Results on decays and *CP* violation from LHCb

Ao Xu (许傲) INFN Pisa

on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

HADRON 2023 June 5-9, Genova





Direct CP violation in three-body charm decays

•	$D^+_{(s)} ightarrow K^- K^+ K^+$	[arXiv:2303.04062]
•	$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	[LHCb-PAPER-2023-005 in preparation]

- CKM angles in beauty decays
 - $\sin 2eta$ from $B^0 o \psi K^0_{
 m S}$ [LHCb-PAPER-2023-013 in preparation]
 - $\phi_s \text{ from } B^0_s o J\!/\psi \, K^+ K^-$ [LHCb-PAPER-2023-016 in preparation]
 - ϕ_s from $B^0_s o \phi \phi$ [arXiv:2304.06198]
 - γ from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow [K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-]_D h^{\pm}$
 - Branching fractions of $B^0_{(s)} o ar{D}^{(*)0} \phi$

[LHCb-PAPER-2023-003 in preparation]

[arXiv:2301.10328]

- Spectroscopy in hadron decays covered by other LHCb talks
 - Conventional meson [Tim Gershon] and baryon [Zhihao Xu]
 - Exotic hadrons [Elisabetta Spadaro Norella], [Yanxi Zhang], [Mindaugas Sarpis], [Bo Fang]

HADRON2023

A single-arm forward spectrometer at LHC [JINST 3 (2008) S08005] [JJMPA 30 (2015) 1530022]



Direct *CP* violation in three-body charm decay

Direct CP violation corresponds to

$$A_{CP} = \frac{|A_{f}|^{2} - |\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}|^{2}}{|A_{f}|^{2} + |\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}|^{2}} \neq 0 \iff |A_{f}|^{2} - |\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}|^{2} = -2\sum_{i,j} |A_{i}||A_{j}|\sin(\delta_{i} - \delta_{j})\sin(\phi_{i} - \phi_{j})$$

• *CP* violation in charm hadrons only established recently

- Difference in time-integrated CP asymmetries of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$ [PRL 122 211803]
- Evidence for CP asymmetry of $D^0 o \pi^+\pi^-$ [arXiv:2209.03179]
- No broad consensus whether BSM contributions are required
- Three-body decays provide enhanced sensitivity in localised regions
 - Variation of strong phase across Dalitz plot
 - Model independent methods
 - Statistical test
 - Reduce systematic uncertainty on describing the resonant structure

HADRON2023

Search for *CP* violation in $D^+_{(s)} o K^- K^+ K^+$

- Cabbibo-suppressed $D^+_{(s)}$ decays LHCb Run 2
- Signal purity 64% (D_s^+) and 78% (D^+)
- Model-independent binned techinique
 - Dalitz plot binning scheme: avoid potential sign-changing of CP asymmetry
 - Two-sample χ^2 test

$$S_{CP}^{i} = \frac{N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{+}) - \alpha N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{-})}{\sqrt{\alpha(\delta_{N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{+})}^{2} + \delta_{N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{-})}^{2})}} \quad \alpha = \frac{\sum_{i} N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{+})}{\sum_{i} N^{i}(D_{(s)}^{-})}$$
$$\chi^{2}(S_{CP}) = \sum_{i} (S_{CP}^{i})^{2}$$



Search for CP violation in $D^+_{(s)} \to K^- K^+ K^+$



■ No evidence for *CP* violation

$$p\text{-value}(D_s^+ \to K^- K^+ K^+) = 13.3\%$$

$$p$$
-value $(D^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ K^+) = 31.6\%$

- *p*-value variations checked with Cabbibo-favored $D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+$ and $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay
- different invariant-mass fit models
- different binning scheme

Search for *CP* violation in $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0_{\text{ILHGL}}$

- Cabbibo-suppressed D⁰ decays LHCb Run 2
 - 4× LHCb Run 1 data [PLB 2014 11 043]
- Flavour tagged with $D^{*+}
 ightarrow D^0 \pi^+$
- Purity 81% (91%) for resolved (merged) π^0
- Equalisation procedure
 - Magnetic polarity
 - Fraction of merged and resolved π^0
- Model-independent unbinned technique

$$T \equiv \frac{1}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{i,j\neq i}^{n} \psi_{ij} + \frac{1}{2\overline{n}(\overline{n}-1)} \sum_{i,j\neq i}^{\overline{n}} \psi_{ij} - \frac{1}{n\overline{n}} \sum_{i,j}^{n,\overline{n}} \psi_{ij}$$
$$\psi_{ii} = e^{-\frac{d_{ij}^2}{2\delta^2}}, \quad \delta = 0.2 \,\text{GeV}^2$$



-2023-005 in preparation]

No evidence for local CP violation

p-value = 62%

- Cross-checks with $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0$ decay
- background-dominated samples
- pseudoexperiments



Angles of CKM unitarity triangle

[CKMFITTER GROUP]



HADRON2023

Measurements of $\beta_{(s)}$ with time-dependent analysis

Neutral B meson decays into CP eigenstates

$$A_{CP}(t) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}_{(s)} \to f\right) - \Gamma\left(B^{0}_{(s)} \to f\right)}{\Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}_{(s)} \to f\right) + \Gamma\left(B^{0}_{(s)} \to f\right)} = \frac{S_{f}^{d(s)}\sin\left(\Delta m_{d(s)}t\right) - C_{f}^{d(s)}\cos\left(\Delta m_{d(s)}t\right)}{\cosh\left(\Delta\Gamma_{d(s)}t/2\right) + D_{f}^{d(s)}\sinh\left(\Delta\Gamma_{d(s)}t/2\right)}$$

• $b \rightarrow c\overline{c}s$: golden channels

• sin 2
$$\beta$$
 from $B^0 \rightarrow \psi K_s^0$

- $\phi_s^{c\bar{c}s}$ from $B_s^0 \to J\!/\psi \, KK$
- $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$: penguin-dominated decay

$\sin 2\beta$ from $b \rightarrow c\overline{c}s$

- \blacksquare CKM angle β known to a high precision
 - Clean experimental signature of ${\cal B}^0 o \psi {\cal K}^0_{
 m s}$ decay
 - $S_f \approx \sin 2\beta$

[EPJC81 (2021) 226]



sin 2 β from $B^0 o \psi K^0_{ m s}$

[LHCb-PAPER-2023-013 in preparation]

Preliminary



- Three decay modes LHCb Run 2 data
 - $B^0
 ightarrow J\!/\psi \, (
 ightarrow \mu \mu) K^0_{
 m s}$ 306K
 - $B^0
 ightarrow \psi(2S)(
 ightarrow \mu\mu)K^0_{
 m s}$ 24K
 - $B^0 \rightarrow J\!/\psi \, (\rightarrow ee) K^0_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{S}}$ 43K
- Selection improved w.r.t. Run 1
- Flavour tagging calibrated with $B^0 \rightarrow J\!/\psi \ K^*$ and $B^+ \rightarrow J\!/\psi \ K^+$
- Correct decay-time distribution for detector misalignment biases

sin 2 β from $B^0 \rightarrow \psi K_s^0$

■ Time-dependent *CP* asymmetry

$$\begin{split} S_{\psi \mathcal{K}_{\rm S}^0} &= 0.7158 \pm 0.0133 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.0078 \text{ (syst)} \\ C_{\psi \mathcal{K}_{\rm S}^0} &= 0.0120 \pm 0.0123 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.0029 \text{ (syst)} \end{split}$$

- Most precise single measurement of β
- Dominate the world average
- Consistent between three modes
- Consider contributions of penguin diagrams when converting to sin 2β
 - Measurements from penguin-free $b \rightarrow c\overline{u}d$ transitions helpful



ϕ_s from $b \rightarrow c\overline{c}s$

Indirect measurement $\phi_s = 0.0368^{+0.0006}_{-0.0009}$

• Direct measurement $\phi_s^{c\bar{c}s} = 0.049 \pm 0.019$

[HFLAV2021]

[PRD84 2011 033005, updated with Spring 2021 results]



- Legacy analysis of LHCb Run 2 data
- $m(K^+K^-)$ in the vicinity of $\phi(1020)$
- Flavour tagging calibrated with $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^+$ and $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$
- Correct decay-time distribution for detector misalignment biases
- Weighted simultaneous fit to decay time and decay angles



ϕ_s from $B^0_s \to J\!\!/\psi \, K^+ K^-$

$$\phi_s = -0.039 \pm 0.022 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.006 \text{ (syst) rad}$$
$$\Delta \Gamma_s = 0.0848^{+0.0044}_{-0.0045} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.0024 \text{ (syst) ps}^{-1}$$
$$\Gamma_s - \Gamma_d = -0.0059^{+0.0013}_{-0.0014} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.0014 \text{ (syst) ps}^{-1}$$

- Most precise single measurements
- Consistent with SM expectations
- Supersede LHCb measurement with 2015-16 data [EPJC79 2019 706]



ϕ_s from $B_s^0 \to \phi \phi$

- Penguin-dominated decay via $b \rightarrow s\bar{s}s$
 - Benchmark FCNC channel
- Same analysis technique as b → cc̄s
 Results from simultaneous fit

$$\phi_s^{s\bar{s}s} = -0.042 \pm 0.075 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.009 \text{ (syst) } \mathrm{rad}$$

$$|\lambda| = 1.004 \pm 0.030$$
 (stat) ± 0.009 (syst)

- Most precise measurement with penguin-dominated decay
- Consistent with SM expectation
- No significant polarisation dependence



CKM angle γ from $B \rightarrow DK$

- $\gamma = \left(65.9^{+3.3}_{-3.5}\right)^{\circ}$
- Favoured b→ cus and suppressed b→ ucs transitions
- Interference occurs when D decays to a common final state



LHCb has pursued this strategy with a wide range of D final states

[arXiv:2206.07501]

• $K\pi$, $K\pi\pi\pi$, $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ [PLB760 (2016) 117], [#Xiv:2209.03692] • $K^0_S K\pi$, $K^0_S hh$ • $hh\pi^0$ • $B^{\pm} \to D^{(*)} h^{\pm}$ [JHEP 04 (2021) 081]

[arXiv:2301.10328]

γ from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow [K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-]_D h^{\pm}$

- $h = K, \pi$ with LHCb Run 1 and 2
- Binning scheme of $(\Delta \delta_D, r_D)$
 - Minimise dilution of strong phases
 - Maximise interference effects
- CP-violating observables from measurement of yields in bins of phase space

	Fit result $(\times 10^2)$									
x_{-}^{DK}	$7.9\pm2.9~(\text{stat})~\pm0.4~(\text{syst})~\pm0.4$									
y_{-}^{DK}	-3.3 ± 3.4 (stat) ±0.4 (syst) ±3.6									
x_+^{DK}	$-12.5\pm2.5~(\text{stat})~\pm0.3~(\text{syst})~\pm1.7$									
y_+^{DK}	-4.2 ± 3.1 (stat) ±0.3 (syst) ±1.3									
$x_{\xi}^{D\pi}$	-3.1 ± 3.5 (stat) ±0.7 (syst) ±0.1									
$y_{\xi}^{D\pi}$	-1.7 ± 4.7 (stat) ±0.6 (syst) ±1.1									



γ from $B^{\pm} \rightarrow [K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-]_D h^{\pm}$

 Interpretation in terms of underlying physics parameters

$$\begin{split} \gamma &= \left(116^{+12}_{-14}\right)^{\circ} \\ \delta^{DK}_{B} &= \left(81^{+14}_{-13}\right)^{\circ}, \quad r^{DK}_{B} = 0.110^{+0.020}_{-0.020} \\ \delta^{D\pi}_{B} &= \left(298^{+62}_{-118}\right)^{\circ}, \quad r^{D\pi}_{B} = 0.0041^{+0.0054}_{-0.0041} \end{split}$$

- γ and $\delta_{B}^{D\!K}$ consistent with other channels within 3σ
- Good agreement for r_B^{DK} , $\delta_B^{D\pi}$, $r_B^{D\pi}$
- Model-independent determination can be achieved with future measurement of *D*-meson strong-phase parameters from BESIII
- Measurements integrated over phase space also performed





Branching fractions of $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \overline{D}^{(*)0}\phi$

• Evidence for $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^{(*)0}\phi$ with LHCb Run 1 and 2 data $\mathcal{B}\left(B^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^0\phi\right) = (7.7 \pm 2.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (ext)}) \times 10^{-7}$ $\mathcal{B}\left(B^0 \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0}\phi\right) = (2.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.2 \text{ (ext)}) \times 10^{-6}$

• Update branching fraction of $B^0_s o ar{D}^{(*)0} \phi$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B} \left(B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^0 \phi \right) &= (2.30 \pm 0.10 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.11 \text{ (syst) } \pm 0.20 \text{ (ext) }) \times 10^{-5} \\ \mathcal{B} \left(B_s^0 \to \bar{D}^{*0} \phi \right) &= (3.17 \pm 0.16 \text{ (stat) } \pm 0.17 \text{ (syst) } \pm 0.27 \text{ (ext) }) \times 10^{-5} \end{split}$$

Preliminary

[CPC45 (2021) 023003]



Two phases of upgrade are scheduled for the LHCb detector

Boost of statistics

LHC Run	Period	√s [TeV]	Instantaneous lumi. $[\rm cm^2s^1]$	Integrated lumi. [fb $^{-1}$]	Pile-up
Upgrade I Run 3	2019-2021 2022-2024	14	2 × 10 ³³	50	5
Run 4	2027-2030	14	2 × 10	50	5
Upgrade II	2031				
Run 5	$2032 \rightarrow$	14	2×10^{34}	300	50

[CERN-LHCC-2011-001, CERN-LHCC-2012-007, CERN-LHCC-2017-003, CERN-LHCC-2018-027]

Trigger-less readout

- From Upgrade I onward: trigger-less readout and fully software trigger
 - Price: little sensitivity for unprepared things
 - Careful plan ahead of data taking



Improved detector performance

- IP resolution improved thanks to the upgrade of tracking systems
 - Decrease of 5 fs in decay-time resolution for $B^0_s
 ightarrow J\!/\psi\,\phi$ mode
 - (Left) Upgrade I: Run 2 VS Run 3
 - (Right) Upgrade II: Scenario 1 VS Scenario 2



Summary

- Fruitful recent results of CP violation from LHCb
 - Direct CP violation in three-body charm decays
 - CKM angles in beauty decays



BACKUP

Systematic uncertainties

Source	$\sigma(S)$	$\sigma(C)$
Fitter validation	0.0004	0.0006
$\Delta\Gamma_d$ uncertainty	0.0055	0.0017
FT calibration portability	0.0053	0.0001
FT $\Delta \epsilon_{\text{tag}}$ portability	0.0014	0.0017
Decay-time bias model	0.0007	0.0013

Systematic uncertainties

Source	14.12	$ A_{\perp} ^2$	ϕ_s [rad]	$ \lambda $	$\delta_{\perp} - \delta_0$	$\delta_{\parallel} - \delta_0$	$\Gamma_s - \Gamma_d$	$\Delta \Gamma_s$	Δm_s
	[240]				[rad]	[rad]	$[ps^{-1}]$	$[ps^{-1}]$	$[ps^{-1}]$
Mass parameterization	0.04	0.03	-	0.02	0.15	0.12	0.02	0.04	-
Mass factorization	0.11	0.10	0.42	0.19	0.54	0.60	0.12	0.16	0.18
Mass: shape statistical	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.62	0.33	0.02	0.01	0.11
B_c^+ contamination	0.04	0.05	-	0.02	-	0.17	(0.02)	(0.07)	-
f_2 component	0.04	0.04	0.02	-	0.07	0.13	0.01	0.03	0.02
Clone candidates	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.18	0.18	0.02	-	0.01
Multiple candidates	0.01	-	0.27	0.22	0.90	0.41	0.01	0.01	0.24
Particle identification	0.06	0.09	0.27	0.27	1.31	0.51	0.05	0.15	0.46
C_{SP} factors	-	0.01	-	0.03	0.73	0.41	-	0.01	0.04
DTR ² calibration	-	-	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.07	-	-	0.05
DTR model applicalibity	-	-	0.08	0.03	0.26	0.09	-	-	0.09
Time bias correction	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.77	0.11	0.03	0.05	0.44
Angular efficiency	0.05	0.14	0.25	0.32	0.42	0.44	0.01	0.02	0.13
Angular resolution	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.08	-	0.01	0.02
Kinematic weighting	0.24	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.98	0.86	0.02	0.03	0.31
Momentum uncertainty	0.08	0.04	0.04	-	0.07	0.11	0.01	-	0.13
Position uncertainty	0.07	0.04	0.04	_	0.10	0.09	0.02	-	0.31
Neglected correlations	-	-	-	-	4.20	4.96	-	-	-
Total syst.	0.31	0.24	0.63	0.54	4.82	5.17	0.14	0.24	0.80
Stat.	0.17	0.23	2.15	1.1	7.5	6.1	0.14	0.44	3.3

Systematic uncertainties

	Uncertainty $(\times 10^2)$					
Source	x^{DK}	y^{DK}	x^{DK}_+	y_+^{DK}	$x_{\xi}^{D\pi}$	$y_{\xi}^{D\pi}$
Mass shape	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04
Bin-dependent mass shape	0.11	0.05	0.10	0.19	0.68	0.16
PID efficiency	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04
Low-mass background model	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02
Charmless background	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.01	0.02
CP violation in low-mass background	0.01	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.26
Semi-leptonic b -hadron decays	0.05	0.27	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.19
Semi-leptonic charm decays	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.15	0.06	0.24
$D \to K^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ background	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.05
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p D \pi^-$ background	0.01	0.25	0.14	0.04	0.06	0.34
$D \to K^{\mp} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ background	0.30	0.05	0.19	0.07	0.05	0.01
Fit bias	0.06	0.05	0.13	0.02	0.06	0.13
Total LHCb systematic	0.37	0.43	0.34	0.32	0.70	0.57
$\overline{c_i, s_i}$	0.35	3.64	1.74	1.29	0.14	1.10
Total systematic	0.51	3.67	1.78	1.33	0.72	1.24
Statistical	2.87	3.40	2.51	3.05	4.24	5.17