_n)}$ $\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{atan2}(Q_n^y, Q_n^x)$ $Q_n^x = \sum_i \cos[n\varphi_i]$ event-plane angle resolution $Q_n^{\gamma} = \sum \sin[n\varphi_i]$ important especially for small system, e.g. p+A

azimuthal particle distributions

w.r.t. the reaction plane



finite number of particles produces limited resolution in Ψ_n determination $\Rightarrow v_n$ must be corrected up to what they would be relative to real reaction plane A. Poskanzer and S. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. C 58, 1671 (1998)