

## Search for $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei in $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$ reaction with the WASA detector at GSI-FRS

R. SEKIYA<sup>(1)(2)</sup>, K. ITAHASHI<sup>(2)(3)</sup>, Y. K. TANAKA<sup>(2)</sup>, H. ALIBRAHIM ALFAKI<sup>(4)</sup>, F. AMJAD<sup>(4)</sup>, M. ARMSTRONG<sup>(4)</sup>, K. BEHR<sup>(4)</sup>, J. BENLIURE<sup>(5)</sup>, Z. BRENCIC<sup>(6)(7)</sup>, T. DICKEL<sup>(4)</sup>, V. DROZD<sup>(4)(8)</sup>, S. DUBEY<sup>(4)</sup>, H. EKAWA<sup>(2)</sup>, S. ESCRIG<sup>(9)</sup>, M. ~~FEHŐ~~FEIJÓO-FONTÁN<sup>(5)</sup>, H. FUJIOKA<sup>(10)</sup>, Y. GAO<sup>(2)(11)</sup>, H. GEISSEL<sup>(4)</sup>, F. GOLDENBAUM<sup>(12)</sup>, A. GRAÑA GONZÁLEZ<sup>(5)</sup>, E. HAETTNER<sup>(4)</sup>, M. N. HARAKEH<sup>(8)</sup>, Y. HE<sup>(2)(13)</sup>, H. HEGGEN<sup>(4)</sup>, C. HORNUNG<sup>(4)</sup>, N. HUBBARD<sup>(4)</sup>, M. IWASAKI<sup>(2)(3)</sup>, N. KALANTAR-NAYESTANAKI<sup>(8)</sup>, A. KASAGI<sup>(2)(14)</sup>, M. KAVATSYUK<sup>(8)</sup>, E. KAZANTSEVA<sup>(4)</sup>, A. KHREPTAK<sup>(15)(16)</sup>, B. KINDLER<sup>(4)</sup>, H. KOLLMUS<sup>(4)</sup>, D. KOSTYLEVA<sup>(4)</sup>, S. KRAFT-BERMUTH<sup>(17)</sup>, N. KURZ<sup>(4)</sup>, E. LIU<sup>(2)(11)</sup>, B. LOMMEL<sup>(4)</sup>, V. METAG<sup>(18)</sup>, S. MINAMI<sup>(4)</sup>, D. J. MORRISSEY<sup>(19)</sup>, P. MOSKAL<sup>(16)</sup>, I. MUKHA<sup>(4)</sup>, M. NAKAGAWA<sup>(2)</sup>, M. NANOVA<sup>(18)</sup>, C. NOCIFORO<sup>(4)</sup>, H. JING ONG<sup>(11)</sup>, S. PIETRI<sup>(4)</sup>, S. PURUSHOTHAMAN<sup>(4)</sup>, C. RAPPOLD<sup>(9)</sup>, E. ROCCO<sup>(4)</sup>, J.L. RODRÍGUEZ-SÁNCHEZ<sup>(5)</sup>, P. ROY<sup>(4)</sup>, R. RUBER<sup>(20)</sup>, T. R. SAITO<sup>(2)(4)(13)</sup>, S. SCHADMAND<sup>(12)</sup>, C. SCHEIDENBERGER<sup>(4)(18)(21)</sup>, P. SCHWARZ<sup>(4)</sup>, V. SERDYUK<sup>(12)</sup>, M. SKURZOK<sup>(16)</sup>, B. STREICHER<sup>(4)</sup>, K. SUZUKI<sup>(12)(4)</sup>, B. SZCZEPANCIK<sup>(4)</sup>, X. TANG<sup>(11)</sup>, N. TORTORELLI<sup>(4)</sup>, M. VENCELJ<sup>(6)(7)</sup>, T. WEBER<sup>(4)</sup>, H. WEICK<sup>(4)</sup>, M. WILL<sup>(4)</sup>, K. WIMMER<sup>(4)</sup>, A. YAMAMOTO<sup>(22)</sup>, A. YANAI<sup>(23)(2)</sup>, J. ZHAO<sup>(4)</sup> AND THE SUPER-FRS EXPERIMENT COLLABORATION

<sup>(1)</sup> *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*

<sup>(2)</sup> *RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan*

<sup>(3)</sup> *Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan*

<sup>(4)</sup> *GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany*

<sup>(5)</sup> *IGFAE, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

<sup>(6)</sup> *Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia*

<sup>(7)</sup> *Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia*

<sup>(8)</sup> *University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands*

<sup>(9)</sup> *Instituto de Estructura de la Materia - CSIC, Madrid, Spain*

<sup>(10)</sup> *Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan*

<sup>(11)</sup> *Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*

<sup>(12)</sup> *Institut für Kernphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Jülich, Germany*

<sup>(13)</sup> *Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China*

<sup>(14)</sup> *Department of Engineering, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan*

<sup>(15)</sup> *Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati (Roma), Italy*

<sup>(16)</sup> *Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland*

<sup>(17)</sup> *Institute for Medical Physics and Radiation Protection, TH Mittelhessen University of Applied Sciences, Gießen, Germany*

<sup>(18)</sup> *Universität Gießen, Gießen, Germany*

<sup>(19)</sup> *National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, USA*

<sup>(20)</sup> *Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden*

<sup>(21)</sup> *Helmholtz Research Academy Hesse for FAIR (HFHF), GSI Helmholtz Center for Heavy Ion Research, Campus Gießen, Gießen, Germany.*

<sup>(22)</sup> *High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan*

<sup>(23)</sup> *Department of Physics, Saitama University, Saitama, Japan*

**Summary.** — We conducted an experimental search for  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei, bound systems of an  $\eta'$  meson and a nucleus, in ~~the~~ $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$  reactions. We measured the missing mass ~~of~~in the  $(p, d)$  reaction to obtain the mass spectrum of the reaction product near the  $\eta'$  emission threshold. Forward-emitted deuterons were momentum-analyzed in the FRS of GSI. We installed a nearly  $4\pi$  detector WASA near the  $^{12}\text{C}$  target to effectively select formation and decay of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei. We are presently finalizing the analysis.

1

2 **1. – Introduction**

3 The mass of the  $\eta'$  meson is much larger than that of the other members of the lowest  
4 pseudoscalar nonet. Theoretically, the nine mesons are mass-degenerate as manifestation  
5 of chiral symmetry [1, 2] while the masses reflect the underlying symmetry of the vacuum.  
6 The eight members except for the  $\eta'$  are “massless” Nambu-Goldstone bosons, which are  
7 produced in the breakdown of chiral symmetry. The large  $\eta'$  mass is a key to achieve a  
8 comprehensive understanding of the non-trivial structure of the QCD vacuum and the  
9 mechanism for the generation of the hadron masses.

10 According to theories, the peculiarly large mass of  $\eta'$  originates in the interplay be-  
11 tween the axial U(1) quantum anomaly and the chiral symmetry breakdown of the QCD  
12 vacuum [3, 4]. Thus the mass is reduced when the chiral symmetry is restored. We aim at  
13 a measurement of the mass modification in experimental spectroscopy of  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei.  
14 ~~For the high density~~In high density conditions, chiral symmetry is partially restored  
15 in the nucleus. Recently, high precision spectroscopy of pionic atoms provided quanti-  
16 tative information on the chiral order parameter  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  at ~~the~~ nuclear density  $\rho = 0.098$   
17  $\text{fm}^{-3}$ . The evaluated  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$  is reduced to  $77 \pm 2\%$  of that in vacuum, representing a partial  
18 restoration of chiral symmetry in nuclear matter [5, 6].

19 The reduction of the mass is represented by the attractive real-part of the  $\eta'$ -nucleus  
20 potential, which is naively assumed to have a form of  $U(r) = (V_0 + iW_0)\rho(r)/\rho(0)$ ,  
21 where  $\rho(r)$  denotes the nuclear density and  $r$  is the distance ~~to~~from the center of the  
22 nucleus. The predicted mass reduction depends on theoretical models ranging from  
23  $\Delta m = 37$  to  $150 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ . Such a large mass reduction compared with its rest mass of  
24  $958 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  presumes the existence of an attractive potential and hence the existence of  
25 the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei. Figure 1 displays the  $\eta'$ -nucleus interaction ~~summarized~~represented  
26 on a plane of real ( $V_0 \approx -\Delta m$ ) and imaginary ( $W_0$ ) potential depths at the center of  
27 the nucleus. Theoretical results of different approaches are shown with solid lines for a  
28 quark meson coupling model [7] (shown as QMC), a linear sigma model [8] (Linear  $\sigma$ ), a  
29 Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model [9, 10] (NJL) and a chiral unitary model [2]. The differences  
30 in theoretical predictions reflect the theoretical uncertainties. Experimentally, derived  
31 data are shown for the production and transmission measurements in CB-ELSA/TAPS  
32 experiment [11, 12] and on  $\eta'$ -nucleon scattering lengths measured at COSY-11 [13].

33 Expected spectra of the  $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$  reactions are theoretically calculated for differ-  
34 ently assumed  $\eta'$ -nucleus interactions in Ref. [15]. In ~~the a~~ previous experiment, GSI-  
35 S437, we measured the ~~inclusive~~missing-mass spectrum of the  $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$  reaction [16, 17].  
36 We achieved very high statistics but did not observe any significant structure near the




Fig. 1. – Present knowledge of  $\eta'$ -nucleus interaction. In FAIR phase-0 we aim at  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei search in the region covered by the sector indicated. The shaded region labeled with “GSI-S437 rejected” is excluded by the GSI-S437 experiment [14] where an inclusive spectrum of  $^{12}\text{C}(p, d)$  reaction was measured [16] on an assumption of the elementary reaction cross section. Transparency and near-threshold production cross section and momentum measurements at CB-ELSA deduce  $-V_0 = 39 \pm 7(\text{stat}) \pm 15(\text{syst})$  MeV and  $-W_0 = 13 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 3(\text{syst})$  MeV as indicated [11, 12]. Measurement of  $\eta'N$  scattering length in COSY-11 [13] infers the region as indicated. Theoretical results of different approaches are presented for a quark meson coupling model [7] (shown as QMC), a linear sigma model [8] (Linear  $\sigma$ ), a Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model [9, 10] (NJL) and a chiral unitary model [2].

37  $\eta'$ -emission threshold. To selectively measure the formation events of the production  
 38 threshold because of dominating background events. To reduce the background, a new  
 39 experiment has been performed measuring the decay products of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei and  
 40 to improve the signal-to-background ratio ( $S/B$ ), we focus on the particles emitted in the  
 41 decay of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei mesic states in coincidence with the forward-going deuteron.  
 42 There are several candidate channels in the decay, namely,  $\eta'N \rightarrow \pi N$ ,  $\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N$ ,  
 43  $\eta'N \rightarrow K\Lambda$ , and  $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$ . Among them, the two-nucleon absorption channel  
 44  $\eta'NN \rightarrow NN$  is interesting. This channel does not emit light particles, hence the kinetic  
 45 energy of the emitted proton is approximately half of the mass of the  $\eta'$ , which is much  
 46 higher than that of protons in other channels. Therefore, we can effectively select the  
 47 formation and decay of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei by tagging the high-energy protons. This  
 48 naive expectation is endorsed by a nuclear transport simulation, which suggests an im-  
 49 provement of the  $S/B$  ratio by a factor of about 100. By performing the semi-exclusive  
 50 measurement and tagging the decay of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei, we aim to extend the ex-  
 51 ploratory region to cover that indicated as FAIR phase-0 in Fig. 1 covering the predicted  
 52 curve of the chiral unitary model in Fig. 1.

## 53 2. – Experiment and Analysis

54 We conducted an experimental search for the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei in the  $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$  reaction  
 55 at GSI in 2022. We employed a proton beam with an incident energy of 2.5 GeV. The  
 56 momentum transfer in the reaction is moderate:  $q \sim 500$  MeV/ $c$ .

57 The experimental setup is schematically shown in Fig. 2(a). As seen, the present  
 58 experiment utilizes a totally new type of experimental setup in a combination of a nearly




Fig. 2. – (a) A schematic view of the spectrometer FRS. We placed a carbon target at S2 and momentum-analyzed the emitted deuteron at S4, where we installed two sets of MWDCs and sets of scintillation counters. (b) A cross section of the WASA central detector installed at S2.

59  $4\pi$  detector and a forward high-resolution spectrometer. We made use of the S2–S4  
60 section of the Fragment Separator (FRS) [18] as a spectrometer to momentum-analyze  
61 the forward deuteron in the  $(p, d)$  reactions to measure the missing mass of the reaction.  
62 We installed two sets of multi-wire drift chambers (MWDCs) with 8 detection layers  
63 at S4 to measure the  $d$  tracks. We employed dedicated ion-optics to enhance the solid  
64 angle for detecting the deuteron to achieve  $\sim 2$  msr, which is much larger than in nominal  
65 settings, and momentum acceptance of  $\pm 1.1\%$ , which corresponds to an excitation energy  
66 acceptance of  $\pm 25$  MeV. The achieved S4 tracking-resolution-was-sufficiently-high  
67 position resolution per layer was as good as  $300\text{--}400\ \mu\text{m}$  ( $\sigma$ ). We installed sets of scintillation  
68 counters at S3 and S4 to measure the time-of-flight and the energy loss of particles to  
69 identify deuteron-emitted events. A events with an emitted deuteron. The counting  
70 rate at S4 was  $\sim 40$  kHz, mainly due to protons while a, while the signal deuteron rate  
71 was  $\sim 30$  Hz. The DAQ trigger was generated by a coincidence based on time-of-flight  
72 difference of particles between S3 and S4 scintillation counters. We found sufficiently high  
73 particle-identification-capabilities We achieved background-free deuteron identification in  
74 the offline data analysis.

75 For a-the measurement of the decay particles of the  $\eta'$ -mesic nuclei, we installed a  
76  $\sim 4\pi$  acceptance detector WASA [19] at the central focal plane of FRS (S2). Detector  
77 configurations of WASA are schematically shown in Fig. 2(b). WASA consists of a  
78 solenoid magnet with field strength of  $\sim 1$  T, a set of straw tube detectors for charged  
79 particle tracking (MDC) and arrays of plastic scintillation counters (PSB, PSFE, PSBE).  
80 The plastic scintillation counters were newly developed by-using MPPCs for the photon  
81 readout to improve the timing resolution [20]. Counting rates of WASA are estimated  
82 to be  $\sim 20$  MHz for an incident for a  $2.5\text{ GeV}$   $2.5 \times 10^8/\text{s}$   $\text{GeV}$  proton beam with a flux  
83  $2.5 \times 10^8\ p/\text{s}$  incident on a carbon target with density  $4\ \text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  carbon target. We are  
84 still analyzing the data. The overall performance of WASA is demonstrated in Fig. 3.  
85 Protons,  $\pi^+$ , and  $\pi^-$  are clearly separated as shown. In the near future, we will obtain the  
86 missing mass spectrum of the  $^{12}\text{C}(p, dp)$  near the  $\eta'$  emission threshold with various cut  
87 conditions for the semi-exclusive measurement. We have almost completed the analysis of  
88 the forward-emitted deuteron in the S2–S4 section of FRS and are finalizing the analysis




Fig. 3. – Typical particle identification performance of WASA. The abscissa is the momentum divided by the charge measured by the MDC. The ordinate is the energy loss measured in the plastic scintillation counters.

89 of [data recorded by](#) the WASA central detector.

90

\* \* \*

91 The authors would like to acknowledge the GSI accelerator staff for their support in  
 92 the experiment. This work is partly supported by the JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Early-  
 93 Career Scientists (grant No. JP20K14499) and for Scientific Research (B) (grant No.  
 94 JP18H01242), JSPS Fostering Joint International Research (B) (grant No. JP20KK0070).  
 95 The authors would like to acknowledge support from the SciMat and qLife Priority Re-  
 96 search Areas budget under the program Excellence Initiative-Research University at the  
 97 Jagiellonian University, from Proyectos I+D+i 2020 (ref: PID2020-118009GA-I00), from  
 98 the program ‘Atracción de Talento Investigador’ of the Community of Madrid (grant  
 99 2019-T1/TIC-131), the Regional Government of Galicia under the Postdoctoral Fellow-  
 100 ship Grant No. ED481D-2021-018, the MCIN under grant No. RYC2021-031989-I, and  
 101 from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant No.  
 102 824093). This work is also supported by RIKEN Junior Research Associate Program.

## 103 REFERENCES

- 104 [1] JIDO, D., NAGAIRO, H. and HIRENZAKI, S., *Phys. Rev. C*, **85** (032201(R)) 2012.  
 105 [2] NAGAIRO, H., HIRENZAKI, S. OSET, E. and RAMOS, A., *Phys. Lett. B*, **709** (87) 2012.  
 106 [3] BASS, S. D., METAG, V. and MOSKAL, P., *The  $\eta$ - and  $\eta'$ -Nucleus Interactions and the*  
 107 *Search for  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ - Mesic States.* in *Handbook of Nuclear Physics*, edited by TANIHATA, I.,  
 108 TOKI, H., KAJINO, T. (Springer, Singapore) 2023 pp. 2783-2810.  
 109 [4] BASS, S. D. and MOSKAL, P., *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **91** (015003) 2019.  
 110 [5] NISHI, T., ITAHASHI, K. *et al.*, *Nat. Phys.*, **19** (2023) 788-793.  
 111 [6] ITAHASHI, K., *Pionic Atoms in Experiment.* in *Handbook of Nuclear Physics*, edited by  
 112 TANIHATA, I. TOKI, H. KAJINO, T. (Springer, Singapore) 2023 pp. 2657-2697.  
 113 [7] BASS, S. D. and THOMAS, A. W., *Phys. Lett. B*, **634** (368) 2006.  
 114 [8] SAKAI, S. and JIDO, D., *Phys. Rev. C*, **88** (064906) 2013.  
 115 [9] COSTA, P. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **71** (116002) 2005.  
 116 [10] NAGAIRO, H. TAKIZAWA, M. and HIRENZAKI, S., *Phys. Rev. C*, **74** (045203) 2006.  
 117 [11] NANOVA. M. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C*, **94** (025205) 2016.

- 118 [12] FRIEDRICH, S. *et al.*, *Euro. Phys. J. A*, **52** (297) 2016.  
119 [13] CZERWIŃSKI, E. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **113** (062004) 2014.  
120 [14] ITAHASHI, K. *et al.*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.*, **128** (601) 2012.  
121 [15] NAGAHIRO, H. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C*, **87** (045201) 2013.  
122 [16] TANAKA, Y. K. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **117** (202501) 2016.  
123 [17] TANAKA, Y. K. *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. C*, **97** (015202) 2018.  
124 [18] GEISSEL, H. *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. B*, **70** (286) 1992.  
125 [19] ADAM, H. -H. *et al.*, nucl-ex/0411038 (2004).  
126 [20] SEKIYA, R. *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A*, **1034** (166745) 2022.