# Measuring tau g-2 using ATLAS Pb+Pb collisions

New Frontiers in Lepton Flavor 2023

Weronika Stanek-Maslouska for the ATLAS Collaboration Pisa, 15 May 2023

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY





#### Introduction to $a_{\tau}$

Charged particles with spin have an intrinsic magnetic moment:

$$\vec{\mu} = g \frac{q}{2m} \vec{s}$$
 for spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles, (1)

where:

- $\cdot$   $\vec{s}$  spin angular momentum,
- $g = 2 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \dots$

Anomalous magnetic moment:





#### Lepton magnetic moments

•  $a_e$ ,  $a_\mu$  are precisely measured observables in Nature:

**Electron g-2:**  $10^{-8}$  precision,  $-2.5\sigma$ ,  $+1.6\sigma$  discrepancy **Muon g-2:**  $10^{-7}$  precision, up to  $\sim 4.2\sigma$  discrepancy

Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 030801, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 141801

•  $a_{\tau}$  is much less constrained:

Tau g-2:  $0.052 < a_{\tau} < 0.013$  (95% CL)

DELPHI, EPJC 35 (2004) 159

- Tau is extremely hard to measure (lifetime  $10^{-13}$  s)
- $\cdot a_{ au}$  is more sensitive to some BSM effects



## Proposal: Measure $a_{\tau}$ in Pb+Pb collisions

#### • Ultraperipheral heavy-ion collisions (UPC):

- UPC occurs when the impact parameter is larger than twice the radius of the ions (b > 2R)
- · Photon-photon interactions can be observed.
- Advantages of UPC Pb+Pb over pp collisions
  - + huge photon fluxes  $\rightarrow Z^4$  cross-section enhancement
  - $\cdot\ \sim$  no hadronic pile-up  $\rightarrow$  exclusivity selections
  - low  $p_T$  thresholds in trigger and offline reconstruction

Detailed theoretical framework: PRD 102 (2020) 113008, PLB 809 (2020) 135682





Tau decays





#### CERN-EP-2022-079

- Measurement uses 1.44 nb<sup>-1</sup> of 2018 UPC data,  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV

Signal candidates are selected using muonic  $\tau$  decays and categorised using electrons or low- $p_T$  tracks:

- $\mu$ 1T-SR muon + 1 track
- $\mu$ 3T-SR muon + 3 tracks
- $\mu e$ -SR muon + electron



see Lydia Beresford's talk see also CMS result







- + Exploit  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$  cross-section to set limits on  $a_{\tau}$
- Reduce uncertainties using  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$  control region (2 $\mu$ CR)
- + Fit muon  $p_{T}$  in signal regions + di-muon control region to extract  $a_{\tau}$

Experimental challenges:

- hadronic backgrounds
- $\cdot$  neutrinos in the final state



PLB 809 (2020) 135682

#### au leptons never directly targeted in measurements using nucleus-nucleus data



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Di-muon}\\ \mbox{Estimated with MC:}\\ \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu : \mbox{Starlight+Pythia8}\\ \gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma : \mbox{Madgraph5} \end{array}$ 

#### Photonuclear

Data-driven estimation: Built CR requiring an additional low- $p_T$ track



see Jakub Kremer's talk





Extract signal strength and  $a_{\tau}$  using profile likelihood fit:

- Build templates for different  $a_{\tau}$  values:  $a_{\tau} =$ 
  - $[0,\pm 0.01,\pm 0.02,\pm 0.03,\pm 0.04,\pm 0.05,\pm 0.06,\pm 0.1]$
- Pre-fit distribution of  $p_T^{\mu}$  in the  $\mu$ 1T-SR:



CERN-EP-2022-079



#### Post-fit distributions



- · uncertainties decrease in post-fit distributions
- differences between SM and BSM values of  $a_{\tau}$  increase with muon  $p_{T}$



#### Observation of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ in Pb+Pb: Signal strength



- Result for each signal region compatible with unity
- Combined fit reaches 5% precision
- limited by statistical uncertainties

 $\mu_{ au au} = rac{ ext{observed yield}}{ ext{SM prediction}}$ 



#### Observation of the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau\tau$ in Pb+Pb: $a_{\tau}$



- + Expected 95% CL limits from combined fit: -0.039 < a $_{ au}$  < 0.020
- Observed 95% CL limits: -0.057 < a $_{ au}$  < 0.024
- Result competitive with electron-collider studies



# Summary and outlook

Hadron-collider studies may be used to measure electromagnetic  $\tau$  properties

New constraints on  $a_{\tau}$  competitive with electron-collider results Results limited by statistical uncertainties: inclusion of 2015 data in progress + future plans for run 3 heavy-ion data

> Thank You Questions?



# BACKUP





## Systematic uncertainties

Uncertainty	Impact on $\mu_{\tau\tau}$ [%]
muon Level-1 trigger (sys)	1.0
$\tau$ decay modeling	1.0
tracking eff. (overall ID material)	0.9
muon Level-1 trigger (stat)	0.7
topocluster reco. eff.	0.6
muon reco. eff. (stat)	0.6
tracking eff. (PP0 material)	0.6
topocluster energy calib.	0.5
muon reco. eff. (sys)	0.5
photonuclear template var. ( $\mu$ 1T-SR)	0.5
Total systematic	2.6







## Event yields

Requirement	Number of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ events		
Common selection			
$\sigma \times \mathcal{L}$	352611		
$\sigma \times \mathcal{L} \times \epsilon_{\text{filter}}$	28399	$\mu$ 3T-SR	
$\sigma \times \mathcal{L} \times \epsilon_{\text{filter}} \times w_{\text{SF}}$	35383	Mpreselected _ 1	1022
Pass trigger	1840	$V_{\mu} = 1$	1025
$E_{\rm ZDC}^{A,C} < 1 \text{ TeV}$	1114	$N_{\mu}^{\mu} = 1$	900
		$N_e = 0$	867
µ11-5K		$N_{\rm trk}$ (with $\Delta R_{\mu,\rm trk} > 0.1$ ) = 3	88.1
$N_{\rm reselected}^{\rm preselected} = 1$	1023	Zero unmatched clusters	85.2
$M^{\text{signal}} = 1$	900	$\sum$ charge = 0	84.1
$N_{\mu} = 1$	900	$m_{\rm trks} < 1.7 { m ~GeV}$	83.4
$N_e = 0$	807	$A^{\mu, \text{trks}} < 0.2$	83.3
$N_{\rm trk}$ (with $\Delta R_{\mu,\rm trk} > 0.1$ ) = 1	575	$\pi_{\phi} = 0.2$	00.0
Zero unmatched clusters	552	$\mu e$ -SR	
$\sum$ charge = 0	546	signal	
$p_{-}^{\mu,\text{trk}} > 1 \text{ GeV}$	503	$N_{\mu}^{\text{sterm}} = 1$	958
$\mu_{\rm T}^{\mu,{\rm trk},\gamma} > 1  {\rm GeV}$	492	$N_e = 1$	33.9
$p_{\rm T} > 1  {\rm GeV}$	402	$N_{\rm trk}$ (with $\Delta R_{\mu/e,{\rm trk}} > 0.1$ ) = 0	32.6
$p_{\rm T}^{\mu,\rm m,cons} > 1 {\rm GeV}$	462	$\Sigma$ charge = 0	32.5
$A^{\mu,\mathrm{trk}}_{\phi} < 0.4$	459		





- $\cdot$  Re-weight SM signal MC to BSM values of  $a_{ au}$
- $\cdot$  3D weighting in  $|m_{ au au}|, |y_{ au au}|, |\Delta\eta_{ au au}|$
- same parametrisation as LEP





#### Pre-fit distributions









