

MInternational UON Collider Collaboration





Muon Collider

D. Schulte for the International Muon Collider Collaboration



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme under GA No 101004730. muon4future May 2023

High-energy Colliders

Electron-positron rings are **multi-pass** colliders limited by synchrotron radiation: **LEP, FCC-ee, CEPC**

Hence **proton rings** are energy frontier: **LHC**, **FCC**-**hh**, **SppC**



Electron-positron linear colliders avoid synchrotron radiation, but **single pass: SLC, ILC, CLIC** Typically cost proportional to energy and power proportional to luminosity,



Novel approach: **muon collider** (the first of its kind) Large mass suppresses synchrotron radiation => **multi-pass** Fundamental particle requires less energy than protons But lifetime at rest only 2.2 μs Proportional to energy

D. Schulte

Motivation and Goal

Previous studies in US (MAP, now very strong interest again), experimental programme in UK (MICE) and alternatives studies by INFN (LEMMA)

New strong interest in high-energy, high-luminosity lepton collider

• Combines precision physics and discovery reach

Muon collider promises sustainable approach to the energy frontier

limited power consumption, cost and land use

Technology and design advances in past years

review did not find any showstoppers

Goal is

- 10+ TeV collider
- potential initial energy stage (e.g. 3 TeV)
- higher energies to be explored later



Muon Collider Overview

Would be easy if the muons did not decay Lifetime is $\tau = \gamma \times 2.2 \ \mu s$





Short, intense pro bunch	oton		lonisatio muon in	n cooling of matter	Acceleration to collision energy	on Collision
	Protons decay in muons a	Protons produce pions which lecay into muons nuons are captured				
		D. Schulte	Muc	on Collider. Venice. May :	2023	

Physics Goals

Leptons make the full energy available for the production of new particles Protons only a fraction

Discovery reach

10-14 TeV lepton collisions are comparable to 100-200 TeV proton collisions for production of heavy particle pairs



Need more luminosity at higher energies as production cross section decreases

Luminosity goal (Similar to $L(E_{CM} > 0.99 E_{CM,0})$ CLIC at 3 TeV) $4x10^{35}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ at 14 TeV

$$L \gtrsim \frac{5 \,\mathrm{years}}{\mathrm{time}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{s_{\mu}}}{10 \,\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^2 2 \cdot 10^{35} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

Yields constant number of events in the s-channel



UON Collider



A new Interest in Muon Colliders

From, e.g., Snowmass21 EF report draft:

"A 10-TeV scale muon collider with sufficient integrated luminosity provides an energy reach similar to that of a 100 TeV proton-proton collider. [...] muon and hadron colliders have similar reach and can significantly constrain scenarios motivated by the naturalness principle. [...] Multi-TeV muon colliders will have the benefit of excellent signal to background [...] One of the key measurements from the multi-TeV colliders is the one of the Higgs self-coupling to a precision of a few percent, and the scanning of the Higgs potential."



CLIC

FCC-hh

Muon Smasher's Guide

and in Muon Collider Forum

Strong US involvement starting with

 $\mu 3$ $\mu 10$ $\mu 14$ $\mu 30$

Selected summary plots, from Snowmass21 reports:

2 IMCC reports, plus Muon Collider Forum report. Total of 15 editors, ~150 authors, based on ~100 papers from 3 past years



Cost and Sustainability

/P{beam} [10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹/MW]

1.2

1.1

0.9 0.8 0.7

0.6 0.5

0.4 0.3 0.2

0.1

0

1



5

6



Muon Collider goals (10 TeV), challenging but reasonable:

 Much more luminosity than CLIC at 3 TeV (L=20x10³⁴, CLIC: L=2x10³⁴/6x10³⁴)

2

З

E_{cm} [TeV]

- Lower power consumption than CLIC at 3 TeV (P_{beam,MC}=0.5P_{beam,CLIC})
- Lower cost

Staging is possible

Synergies exist (neutrino/higgs)

Unique opportunity for a **high-energy, high-luminosity lepton collider**

CLIC is highest energy proposal with CDR

- No obvious way to further improve linear colliders (decades of R&D)
- Cost 18 GCHF, power approx. 500 MW

Rough rule of thumb:

- cost proportional to energy
- power proportional to luminosity

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023



D. Schulte

Accelerator R&D Roadmap

- On CERN Council request Laboratory Directors Group developed Accelerator R&D Roadmap
- global community participated, a **global roadmap**
- No insurmountable obstacle found for the muon collider
- but important need for R&D
- developed two funding scenarios

Full scenario deliverables by next ESPPU/other processes

- Project Evaluation Report
- **R&D Plan** that describes a path towards the collider; Allows to make **informed decisions**

Council asked for implementation plan

do not yet have the resources of the reduced scenario

Scenario	FTEy	M MCHF
Full scenario	445.9	11.9
Reduced scenario	193	2.45

http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.07895

Label	Begin	End	Description	Aspirational		Minimal	
			-	[FTEy]	[kCHF]	[FTEy]	[kCHF]
MC.SITE	2021	2025	Site and layout	15.5	300	13.5	300
MC.NF	2022	2026	Neutrino flux miti-	22.5	250	0	0
			gation system				
MC.MDI	2021	2025	Machine-detector interface	15	0	15	0
MC.ACC.CR	2022	2025	Collider ring	10	0	10	0
MC.ACC.HE	2022	2025	High-energy com- plex	11	0	7.5	0
MC.ACC.MC	2021	2025	Muon cooling sys- tems	47	0	22	0
MC.ACC.P	2022	2026	Proton complex	26	0	3.5	0
MC.ACC.COLL	2022	2025	Collective effects across complex	18.2	0	18.2	0
MC.ACC.ALT	2022	2025	High-energy alter- natives	11.7	0	0	0
MC.HFM.HE	2022	2025	High-field magnets	6.5	0	6.5	0
MC.HFM.SOL	2022	2026	High-field solenoids	76	2700	29	0
MC.FR	2021	2026	Fast-ramping mag- net system	27.5	1020	22.5	520
MC.RF.HE	2021	2026	High Energy com- plex RF	10.6	0	7.6	0
MC.RF.MC	2022	2026	Muon cooling RF	13.6	0	7	0
MC.RF.TS	2024	2026	RF test stand + test cavities	10	3300	0	0
MC.MOD	2022	2026	Muon cooling test module	17.7	400	4.9	100
MC.DEM	2022	2026	Cooling demon- strator design	34.1	1250	3.8	250
MC.TAR	2022	2026	Target system	60	1405	9	25
MC.INT	2022	2026	Coordination and integration	13	1250	13	1250
			Sum	445.9	11875	193	2445

Table 5.5: The resource requirements for the two scenarios. The personnel estimate is given in full-time equivalent years and the material in KCHF. It should be noted that the personnel contains a significant number of PhD students. Material budgets do not include budget for travel, personal IT equipment and similar costs. Colours are included for comparison with the resource profile Fig. 5.7.

D. Schulte

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023

MInternational WON Collider Collaboration

Technically Limited Timeline (From Roadmap)



JON Collider

Collaboration

Muon collider important in the long term

Prudently explore if MuC can be **option as next project**

- e.g. in Europe if higgs factory built elsewhere
- sufficient funding required now
- very strong ramp-up required after 2026
- might require compromises on initial scope and performance

D. Schulte

• 3 TeV



Organisation

- Collaboration Board (ICB)
 - Elected chair: Nadia Pastrone
- Steering Board (ISB)
 - Chair Steinar Stapnes, CERN members: Mike Lamont, Gianluigi Arduini, +ICB representatives, SL and deputies
 - Started initial meetings between Steinar, Nadia, Daniel, ISB to be completed by next ICB
- Coordination committee (CC)
 - ICB endorsed
 - Study Leader Daniel Schulte
 - Deputies: Andrea Wulzer, Donatella Lucchesi, Chris Rogers

D. Schulte

- Members have been already working
- Consider enlarging physics and detectors



MoC and Design Study Partners

IEIO	CERN	UK	RAL	FI	Tampere University		🔪 International
FR	CEA-IRFU		UK Research and Innovation	US	Iowa State University		UON Collider Collaboration
	CNRS-LNCMI		University of Lancaster		Wisconsin-Madison	IT	INFN Frascati
DE	DESY		University of Southampton		Pittsburg University		INFN, Univ. Ferrara
	Technical University of Darmstadt		University of Strathclyde		BNL		INFN, Univ. Roma 3
	University of Rostock		University of Sussex	China	Sun Yat-sen University		INFN Legnaro
	кіт		Imperial College		IHEP		INFN, Univ. Milano
IT	INFN		Royal Holloway		Peking University		Bicocca
	INFN, Univ., Polit. Torino		University of Huddersfield	EST	Tartu University	_	INFN Genova
	INFN, Univ, Milano		University of Oxford	LAT	Riga Technical Univers.		INFN Laboratori del Sud
	INFN. Univ. Padova		University of Warwick	AU	НЕРНУ		INFN Napoli
	INFN, Univ. Pavia		University of Durham	710	TIIWien	US	FNAL
	INFN, Univ. Bologna	SE	ESS	50			LBL
	INFN Trieste		University of Uppsala	ES	13171		JLAB
	INFN Linix Bari	PT	LIP	СН	PSI		Chicago
	INFN, Univ. Boma 1	NL	University of Twente		University of Geneva	lanan	Akira Yamamoto
					EPFL	Japan	Akira Cata
	ENEA			BE	Louvain		
		D. Scł	nulte Muon Collid	er, Venice	e, May 2023		Toru Ogitsu

Muon Collider Community

Formed **collaboration** to implement and R&D Roadmap for CERN Council



50+ partner institutions 30+ already signed formal agreement

Plan to apply in 2024 for HORIZON-INFRA-2024-TECH Goal: prepare experimental programme, e.g. demonstrator, prototypes, ...

D. Schulte

EU Design Study approved this summer, 32 partners, O(3+4 MEUR) (EU+Switzerland+UK and partners)



US Snowmass has strong support

- to contribute to R&D
- as a collider in the US

Now waiting for P5

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023



International

ollaboration

EU Design Study Timeline





Initial Target Parameters



Target integrated luminosities \sqrt{s} $\int \mathcal{L}dt$ 3 TeV1 ab^{-1}10 TeV10 ab^{-1}14 TeV20 ab^{-1}

Note: currently focus on 10 TeV, also explore 3 TeV

- Tentative parameters based on MAP study, might add margins
- Achieve goal in 5 years
- FCC-hh to operate for 25 years

D. Schulte

• Aim to have two detectors

Parameter	Unit	3 TeV	10 TeV	14 TeV	CLIC at 3 TeV
L	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.8	20	40	2 (6)
Ν	10 ¹²	2.2	1.8	1.8	
f _r	Hz	5	5	5	
P _{beam}	MW	5.3	14.4	20	28
С	km	4.5	10	14	
	Т	7	10.5	10.5	
ε	MeV m	7.5	7.5	7.5	
σ _E / Ε	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	
σ _z	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
β	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
3	μm	25	25	25	
σ _{x,y}	μm	3.0	0.9	0.63	
Muon	Collider, Venice, I	May 2023		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	



Physics Studies

Details on physics case, detector and accelerator can be found in

- Snowmass white papers https://indico.cern.ch/event/1130036/
- EPJC report in preparation

Used tentative detector performance specifications in form of DELPHES card

- based on FCC-hh and CLIC performances, including masks against beam induced background (BIB)
- Please find the card here: <u>https://muoncollider.web.cern.ch/node/14</u>

M. Selvaggi, W. Riegler, U. Schnoor, A. Sailer, D. Lucchesi, N. Pastrone, M. Pierini, F. Maltoni, A. Wulzer et al.

Initial detector simulation studies at 1.5 and 3 TeV indicate that this is a **good model** Now moving to 10 TeV

If you are interested to contribute please contact me or the responsible deputies:

Andrea Wulzer (Physics) and Donatella Lucchesi (Detector and MDI)



Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023



D. Lucchesi et al.

Muon Decay and Detector Background

Muons decay produces electrons and positrons

• Loss per unit length almost independent of energy

Tools mostly ready to generate background

- tentative beamline and mask, FLUKA
- tentative beam-beam for muons (GUINEA-PIG)

Studies at 1.5 <and 3 TeV with concept based on CLIC detector

 Radiation level in tracking detector similar to HL-LHC

Studies with **beam-induced background** in progress

- some channels are not affected by background
- some improvement required for other channels

Concept for **10 TeV** in progress



D. Schulte

Neutrino Flux

d



Goal: similar to LHC: limit neutrino flux to have **negligible impact**, "fully optimised" (10% of MAP goal) be good for 14 TeV



Protons and Target







Muon Cooling Performance



Complex system with several stages

Substantial effort by MAP

Concept using already achieved performances comes close to target

Will optimise the design based on realistic target performances



MICE: Cooling Demonstration



Principle of ionisation cooling has been demonstrated Use of data for benchmarking is still ongoing

> More particles at smaller amplitude after absorber is put in place





Nature vol. 578, p. 53-59 (2020)

More complete experiment with higher statistics, more than one stage required

Integration of magnets, RF, absorbers, vacuum is engineering challenge

Cooling Cell Technology

C. Marchand, Alexei Grudiev et al. (CEA, Milano, CERN, Tartu)

Assessment of realistic goal for highest field solenoids

- MAP demonstrated 30 T
- now magnets aim for 40+ T
- even more can be possible



L. Bottura et al. INFN (Task Leader), CEA, CERN, LNCMI, PSI, SOTON, UNIGE and TWENTE, in collaboration with KEK and **US-MDP**

D. Schulte

RF cavities in magnetic field

Will develop example

(absorbers,

cooling cell integration

tight constraints

instrumentation,...)

early preparation of

J. Ferreira Somoza et al.

demonstrator facility

additional technologies

MAP demonstrated higher than goal gradient Improve design based on theoretical understanding Preparation of **new test stand**, but needs funding

- Test stand at CEA (700 MHz, need funding)
- Test at other frequencies in the UK considered ٠
- Use of CLIC breakdown experiment considered •





'b) 0.4 _JLIH wedge 650 MHz **B8** cavities 0.3-40 0.2 y (m) 0.1 ⁻³⁰ (m) 0.0-ົ 20 ຕີ -0.1 -0.2 10 -0.3 -L. Rossi et al. (INFN, Milano, STFC, CERN), 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 z (m)

Acceleration Complex





FNAL 300 T/s HTS magnet

Core of baseline is sequence of pulsed synchrotron (0.4-11 ms) Important cost and power consumption

Started

- Integrated design of RCS
 - lattice with realistic hardware specifications
 - collective effects
- **Concept of key components**
 - Fast-ramping normal magnets
 - **HTS** alternative
 - Efficient power converters
 - RF with transient beam loading

H. Damerell, F. Batsch, U. van Rienen, A. Grudiev et al. (Rostock, Milano, CERN)

Alternative FFA

S. Machida et al. (RAL)

A. Chance et al. (CEA)

E. Metral et al. (CERN)

L. Bottura et al. (LNCMI, Darmstadt, Bologna, Twente)

F. Boattini et al.



D. Schulte

Collider Ring

MInternational MUON Collider

MAP developed 4.5 km ring for $\,$ 3 TeV with Nb_3Sn

magnet specifications in the HL-LHC range

Work progressing on 10 km ring for 10 TeV collider ring

- around 16 T Nb₃Sn or HTS dipoles
- final focus based on HTS

15 cm aperture for shielding to ensure magnet lifetime Need stress managed magnet designs INFN, Milano, Kyoto, CERN, profit from US





C. Carli, K. Skoufaris (CERN)

Field choice will be reviewed for cost Example alternatives:

- a 6 km 3 TeV ring with NbTi at 8 T in arcs
- a 15 km 10 TeV ring with HL-LHC performances
- slight reduction in luminosity

CDR Phase



Fastest option based on European strategy processes

To see if it could be next project after HL-LHC, if required

Accept compromises

- Lower energy (3 TeV?)
- Performance (tbd)

Requires more funding now and large increase after 2026

Will adjust to ongoing strategic developments

Will include other regional options



D. Schulte

R&D and Demonstrator Facility

Baseline design

Demonstrator des

SP

Performance

Estimation

Preparatory work Prototypes

Design and medelling



Broad R&D programme required and can be distributed world-wide

- Models and prototypes
 - Magnets, Target, RF systems, Absorbers, ...
- CDR development
- Integrated tests, also with beam

Integrated cooling demonstrator is a key facility

look for an existing proton beam with significant power

Different sites are being considered

- CERN, FNAL, ESS are being discussed
- J-PARC also interesting as option

Could be used to house physics facility

Are trying to explore what are good options

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023

opstream instrumentation

and Matching

Collimation and

phase rotation

Larget

D. Schulte

US Snowmass



Timelines technologically limited ٠

2040

- Uncertainties to be sorted out ٠
 - Find a contact lab(s)

2030

Successful R&D and feasibility demonstration for CCC and Muon Collider

2050

Evaluate CCC progress in the international context, and consider proposing an ILC/CCC [ie CCC used as an upgrade of ILC] or a CCC only option in the US.

2060

International Cost Sharing

Consider proposing hosting ILC in the US.

Meenakshi Narain: Energy Frontier / Large Experiments, Snowmass Community Summer Study July 17-26, 2022

2070

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023



- seen as an energy frontier machine
- decoupled from LC

US community wants funding for R&D

Goal: match European effort

Community interested in the US to host a muon collider



USA

2020



2080

Original from ESG by UB Updated July 25, 2022 by MN

2090

US Snowmass, cont.

IVIUUTI CUTILET, VETTICE, IVIAY ZUZJ



n

Implementation Task Force

Muon Collider is a viable option for the HEP future

They made cost and power estimate for muon collider take it *cum grano salis*

Place MC in same risk tier as FCC-hh

Thomas Roser et a

D. Schulte



ITF's Look Beyond Higgs Factories

		CME (TeV)	Lumi per IP (10^34)	Years, pre- project R&D	Years to 1 st Physics	Cost Range (2021 B\$)	Electric Power (MW)
8.0603.0	FCCee-0.24	0.24	8.5	0-2	13-18	12-18	290
rXiv:220	ILC-0.25	0.25	2.7	0-2	<12	7-12	140
etal.a	CLIC-0.38	0.38	2.3	0-2	13-18	7-12	110
T.Roser	HELEN-0.25	0.25	1.4	5-10	13-18	7-12	110
eport –	CCC-0.25	0.25	1.3	3-5	13-18	7-12	150
ITFR	CERC(ERL)	0.24	78	5-10	19-24	12-30	90
	CLIC-3	3	5.9	3-5	19-24	18-30	~550
	ILC-3	3	6.1	5-10	19 -2 4	18-30	~400
	MC-3	3	2.3	>10	19-24	7-12	~230
	MC-10-IMCC	10-14	20	>10	>25	12-18	O(300)
	FCChh-100	100	30	>10	>25	30-50	~560
al	Collider-in-Sea	500	50	>1Ů	>25	>80	»1000

Conclusion

- Muon collider is unique opportunity for high-energy, high-luminosity lepton collider
 - but less mature than other options
- Currently two different options considered
 - goal of 10+ TeV, potential 3 TeV intermediate stage explored
- Collaboration exists
 - expect to still increase
 - US P5 will play an important role
- Addressing key challenges
 - Very motivated team
 - Synergy with applications for society, e.g. HTS solenoids
 - More funding required for full results by next ESPPU
- Working on increasing resources
 - to provide project evaluation report
 - to provide R&D plan and demonstrator design

http://muoncollider.web.cern.ch

To join contact muon.collider.secretariat@cern.ch





Reserve



D. Schulte

Muon Collider Luminosity Scaling

Fundamental limitation Requires emittance preservation and advanced lattice design Applies to MAP scheme



scaling



D. Schulte

Staging

Ideally would like full energy right away, but staging could lead to faster implementation

- Substantially less cost for a first stage
- Can make technical compromises
 - e.g. 8 T NbTi magnets would increase collider ring from 4.5 to 6 km and reduce luminosity by 25%
- Timeline might be more consistent with human lifespan
- Upgrade adds one more accelerator and new collider ring
- only first collider ring is not being reused



Alternatives: The LEMMA Scheme



LEMMA scheme (INFN) P. Raimondi et al.



Detailed estimates of fundamental limits show that we require a very large positron bunch charge to reach the same luminosity as the proton-based scheme

 \Rightarrow Need same game changing invention

D. Schulte

Muon Collider, Venice, May 2023

Note: New proposal by C. Curatolo and L. Serafini needs to be looked at

Uses Bethe-Heitler production with electrons



Muon Decay



About 1/3 of energy in electrons and positrons:

Experiments needs to be protected from background by masks

- simulations of 1.5, 3 and 10 TeV
- optimisation of masks and lattice design started
- first results look encouraging
- will be discussed at ICHEP

Collider ring magnets need to be shielded from losses Losses elsewhere will also need to be considered but are less severe

Internationa

D. Lucchesi, A. Lechner, C Carli et al.

Neutrino flux to have negligible impact on environment

- want to be **negligible** (same level as LHC)
- opening cone decreases, cross section and shower energy increase with energy
- Above about 3 TeV need to make beam point in different vertical directions
- Mechanical system with 15cm stroke, 1% vertical bending
- Length of pattern to be optimised for minimal impact on beam D. Schulte Muon (



Key Challenges





Neutrino Flux



Dense neutrino flux cone can impact environment Challenge scales with **E x L**

Goal is to reduce to negligible level, similar to LHC

• 3 TeV, 200 m deep tunnel is about OK

Expand idea of Mokhov, Ginneken to move beam in aperture: move collider ring components, e.g. vertical bending with 1% of main field

- 14 TeV, in 200 m deep tunnel comparable to LHC case with +/- 1 mradian
- scales with luminosity toward higher E

Need to study mover system, magnet, connections and impact on beam

Working on different approaches for experimental insertion

D. Schulte





Other optimisations are possible (magnetic field, emittance etc.)



Thanks



Muon Beam Panel: Daniel Schulte (CERN, chair), Mark Palmer (BNL, co-chair), Tabea Arndt (KIT), Antoine Chance (CEA/IRFU) Jean-Pierre Delahaye (retired), Angeles Faus-Golfe (IN2P3/IJClab), Simone Gilardoni (CERN), Philippe Lebrun (European Scientific Institute), Ken Long (Imperial College London), Elias Metral (CERN), Nadia Pastrone (INFN-Torino), Lionel Quettier (CEA/IRFU), Magnet Panel link, Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC), Chris Rogers (STFC-RAL), Mike Seidel (EPFL and PSI), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL), Akira Yamamoto (KEK and CERN) **Contributors:** Alexej Grudiev (CERN), Roberto Losito (CERN), Donatella Lucchesi (INFN)

Community conveners: *Radio-Frequency (RF):* Alexej Grudiev (CERN), Jean-Pierre Delahaye (CERN retiree), Derun Li (LBNL), Akira Yamamoto (KEK). *Magnets:* Lionel Quettier (CEA), Toru Ogitsu (KEK), Soren Prestemon (LBNL), Sasha Zlobin (FNAL), Emanuela Barzi (FNAL). *High-Energy Complex (HEC):* Antoine Chance (CEA), J. Scott Berg (BNL), Alex Bogacz (JLAB), Christian Carli (CERN), Angeles Faus-Golfe (IJCLab), Eliana Gianfelice-Wendt (FNAL), Shinji Machida (RAL). *Muon Production and Cooling (MPC):* Chris Rogers (RAL), Marco Calviani (CERN), Chris Densham (RAL), Diktys Stratakis (FNAL), Akira Sato (Osaka University), Katsuya Yonehara (FNAL). *Proton Complex (PC):* Simone Gilardoni (CERN), Hannes Bartosik (CERN), Frank Gerigk (CERN), Natalia Milas (ESS). *Beam Dynamics (BD):* Elias Metral (CERN), Tor Raubenheimer (SLAC and Stanford University), Rob Ryne (LBNL). *Radiation Protection (RP):* Claudia Ahdida (CERN). *Parameters, Power and Cost (PPC):* Daniel Schulte (CERN), Mark Palmer (BNL), Jean-Pierre Delahaye (CERN retiree), Philippe Lebrun (CERN retiree and ESI), Mike Seidel (PSI), Vladimir Shiltsev (FNAL), Jingyu Tang (IHEP), Akira Yamamoto (KEK). *Machine Detector Interface (MDI):* Donatella Lucchesi (University of Padova), Christian Carli (CERN), Anton Lechner (CERN), Nicolai Mokhov (FNAL), Nadia Pastrone (INFN), Sergo R Jindariani (FNAL). *Synergy:* Kenneth Long (Imperial College), Roger Ruber (Uppsala University), Koichiro Shimomura (KEK). *Test Facility (TF):* Roberto Losito (CERN), Alan Bross (FNAL), Tord Ekelof (ESS, Uppsala University).

And the participants to the community meetings and the study

Roadmap

In aspirational scenario can make informed decisions:



Three main deliverables are foreseen:

- a Project Evaluation Report for the next ESPPU will contain an assessment of whether the 10 TeV muon collider is a promising option and identify the required compromises to realise a 3 TeV option by 2045. In particular the questions below would be addressed.
 - What is a realistic luminosity target?
 - What are the background conditions in the detector?
 - Can one consider implementing such a collider at CERN or other sites, and can it have one or two detectors?
 - What are the key performance specifications of the components and what is the maturity of the technologies?
 - What are the cost drivers and what is the cost scale of such a collider?
 - What are the power drivers and what is the power consumption scale of the collider?
 - What are the key risks of the project?
- an **R&D Plan** that describes an R&D path towards the collider;
- an **Interim Report** by the end of 2023 that documents progress and allows the wider community to update their view of the concept and to give feedback to the collaboration.

D. Schulte

R&D Plan



The R&D plan will describe the R&D path toward the collider, in particular during the CDR phase, and will comprise the elements below.

- An integrated concept of a muon cooling cell that will allow construction and testing of this key novel component.
- A concept of the facility to provide the muon beam to test the cells.
- An evaluation of whether this facility can be installed at CERN or another site.
- A description of other R&D efforts required during the CDR phase including other demonstrators.

This R&D plan will allow the community to understand the technically limited timeline for the muoncollider development after the next ESPPU.

Minimal Scenario

Will allow partially informed decisions

- No conceptual design of neutrino flux and alignment system
- No alternative superconducting fast-ramping magnet system
- Several collider systems would (almost) not be covered, in particular
 - the linacs
 - the target complex
 - the proton complex
 - engineering considerations of the muon cooling cells
 - alternative designs for the final cooling system, acceleration, collider ring
- No RF test stand would be constructed for the muon cooling accelerating cavities
- No conceptual design of a muon cooling cell for the test programme
- No conceptual design of a muon cooling demonstrator facility
- No concept of RF power sources
- No tests/models to develop solenoid technology.





Schedule





Coordination Committee Members



Physics	Andrea Wulzer
Detector and MDI	Donatella Lucchesi
Drotopo	Notalia Milaa
FIOLOIIS	
Muon production and cooling	Chris Rogers
Muon acceleration	Antoine Chance
Collider	Christian Carli
Magnets	Luca Bottura
RF	Alexej Grudiev, Claude Marchand
Beam-matter int. target systems	Anton Lechner
Collective effects	Elias Metral

Cooling cell design	Lucio Rossi
Demonstrator	Roberto Losito

US (detector)	Sergo Jindariani
US (accelerator)	Mark Palmer
Asia (China)	Jingyu Tang
Asia (Japan)	tbd

A strengthening on the physics and detector side is planned



Key Technologies

Magnets



- Superconducting solenoids for target and cooling profit from developments for society
 - target solenoid comparable to ITER central solenoid fusion
 - 6D cooling solenoids similar and wind power generators, motors
 - final cooling solenoids synergetic with high-field research, NMR
- Collider ring magnets
 - profit from developments for other colliders FCC-hh, stress-managed magnets
- Fast-ramping normal-conducting magnet system
 - HTS alternative, power converter

RF systems

• superconducting RF, normal-conducting RF, efficient klystrons

Target, cooling absorbers, windows, shielding

Neutrino mitigation mover system, cooling cell integration, ...

Detector

D. Schulte



Key Technologies, cont.

RF systems

- Normal-conducting cooling cavities in magnetic field
 - profit from CLIC work
- Superconducting accelerator RF
 - profit from ILC, ...
- Efficient power sources
 - profit from CLIC work

Beam-matter interaction

- Proton target
- Cooling absorbers
- Shielding (accelerator and detector)

Mechanical system

- Neutrino flux mitigation system
- Muon cooling cell integration



D. Schulte



Collaboration Vision

IMCC is an international collaboration and aims to

- Enlarge the collaboration
 - Physics interest in all regions, strong US contribution to the muon collider physics and detector, interest in Japan
 - First US university have joined collaboration, try to see how to move forward, also with labs
- Combine the R&D efforts for the design and its technologies
 - Critical contributions in all relevant fields the US
- Consider several sites for the collider
 - CERN would be one, FNAL and others should also be considered
 - A proposal with alternative sites is stronger for a single site
- Consider several sites for the demonstrators
 - E.g. Muon production and cooling demonstrator at CERN, FNAL, ESS, JPARC
 - e.g. RCS at ESRF or elsewhere
 - Target tests

D. Schulte

...

