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ON THE COMPOSITION OF EXOTIC HADRON RESONANCES
"A proton could be obtained from a neutron and a pion, or from a $\Lambda$ and a $\boldsymbol{K}$, or from two nucleons and one anti-nucleon, and so on. Could we therefore say that a proton consists of continuous matter? [...] There is no difference in principle between elementary particles and compound systems."
-WERNER HEISENBER, 1975 TALK AT GERMAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY

## ELEMENTARY VS COMPOSITE PARTICLES

## The fields of elementary particles appear in $\mathscr{L}$.

As opposite, a composite particle is one whose field $\Phi$ does not appear in $\mathscr{L}$ : it can be created/destroyed by operators constructed by (functions of) other fields, e.g. those appearing in $\mathscr{L}$.
Consider the complete propagator for $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ which may, or may not, be elementary

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(p)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{p^{2}+\mu^{2}-i \epsilon} d \mu^{2}
$$

where the spectral function is defined by ( $\rho=0$ for $p^{2}>0$ )

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\theta\left(p_{0}\right) \rho\left(-p^{2}\right)=\sum_{n} \delta^{4}\left(p-p_{n}\right)|\langle 0| \Phi(0)| n\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} \\
\text { and }|n\rangle=|\boldsymbol{k}\rangle \circ r\left|\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right\rangle \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

## ELEMENTARY VS COMPOSITE PARTICLES

Let $|\boldsymbol{k}\rangle$ be a one-particle state with mass $\boldsymbol{m}$.
Suppose $\langle\boldsymbol{k}|$ has a non-zero amplitude with $\Phi^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$.
According to a general result, the complete propagator $\Delta^{\prime}(p)$ of the bare field $\Phi$ has a pole at $-m^{2}$ with residue $Z=|N|^{2}>0$ where (Lorentz)

$$
\langle 0| \Phi(0)|k\rangle=\frac{N}{\sqrt{2 E}} \quad E=\sqrt{k^{2}+m^{2}}
$$

As a consequence of this, it must be $\rho\left(\mu^{2}\right)=Z \delta\left(\mu^{2}-m^{2}\right)$

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(p)=\frac{Z}{p^{2}+m^{2}-i \epsilon}
$$

## ELEMENTARY VS COMPOSITE PARTICLES

However the spectral function also includes multiparticle states in $|n\rangle$. The contribution of states like $\left|\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}, \ldots\right\rangle$
is incorporated in the function $\sigma \geq 0$

$$
\rho\left(\mu^{2}\right)=Z \delta\left(\mu^{2}-m^{2}\right)+\sigma\left(\mu^{2}\right)
$$

Consider the case $\mathbf{Z}=0$ which corresponds to non-zero amplitudes of $\left\langle\boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}, \ldots\right|$ with $\Phi^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$ only. Then

$$
\Delta^{\prime}(p)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{p^{2}+\mu^{2}-i \epsilon} d \mu^{2}
$$

The complete propagator is described only by the coupling
of $\Phi$ to multi-particle states, namely $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(\mu^{2}\right) d \mu^{2}$

## ELEMENTARY DEUTERON

Say that the Lagrangian $\mathscr{L}$ of the nuclear theory contains only the elementary fields of the proton $\boldsymbol{p}$ and the neutron $\boldsymbol{n}$.

Add to $\mathscr{L}$ another elementary field, $\mathbf{D}$ (it can be composite, but of something else than $p, n$, like six quarks). Call it elementary deuteron.

Assume that $\langle\boldsymbol{k}|$ is a one-particle state of mass $m$ having non-zero amplitude with $\boldsymbol{D}^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$ - can't be $\langle n, \boldsymbol{k}|$ nor $\langle p, \boldsymbol{k}|$ - must be the elementary deuteron one-particle state.

The complete propagator of $\mathbf{D}$ has a pole at $-m^{2}$ with residue $\boldsymbol{Z}$ : the manifestation of the elementary deuteron.

## COMPOSITE DEUTERON

## If $Z=1$ we are making the case of the free theory, $\Delta^{\prime}(p)=\Delta(p)$.

(Trivial case: if there is an elementary deuteron it must interact with $n$ and $p$ )

If $Z=0$ we are in the case in which the complete propagator is due only to the coupling of $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ to $n p$ continuum, $\left|n p, \boldsymbol{k}_{1}, \boldsymbol{k}_{2}\right\rangle$.
(Composite case: the $\mathfrak{D}$ field in $\mathscr{L}$ can be substituted by function $\boldsymbol{F}(n, p)$ of the elementary fields $n, p$. We can introduce a field $\Phi$ for the composite deuteron by adding to $\mathscr{L}$ a term of the form $\Delta \mathscr{L}=\lambda(F(n, p)-\Phi)^{2}$ and integrating over $\Phi$ in the path integral. This opens the way (but does not correspond) to the description of deuteron as a $n p$ bound state. Bound states can be counted with phase shifts in elastic scattering but their number $N$ is $N=\left(\delta_{t}(0)-\delta_{t}(E=\infty)\right.$ ). This formula is not 'practical' since, at $E=\infty$, all the inelastic channels are open and Levinson theorem is proved for the elastic scattering only, and not even for shallow bound states.)

## THE LEE MODEL



$$
\begin{gathered}
\mid n, \text { in }\rangle=\sqrt{Z} \mid n, \text { bare }\rangle+\int_{k} C_{k}\left|p \pi^{-}(k)\right\rangle \\
Z+\int_{k}\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

See the "Lee-model" ('54) in Henley \& Thirring, Elementary Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. 95, 1329 (1954)

## WEINBERG'S ANALYSIS OF THE DEUTERON

The analysis is done in NROM. The starting point is the same of that in the Lee model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |d\rangle=\sqrt{Z}|\mathbf{D}\rangle+\int_{k} C_{k}|n p(k)\rangle \\
& Z+\int_{k}\left|C_{k}\right|^{2}=1 \\
& \text { Is it possible to extract } \boldsymbol{Z} \text { from data? }
\end{aligned}
$$

See Weinberg Phys. Rev. 137, B672 (1965)

## WEINBERG'S ANALYSIS OF THE DEUTERON

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{0}=-\frac{Z}{1-Z} R+O\left(\frac{1}{m_{\pi}}\right) \quad(\text { effective range }) \\
R=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 m B}} \quad(\boldsymbol{B}=\text { binding energy) } \\
a=\frac{2(1-Z)}{2-Z} R+O\left(\frac{1}{m_{\pi}}\right) \quad(\text { scattering length }>0)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the effective range expansion is

$$
k \cot \delta \simeq-\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{2} r_{0} k^{2} \quad(\delta=\text { phase-shift in } \mathrm{pn})
$$

## BETHE/LANDAU-SMORODINSKY

Scattering in the presence of shallow bound states generated by purely attractive potentials in NRQM are characterized by

$$
r_{0} \geq 0
$$

even if there is a repulsive core, but in a very narrow region around the origin. In this case $O\left(1 / m_{\pi}\right) \geq 0$ once $Z=0$.

Esposito et al. $\underline{2108.11413}$
So a nuclear deuteron would need an $r_{0}$ small ( $\approx 1 \mathrm{fm}$ ) and positive, whereas an elementary deuteron should involve an $r_{0}$ large ( $\gg 1 \mathrm{fm}$ ) and negative. Data on $n p$ scattering say

$$
r_{0}^{\text {expt. }}=+1.74 \mathrm{fm}
$$

## THE CASE OF THE X(3872)

The vicinity of the $\mathrm{X}(3872)$ to $D \bar{D}$ * threshold is considered by many authors as -the proof- of its nuclear nature: a loosely bound state of a $D$ and a $\bar{D}^{*}$ meson. The term molecule is used.

No $D \bar{D}^{*}$ scattering experiments are possible, yet the experimental determination of $r_{0}$ can proceed through the 'lineshape` of the $X(3872)$ using the connection between scattering amplitude (S-wave, low $k$ )

$$
f=\frac{1}{k \cot \delta(k)-i k}=\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{2} r_{0} k^{2}-i k}
$$

and BW formula.

Assumption: the $D \bar{D}^{*}$ decay channel is the dominating one for the $X$.

## For small kinetic energies (and using LHCb analysis)

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X \rightarrow J / \psi \pi \pi) & =-\frac{(2 N / g)}{(2 / g)\left(E-m_{X}^{0}\right)-\sqrt{2 \mu_{+} \delta}+E \sqrt{\mu_{+} / 2 \delta}+i k} \\
\delta & =m_{D^{*-}}+m_{D^{+}}-m_{\bar{D}^{* 0}}-m_{D^{0}} \\
E & =m_{J / \psi \pi \pi}-m_{D}-m_{\bar{D}^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mu_{+}$is the reduced mass of the charged $D \bar{D}^{*}$ pair.

## For small kinetic energies

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(X \rightarrow J / \psi \pi \pi) & =-\frac{(2 N / g)}{(2 / g)\left(E-m_{X}^{0}\right)-\sqrt{2 \mu_{+} \delta}+E \sqrt{\mu_{+} / 2 \delta}+i k} \\
-\frac{1}{a} & =\frac{2 m_{X}^{0}}{g}+\sqrt{2 \mu_{+} \delta} \simeq-6.92 \mathrm{fm} \quad \text { positive } a \\
r_{0} & =-\frac{2}{\mu g}-\sqrt{\frac{2 \mu_{+}}{2 \mu^{2} \delta}} \simeq-5.34 \mathrm{fm} \quad \text { negative } r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

using $E=k^{2} / 2 \mu, \mu$ being the reduced mass of the neutral $D \bar{D}^{*}$ pair, and taking $\boldsymbol{g}$ (shaky...) and $m_{X}^{0}$ (stable determination) from the experimental analysis. Since $\boldsymbol{g}$ can be larger, $r_{0} \leq-2 \mathrm{fm}$.

## $\left(-r_{0}\right)$ ACCORDING TO SOME ESTIMATES



A: Baru et al., 2110.07484<br>B: Esposito et al., 2108.11413<br>C: LHCb, 2109.01056<br>D: Maiani \& Pilloni GGI-Lects<br>E: Mikhasenko, 2203.04622

## COMPACT X

Having a negative $r_{0}$ means having a finite $Z$, which in turn means that there is an elementary $X$ field in the Lagrangian.

The $X$ can interact as strongly as possible to the $D \bar{D}^{*}$ continuum, but the very fact that there is an elementary field of $X$, with whatever $\boldsymbol{Z}$ value, is an indication that it might be appropriate to work with an elementary $X$.

Does the Weinberg analysis apply to the X(3872)?

## MOLECULAR PICTURE

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad H_{D D^{*}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{D^{*}}^{2}}{2 m_{D^{*}}}+\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{D}^{2}}{2 m_{D}}-\lambda_{0} \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{r}) \\
\text { A perturbation to the } \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{r}) \text { potential derives from }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\pi
$$

Potential $=$ FT of the propagator in no-recoil approximation
$\int \frac{q_{i} q_{j} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{q^{2}+m_{\pi}^{2}-i \epsilon} d^{3} q \underset{\text { no rec. }}{ } \int \frac{q_{i} q_{j} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}-\mu^{2}-i \epsilon} d^{3} q \approx \int \frac{q_{i} q_{j} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}-i \epsilon} d^{3} q=\nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} \int \frac{e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}-i \epsilon} d^{3} q$ $\mu^{2}=\left(m_{D^{*}}-m_{D}\right)^{2}-m_{\pi}^{2} \simeq 43 \mathrm{MeV}$
and $1 / r^{3}$ falls to the center

## MOLECULAR PICTURE



Keep $\mu$ finite! Are the corrections to $r_{0}$ of the size $O\left(1 / m_{\pi}\right)$ or $O(1 / \mu)$ ? Notice that ( 197 MeV fm ) $/ \mu \sim 5 \mathrm{fm}$ which is right where the bars in the previous figure mostly fall.

In principle the $\boldsymbol{\pi}$-exchange contribution to $r_{0}$ might be negative and $\approx-5 \mathrm{fm}$, or smaller, the $D \bar{D}^{*}$ bound state being due to $V_{s}$ only (not contributing to $r_{0}$ ).

If so the 'Weinberg criterion', which is fine for the deuteron, would just fail for the $X(3872)$. Difficult to judge without a calculation, even in consideration that $V_{w}$ is small.

## MOLECULAR PICTURE



Keep $\mu$ finite! Are the corrections to $r_{0}$ of the size $O\left(1 / m_{\pi}\right)$ or $O(1 / \mu)$ ?

$$
\frac{g^{2}}{2 f_{\pi}^{2}} \int \frac{q_{i} q_{j} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{\mathbf{q}^{2}-\mu^{2}-i c} \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 \pi)^{3}}=\frac{g^{2}}{6 f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(\delta^{3}(r)+\mu^{2} \frac{e^{i \mu r}}{4 \pi r}\right) \delta_{i j}
$$

where the integral is decomposed as $A \delta_{i j}+B r^{2} n_{i} n_{j}$ and we use the S-wave relation

$$
\left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{3} \delta_{i j}
$$

the contraction with non-rel. polarizations $e_{i}^{(\lambda)} \bar{e}_{j}^{\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)}$ gives $\delta_{\lambda \lambda^{\prime}}$

## MOLECULAR PICTURE

So we have the case in which $V$ itself is not small enough to be considered as a perturbation, but it can be divided in

$$
V=V_{s}+V_{w}=-\left(\lambda_{0}+4 \pi \alpha\right) \delta^{3}(r)-\alpha \mu^{2} \frac{e^{i \mu r}}{r}
$$

To compute any amplitude, all orders in $V_{s}$ are needed, and possibly only the first order in $V_{w}$.
The contribution deriving from $V_{w}$ is calculated in the DWBA (Distorted-Wave-Born-Approximation) which amounts to use ( $\pm=$ in/out)

$$
T_{\beta \alpha}=\left(\Psi_{s \beta}^{-}, V_{w} \Psi_{s \alpha}^{+}\right)
$$

## THE IMAGINARY PART OF $V_{w}(r)$

How to take into account that there are unstable particles in the amplitudes $\boldsymbol{T}$ ? We should add 'by hand' the $D^{*}$ decay width to $V_{s}+V_{w}$. A derivation of this is possible.

$$
-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 m} \psi(r)-\left[\left(\lambda_{0}+4 \pi \alpha\right) \delta^{3}(r)+\alpha \mu^{2} \frac{e^{i \mu r}}{r}+i \frac{\Gamma}{2}\right] \psi(r)=E \psi(r)
$$

Indeed the complex potential $V_{w}$ alone will not allow any imaginary part in the positive spectrum $E>0$ (exception made for $\boldsymbol{\psi} s^{\prime}$ exponentially blowing up).

$$
\left(\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \Im(V(r))=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \Im \alpha \mu^{2} \frac{e^{i \mu r}}{r}=\frac{g^{2} \mu^{3}}{24 \pi f_{\pi}^{2}} \equiv \frac{\Gamma}{2}\right)
$$

Esposito, Glioti, Germani, ADP, Rattazzi, Tarquini draft in preparation

## CALCULATION OF $r_{0}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=\frac{1}{k \cot \delta(k)-i k}=f_{s}+f_{w}=\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a}-i k}+f_{w} \\
f_{w}=-\frac{2 m}{4 k^{2}} \int V_{w}(r) \chi_{s}^{2}(r) d r
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $\chi_{s}(r)$ are scattering w.f. of the $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{3}(\boldsymbol{r})$ potential, and $\boldsymbol{m}$ is the invariant $D D^{*}$ mass. Thus $r_{0}$ is determined by the $\boldsymbol{k}^{2}$ coefficient in the double expansion around $r_{0}=0$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=0$ of the expression

$$
f^{-1}=\left(\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a}-i k}-\frac{2 m}{4 k^{2}} \int V_{w}(r) \chi_{s}^{2}(r) d r\right)^{-1}
$$

Esposito, Glioti, Germani, ADP, Rattazzi, Tarquini draft in preparation

## CALCULATION OF $r_{0}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{0}=2 m \alpha\left(\frac{2}{\mu^{2} a^{2}}+\frac{8 i}{3 \mu a}-1\right) \\
-0.20 \mathrm{fm} \lesssim \operatorname{Re} r_{0} \lesssim-0.15 \mathrm{fm} \\
0 \mathrm{fm} \lesssim \operatorname{lm} r_{0} \lesssim 0.17 \mathrm{fm} \\
\alpha \mu^{2}=\frac{g^{2}}{24 \pi f_{\pi}^{2}} \mu^{2}=5 \times 10^{-4}
\end{gathered}
$$

These results agree, analytically, with what found by Braaten et al. using EFT. It turns out that the real part of $r_{0}$ is just a tiny (negative!) fraction of a Fermi. This confirms the fact that the Weinberg criterion can be extended to the $X(3872)$ too.


Braaten, Galilean invariant XEFT, Phys. Rev. D 103, 036014 (2021), arXiv:2010.05801 [hep-ph]

Applying the lattice Lüscher method, the authors study the $D D^{*}$ scattering amplitude and make a determination of the scattering length and of the effective range for $\mathscr{T}_{c c}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=-1.04(29) \mathrm{fm} \\
& r_{0}=+0.96_{-0.20}^{+0.18} \mathrm{fm}
\end{aligned}
$$

The mass of the pion is $m_{\pi}=280 \mathrm{MeV}$, to keep the $D^{*}$ stable. This result, for the moment, is compatible with a virtual state because of the negative $\boldsymbol{a}$ - like the singlet deuteron. As for LHCb (2109.01056 p.12)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=+7.16 \mathrm{fm} \\
& -11.9 \leq r_{0} \leq 0 \mathrm{fm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## DOES THE X(3872) BEHAVE AS THE DEUTERON?

ALICE: 1902.09290; 2003.03184


Esposito, Ferreiro, Pilloni, ADP, Salgado Eur. Phys. J. C 81 (2021) 669
Number of deuterons as a function of the multiplicity computed with Boltzmann equation in a coalescence model.

## DOES THE X(3872) BEHAVE AS THE DEUTERON?



The coalescence picture predicts a behavior (green band) qualitatively different from data.

## NUCLEI AT HIGH $p_{T}$ ?




Esposito, Guerrieri, Maiani, Piccinini, Pilloni, ADP, Riquer, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 3, 034028


FIG. 1: The $D^{0} D^{*-}$ pair cross section as function of $\Delta \phi$ at CDF Run II. The transverse momentum, $p_{\perp}$, and rapidity, $y$, ranges are indicated. Data points with error bars, are compared to the leading order event generator Herwig. The cuts on parton generation are $p^{\text {part }}>2 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\left|y^{\text {part }}\right|<6$. We have checked that the dependency on these cuts is not significative. We find that we have to rescale the Herwig cross section values by a factor $K_{\text {Herwig }} \simeq 1.8$ to best fit the data on open charm production.


FIG. 3 (color online). The integrated cross section obtained with HERWIG as a function of the center of mass relative momentum of the mesons in the $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0}$ molecule. This plot is obtained after the generation of $55 \times 10^{9}$ events with parton cuts $p_{\perp}^{\text {part }}>2 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\left|y^{\text {part }}\right|<6$. The cuts on the final $D$ mesons are such that the molecule produced has a $p_{\perp}>5 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $|y|<$ 0.6 .

Bignamini, Grinstein, Piccinini, ADP, Sabelli, PRL103 (2009) 162001


Braaten and Artoisenet, PRD81103 (2010) 114018

## `SEGREGATED` DIQUARKS


$q \bar{Q} \quad \bar{q} Q$
(free meson pair)

If $X^{ \pm}$is degenerate with $X^{0}$ it can't decay in $D^{ \pm} \bar{D}^{*}$ - it is forced to decay in $J / \psi \rho^{ \pm}$, tunneling the heavy quark at a higher price in rate.

The $X^{ \pm}$might still be hiding in $J / \Psi \rho^{ \pm}$decays.

This picture of `segregated diquarks` inspired the idea of `segregated hevay-quarks', kept away by color repulsion in the octet.

## THE BORN-OPPENHEIMER PICTURE



The fast motion of light quarks, in the field of heavy quarks (slow), generates an effective potential $V(\boldsymbol{R})$ which in turn regulates the slower motion of heavy quarks - and can be used to calculate the spectrum.
The same picture might work for the $\mathscr{T}_{c c}$ and $\mathscr{T}_{b b}$ states, and for the pentaquarks!

Maiani, ADP, Riquer, Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 1, 014002; Phys.Rev.D 100 (2019) 7, 074002; EPJC83 (2023) 5, 378
Maiani, Pilloni, ADP, Riquer, PLB836 (2023) 137624 (on $\mathscr{T}_{c c}$ in B.O.)
Esposito, Papinutto, Pilloni, ADP, Tantalo, Phys Rev D88 (2013) 5, 054029 (on $\mathscr{T}_{c c}$ prediction)

THE $\mathscr{T}_{Q Q}$ CASE

$$
T=\left|(Q Q)_{\overline{3}},(\bar{q} \bar{q})_{3}\right\rangle_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}\left|(\bar{q} Q)_{1},(\bar{q} Q)_{1}\right\rangle_{1}-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left|(\bar{q} Q)_{8},(\bar{q} Q)_{\mathbf{8}}\right\rangle_{1}
$$

The potential inside a single orbital is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
V(r)=\frac{\lambda_{\varrho \bar{q}}}{r}+k_{\varrho \bar{q}} r+V_{0}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{r}+\frac{1}{4} k r+V_{0} \\
\lambda_{\varrho \bar{q}}=\left[\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{8}{3}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{2}\left(3-\frac{8}{3}\right)\right] \alpha_{s}=-\frac{1}{3} \alpha_{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

using the diagonalization formula $\left(R_{1} \otimes R_{2}=S_{1} \oplus S_{2} \oplus \ldots\right)$

$$
R_{1} \otimes R_{2}=\bigoplus_{j} \frac{1}{2}\left(C_{S_{j}}-C_{R_{1}}-C_{R_{2}}\right) \mathbf{1}_{S_{j}}
$$

Maiani, Pilloni, ADP, Riquer, PLB836 (2023) 137624 (on $\mathscr{T}_{c c}$ in B.O.)


$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta V=\lambda_{\varrho \bar{q}}\left(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{R}|}+\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{\eta}+\boldsymbol{R}|}\right)+\frac{\lambda_{q \bar{q}}}{|\boldsymbol{\xi}-\boldsymbol{R}-\boldsymbol{\eta}|} \\
V_{B O}(R)=-\frac{2}{3} \alpha_{s} \frac{1}{R}+(\Psi(\xi, \eta, \boldsymbol{R}), \delta V \Psi(\xi, \eta, \boldsymbol{R})) \\
M\left(\mathscr{T}_{c c}^{+}\right)_{\mathrm{th} .}=3871 \mathrm{MeV} \quad M\left(\mathscr{T}_{c c}^{+}\right)_{\text {exp. }}=3875 \mathrm{MeV} \\
M\left(\mathscr{T}_{b b}\right)_{\mathrm{th} .}=10552 \mathrm{MeV}
\end{gathered}
$$

## PENTAQUARKS AND FERMI STATISTICS



The three light quarks in the pentaquark have to be in a color-octet configuration (a mixed representation).

We show that Fermi statistics applied to the complex of the three light quarks requires three $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{f}$ octets, two with spin $1 / 2$ and one with spin $3 / 2$. Additional lines corresponding to decays into $J / \psi+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $J / \psi+\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ are predicted.

Maiani, ADP, Riquer, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023) 5, 378

## THE EQUAL SPACING RULE

## In the vector mesons octet

$$
K^{*} \approx(\phi+\rho) / 2
$$

The analog of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ in the hidden charm tetraquarks is

$$
X\left(1^{++}\right)=[c s][\bar{c} \bar{s}] \quad X(4140) \text { seen in } J / \psi \phi
$$

To first order in SU(3) flavor symmetry breaking we might predict

$$
Z_{c s} \stackrel{!}{=}(X(4140)+X(3872)) / 2=4009 \mathrm{MeV}
$$

$\mathrm{A} Z_{c s}$ has been observed at 4003 MeV .
Maiani, ADP, Riquer, Sci. Bulletin 66, 1616 (2021)

## $Z_{c s}$ AND NEGATIVE CHARGE CONJUGATION

## Observed by LHCb in the decay

$$
B^{+} \rightarrow \phi+Z_{c s}^{+}(4003) \rightarrow \phi+K^{+}+J / \psi
$$

In the diquark-antidiquark model we predict that $M\left(X\left(1^{++}\right)\right)=M\left(Z\left(1^{+-}\right)\right)$. Using the same spacing rules, given the $\boldsymbol{Z}(3900)$ and the recently discovered $Z_{c s}(3985)$ we predict a $Z_{\text {ss }}(\simeq 4076)$

## CONCLUSIONS

- It would be useful to have new comparative studies on the $r_{0}$ of the $X(3872)$ and of the $\mathscr{T}_{Q Q}$ particles, and to agree on the way to extract information from data (not easy).
- It would be of great relevance to learn more, on the experimental side, about deuteron production at high $p_{T}$
- Some states are produced promptly in $p \boldsymbol{p}$ collisions, some are not. There is no clear reason why.
- Are there loosely bound molecules $\boldsymbol{B} \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}^{*}$ ? Can we formulate more stringient bounds on $X^{ \pm}$particles?
- More basically: are we on the right questions?

BACKUP

## THE VICINITY TO THRESHOLD

| $X(3872)$ | $Z_{c}^{0 \pm}(3900)$ | $Z_{c}^{0 \pm}(4020)$ | $Z_{b}^{0 \pm}(10610)$ | $Z_{b}^{0 \pm}(10650)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0}$ | $D^{0} \bar{D}^{* 0 \pm}$ | $D^{* 0} \bar{D}^{* 0 \pm}$ | $B^{0} \bar{B}^{* 0 \pm}$ | $B^{* 0} \bar{B}^{* 0 \pm}$ |
| $\delta \approx 0$ | +7.8 | +6.7 <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | +2.7 | +1.8 |

## ONE WAY TO COMPUTE $f_{w}$

- Use $e^{-\mu r}$ in place of $e^{i \mu r}-$ in the final expression $\mu \rightarrow-i \mu$
- Use the regularized $\chi_{s}(r)=2 k r\left(\frac{e^{i \delta} \sin (k r+\delta)}{k r}-\frac{e^{i \delta} \sin \delta}{k r}\right)$ for $r \in[0, \lambda]$ and $\chi_{s}(r)=2 k r\left(\frac{e^{i \delta} \sin (k r+\delta)}{k r}\right)$ for $r \in[\lambda, \infty]$
- The integral is finite. Substitute $\delta=\cot ^{-1}\left(-\frac{1}{k a_{s}}\right)$
- Double-expand the result around $k=0$ and $\alpha=0$.
- Take the limit $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$
- Set $\mu \rightarrow-i \mu$
R. Jackiw, `Delta Function Potentials in two- and three- dimensional quantum mechanics' in Diverse Topics in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, World Scientific.
See also Gosdzynsky, Tarrach (https://doi.org/10.1119/1.16691) — suggested by Adam Szczepaniak.


## SUM RULE IN KÄLLÉN-LEHMAN

An elementary deuteron would not correspond to $Z=1$ but to whatever $0<Z<1$. Strictly speaking, only the case $Z=0$ corresponds to the exclusively composite state.

Indeed it can be shown that the following sum rule holds

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \rho\left(\mu^{2}\right) d \mu^{2}=1
$$

which corresponds to

$$
Z+\int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma\left(\mu^{2}\right) d \mu^{2}=1
$$

## A DERIVATION OF THE DWBA FORMULA

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=\frac{e^{i \delta_{s}} \sin \delta_{s}}{k}+\frac{e^{i \delta_{w}} \sin \delta_{w}}{k} \\
f_{\mathrm{Born}}=-\frac{m}{2 \pi} \int V(r) e^{i\left(k-k^{\prime}\right) \cdot r} d^{3} r \\
e^{i k \cdot r}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} i^{\ell} j_{\ell}(k r)(2 \ell+1) P_{\ell}(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) \\
e^{-i k^{\prime} \cdot r}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} i^{\ell} j_{t}\left(k^{\prime} r\right)(2 \ell+1)(-1)^{\ell} P_{t}\left(\hat{k}^{\prime} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{r}}\right) \\
\int P_{\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{2}\right) P_{\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{3}\right) d \Omega_{1}=\delta_{\ell \ell^{\prime}} \frac{4 \pi}{(2 \ell+1)} P_{\ell}\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{3}\right) \\
(-1)^{\ell} i^{2 \ell}=+1 \text { for every } \ell \text { and } k=k^{\prime} \text { for elastic collisions }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { So we get } \\
f=-2 m \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(2 \ell+1) P_{t}(\cos \theta) \int V(r)\left(j_{t}(k r)\right)^{2} r^{2} d r \\
\text { To be compared with Holtsmark formula } \\
f=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}(2 \ell+1) P_{t}(\cos \theta) \frac{e^{i \delta} \sin \delta}{k} \\
\text { giving } \\
\frac{e^{i \delta} \sin \delta}{k}=-2 m \int V(r)\left(j_{\ell}(k r)\right)^{2} r^{2} d r
\end{gathered}
$$

## A DERIVATION OF THE DWBA FORMULA

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi^{(0)}(r)=2 k r j_{t}(k r) \\
\frac{e^{i \delta} \sin \delta}{k}=-\frac{2 m}{4 k^{2}} \int V(r)\left(\chi^{(0)}(r)\right)^{2} d r
\end{gathered}
$$

DWBA consists in computing $T$ with the in/out states of $V_{s}$

$$
T_{\beta \alpha}=\left(\Psi_{s \beta}^{-}, V_{w} \Psi_{s \alpha}^{+}\right)
$$

Therefore we substitute $\chi^{(0)} \rightarrow \chi_{s}$

$$
\frac{e^{i \delta_{w}} \sin \delta_{w}}{k}=-\frac{2 m}{4 k^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} V(r) \chi_{s}^{2}(r) d r
$$

