

# Recent progress in time-offlight and thin-foil proton recoil techniques for fusion neutron spectroscopy \*

Göran Ericsson, E. Andersson Sundén, C.Hellesen,

M.Cecconello, S.Conroy, J.Eriksson, A.Hjalmarsson, M.Skiba, M.Weiszflog

Uppsala University

### Outline:

- Neutron diagnsotics in fusion experiments
- The ToF technique; combined time pulse height
- Non-magnetic thin-foil proton recoil; TPR
- Outlook and Conclusions

Frontiers ... Rome, 2011

#### \* Work performed within the European Fusion Development Agreement (EFDA), Topical Group Diagnostics



## INTRODUCTION



## Neutron emission

- Fusion experiments with D and T fuel:
  - d + d →  $^{3}$ He + n (2.45 MeV)
  - d + t →  $^{4}$ He + n (14.0 MeV)
- "Impurities"
  - d + {<sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>9</sup>Be, <sup>12</sup>C, ...}  $\rightarrow$  n + X
- Plasma parameters: P<sub>fus</sub>, T<sub>i</sub>, f(v<sub>ion</sub>),...
- Fuel ion velocity populations:
  - Thermal  $\rightarrow f(E_n)$  Gaussian
  - $\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{RF} \ heating \rightarrow f(\mathsf{E}_n) \ anisotropic, \ double \\ humped \end{array}$
  - Beam heating, alpha heating, ...
  - Spectral components (ITER):
    - Thermal bulk  $S_n \sim 1$ ,
    - Beam heating  $S_n \sim 0.1$ ,
    - RF heating  $S_n \sim 0.01$ ,
    - $\alpha$  heating S<sub>n</sub> ~ 0.001,
- Neutron emission variations:
  - Intensity; 0 10<sup>20</sup> n/s (ITER)
  - Temporal (ms), spatial (cm)





### Challenges for fusion neutron diagnostics

- Provide information on relevant plasma/fuel ion parameters
   Feed-back for active control; ms time frame
- Extended n source (100 m<sup>3</sup>), "continuous" n emission (min)
  - Collimated LOS, direct + scattered spectral contributions
  - Reliable, robust techniques
- Harsh experimental conditions around the "reactor"
  - Neutron and gamma background
  - High-frequency EM interference
  - High levels of temperature, B-field
  - Competition over "real estate"; LOS, position, weight, space, ...
- Requirements on neutron spectroscopy
  - Results on ms  $\rightarrow$  spectroscopy on MHz signal rates (C<sub>cap</sub>)
    - High  $\epsilon$  OR close to reactor core
  - Access to weak emission components  $\rightarrow$  high S/B ratio > 10<sup>4</sup>
    - Peaked, well-known response function (0 20 MeV)
  - Real-time information in ms  $\rightarrow$  data acq., processing, transfer



#### Neutron spectroscopy techniques Most "standard" n spectr. techniques tested in fusion (JET)

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET



Frontiers ... Rome, 2011 5

Andersson Sundén, NIM A610 (2009)



#### Neutron spectroscopy techniques Most "standard" n spectr. techniques tested in fusion (JET)

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET





## Developments of the timeof-flight technique



## Time-of-flight n spectroscopy

• Commonly used for 2.45 MeV n in D plasmas Continuous source of n:  $E_n = 2m_n R/t_{tof}^2$ JIVERSITET S2  $\Rightarrow$  Double scattering in S1 + S2 • Elastic n,p scattering in fast plastic scintillators  $\Rightarrow$ 2-body kinematics = correlated time, energy PM • If ONLY time info: Main Background = uncorrelated neutrons (random events) • Signal  $\propto R_n$ , Bgr  $\propto R_n^2 \rightarrow B:S \propto R_n$  (R<sub>n</sub> is n rate) • Limitations: S1 Primary ✓ System "paralysis" at high R<sub>n</sub> ✓ Rate in S1 (≈ MHz) • C<sub>cap</sub> ≈ 500 kHz (S:B ≈ 1); C<sub>max</sub> ≈ 50 kHz (2009) JET TOFOR system installed 2005: ✓ Emphasis on rate capability ✓ Digital free-running time (only) stamping ✓ Separate, <u>non-correlated</u> pulse height spectra Developments in digital DAQ electronics: ✓ Waveform digitizers boards with capacity for time AND pulse height measurements exist!  $\checkmark$ Integral over waveform = pulse height ~ E<sub>n</sub> n flux ✓ Digital CFD → time of waveform →  $t_{TOF}$ 



### ToF: From time stamp to full waveform



- OLD system: All eligible time stamps had to be used
- NEW system: Discriminate against events with unphysical combinations of time and pulse-height
- Other advantages of digital sampling of full waveform:
  - Improved time pick-off reduce timing walk, improve energy resolution
  - Baseline restoration: corrected for RF pick-up, baseline shifts
  - Pile-up correction/rejection
  - Event identification (depending on detector material) spikes, noise, ...
  - On-board processing in FPGA for real time applications



### **ToF: Simulation study**

#### • 3 problems in today's fusion ToF systems:

✓ Multiple scattering of n gives tails in response function; unfolding issues
 ✓ Uncorrelated n gives accidental S1-S2 coincidences; high level bgr
 ✓ Analogue CFD not "perfect": E dependent time walk, poor E resolution

- Correlated time AND energy deposition measurements can reduce problems:
  - ✓Most multi-scatter events have "wrong" correlation t(tof)-E(S1)
  - ✓Most accidentals have "wrong" correlations t(tof)-E(S1)
  - $\checkmark {\sf Full}$  waveform gives improved event time pick-off

- Below: Simulation of D(T) measurement ( $n_T / n_D \sim 10\%)$ 





UNIVERSITET

# ToF: Waveform reconstruction

- Shannon's sampling theorem
  - "If a signal Y(t) contains no frequencies higher than  $f_{nyq}$ , it is COMPLETELY determined by giving its ordinates as a series of points spaced  $\Delta t = 1 / (2f_{nyq})$  apart."
- Use sinc fcn as base:

 $Y(t) = \sum_{i} Y_{i} \frac{\sin((t - t_{i})/\Delta t)}{(t - t_{i})/\Delta t}$ 

- If possible, test sample signal after FULL processing chain at high rate, high bit resolution
- Investigate effect on reconstruction from down sampling of real signal
- Example: ToF events sampled at 2GS/s, 14 bits (black)
  - Upper panel: down sample to 500 MS/s (red dots)
  - Lower panel: down sample to 200 MS/s (red dots)



Frontiers ... Rome, 2011 11

Determine suitable sampling rate for full system – here  $\geq$ 500 MHz, 12 bit!



## ToF: SP Devices board ADQ-412

- Purchase of digitizer system based on preliminary simulation and digitizer studies
- PXIe digitizer boards, PXIe crate, cables
- 3 digitzer boards purchased from SP-Devices, Linköping, Sweden
  - PXIe interface
  - 4 channels / card
  - Sampling @ 1 GS/s (4 ch), 2 GS/s (2 ch)
  - ADC 12 bit resolution
  - Flexible trigger options
  - Time synchronization options
  - Boards biased for negative pulses
  - Optional FPGA programming for onboard processing
- Development issues software
  - Re-arm time ≈200 ns (dead time)
  - Fixed memory records of 1024 samples
  - Fast streaming of data to extern. storage









## ToF: timing aspects and requirements

- A) Programme to study time resolution as function of sampling rate and pulse amplitude (bit coverage):
  - 1) Synthetic (sofware generated) data
  - 2) Waveform generator data
  - 3) Scintillator data cosmic muons
- B) Study performance of specific DAQ digitizer boards (SPD ADQ 412) to assess their applicability to a ToF system:
  - 1) Intra-board time calibration
  - 2) Intra-board time synchronization common start
  - 3) Absolute time reference JET Hz clock



## ToF: Gaussian pulses t resolution





## ToF: Tests with cosmic muons

- 2 scintillators in coincidence
- Model of signal pulse shape taking into account : light emission timing, PMT timing, cable transfer function
- Data fromADQ-412 cards:
  - 2 channels on same card
  - 2 channels on different cards
  - Sampling at 2 GHz
- FWHM of dt =  $t_{chan1} t_{chan2}$ ,  $\Delta t = 0.45 \text{ ns}$
- Down sampling to find critical f<sub>s</sub>
- Compare with model

 $\mathrm{FWHM} = \sqrt{\mathrm{model}^2 + 0.45^2}$ 

- ∆t =0.45 ns is time resolution of detector setup with this PMT
  - No improvements going above about 0.4 GHz sampling
- Contributions to time resolution:
  - PMT Transient Time Spread
  - Geometry, light collection
  - Electronic noise







## ToF: ADQ-412 synchronization

- Multiple boards with different ADC clocks - vital to keep relatively synchronization
  - Relative difference between must be < 100 ps over several minutes or it will contribute to an extra broadening of the TOF
- PXIe standard offers 10 MHz clock as synch reference on the crate backplane
- A pulse generator running at 10 kHz for 5 s was used to asses the performance of the PXIe synch
  - Over 5 s, the relative time difference between the cards was below 5 ps
  - Same result for tests of several minutes
  - Time synch is adquate



t [s]



### ToF: Absolute time measuremens

- Two different ADQ412 cards used
- Cards calibrated by PXI 10MHz clock
- "Gaussian" pulses from Waveform Generator
  - Pulse width about 7.5 ns
  - Pulse amplitude about 9 bits
- Time differences of ADQ412 input by Lemo cables
  - Cables of 3, 4, 5 ns used
  - 3 ns difference by using Lemo adaptor (3+3 ns)
- Pulses sinc reconstructed
- Time for each pulse determined by digital CFD
- Absolute time difference dt measured for:
  - dt = 0, 1, 3 (+0.1 adaptor?), 5 ns cable difference
  - ∆t from dt histogram of few 1000 "events"
- $\Delta t$  about 10-15 ps as expected





## ToF status summary

- Requirements on digitizer determined from analysis of scintillator pulses after FULL signal processing chain (here 1-2 GHz, 12 bit)
- Simulation study gives correlation between pulse amplitude and t (E) resolution for ALL pulse amplitudes
- Sinc (sin(x)/x) reconstruction gives "true" waveform
- Recoil particle energy from integration of sincreconstructed pulse – "no" dependence on sample points
- Time of waveform from sinc-reconstructed pulse "true" CFD performance can be achieved
- Inter-board synchronization verified
- Intra-board synchronization from PXI crate 10 MHz clock
- Performance of 3x 4 channel system in PXI crate studied:
  - Common START options tested
  - Waveform generator pulses tested
  - 2x Scintillator system coincidences tested
- System ready to be tested on real ToF system (JET)



## First TOFOR data with ADQ-412

Data for 3 low-yeild JET pulses
Collected FridayNov. 25, 2011 in parallel with normal TOFOR DAQ
Only low threshold imposed – no time-pulse height correlation (yet)





## Developments of the nonmagnetic thin-foil proton recoil technique



# **TPR: Detection principle**

•The spectrometer is based on the *thin-foil* principle

•Collimated neutrons impinge a thin foil, which in turn radiates protons due to elastic Neutron beam scattering

• $E_{\rm p} = E_{\rm n} \cos^2(\theta_{np})$ 

•A suitable segmented detector (semi-conductor or scintillator) detects the protons and their energies

•Performance (efficiency, resolution) given by geometry (foil thickn, foil-detector distance, ...) and detector characteristics

•Local vacuum chamber to avoid proton energy loss and scattering



Detector placed close to n beam = Detector exposed to scattered neutrons



## **Thin-foil Proton Recoil spectrometer**

- Central foil (here 10cm<sup>2</sup>), annular detector: Si(1mm) + Si(1mm) OR Si + YAP
- Conceptual design:
  - ✓ Tapered neutron collimator
  - $\checkmark$  Gd (or similar) foil to reduce thermal flux through collimator
  - $\checkmark$  Thin CH<sub>2</sub> foil as proton radiator
  - ✓ "Micron S1" Si detector (16 annular segments)
  - ✓ Vacuum chamber (<10<sup>-3</sup> mbar), Aluminium to reduce capture  $\gamma$
  - ✓ Lining of <sup>6</sup>Li-doped plastic to absorb thermal neutrons in chamber
  - ✓ Magnetic shield to reduce ITER field in region of proton recoils
  - ✓ Tandem system





# TPR simulations; MCNPX, FISPACT

#### • MCNPX

- Simplified model of ITER Port Cell
- Monte Carlo
   n + γ transport
   code

#### • FISPACT

- Activation code for fission and fusion applications
- Data exchange routines



EFDA TG Diagnostics, Garching, April 2011



## TPR: s/b assessment

- MCNPX → scattered neutron background
- FISPACT → gamma background from vacuum chamber
- Background has been calculated varying:
  - ✓ vacuum chamber material (AI & SS)
  - ✓ vacuum chamber radius (10-40 cm)
- Weak dependence on vacuum chamber radius
- Aluminium best material







## TPR: working point assessment



- Simulation study using:
  - •Vacuum
  - •2 mm thick detector
  - •"S2" design (Micron Ltd)
- •Parameter scan over
  - •Foil area
  - Foil thickness
  - •Foil detector distance
  - Detector segmentation



- "Pareto frontier" plot gives optimum: highest efficiency for a certain resolution
- Optimal point gives foil thickness and distance
- Different working points for "high resolution", "high efficiency", " $\alpha$  knock-on" ...



VERSITET

## Preliminary results – full system

- Aluminium as structural material, graphite for foil holders
- Local vacuum chamber with as small radius as possible
- Coincidence detector 2x 1mm Si, OR Si + YAP
- Si detector w 4x 4 radial segments (16 electronics channels) per detector, 4x YAP segments
- Adjustable detector distance from foil (4 positions)
- Target foil changer (4 positions)
- Prepare 4 optimal working points:

Setting	Energy (MeV)	FWHM/E (%)	ε <b>(cm²)</b>	Foil t (mm)	Foil-det dist (mm)
High resolution	14	2.5	5e-5	0.10	330
High efficiency	14	10	5e-4	0.32	180
"Alpha knock-on"	14	6	2e-4	0.20	230
Test, High efficiency	2.5	10	1e-4	0.014	170

EFDA TG Diagnostics, Garching, April 2011



# CONCLUSIONS



## **Summary and Conclusions**

- Developments of time-of-flight (ToF) and thin-foil proton recoil (TPR) techniques for fusion neutron spectrosocpy
- ToF:
  - Development in commercial data acquisition technology now makes time AND waveform acquisition at high rates possible
  - A board suitable for fusion ToF n spectroscopy investigated (2-4 channel, 1-2 GS/s, 12 bit)
  - Developed model for assessing performance of digitizers (time and pulse height resolution) as fcn of sampling and amplitude
  - If possible, use high-performance digitizer in DAQ system position before deciding on full system; down sample in t and E
  - In 2012: Test the new pulse-height/time digitizing boards in a real ToF system (TOFOR @ JET)
- TPR:
  - s/b assessed in ITER like situation; s/b > 250 (AI;  $E_n = 14 \text{ MeV}$ )
  - Engineering design during 2012
  - In 2012: Pilot tests of foil + Si detector system + Read-out



## ToF: Leading edge Digital CFD

## • Sinc reconstruction of pulse data set; data can be:

- Down-sampled synthetic data
- Generator measurements
- Scintillator measurements
- Different methods for finding  $t_{1/2}$ at  $y_{1/2} = 0.5^* y_{min}$  of reconstructed pulse evaluated
  - Up sampling to 1 THz (1 ps);
     find t of point closest to y<sub>1/2</sub>
  - Up sampling to 20 GHz 50 ps); linear interpolation
- Linear interpolation used in this work
  - Reasonable compromise between computational speed, memory and precision
  - Up sample the sincreconstructed signal to 20 GHz (50 ps time base)
  - Apply linear interpolation to points in interval y = 0.4y = 0.6y

$$y = 0.4 \cdot y_{min} \rightarrow 0.6 \cdot y_{min}$$

- Solve for t at  $y = 0.5 \cdot y_{min}$ 

