The compositeness of a bound state constrained by a and r_0 and the role of the interaction range

L. R. Dai

Huzhou University, Zhejiang, China

The 20th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure (HADRON 2023) Genova, Italy, June 5-9, 2023

In collaboration with J. Song and E. Oset



Outline

1. Motivation

2. Formalism

Results Summary

Song, Dai, Oset, "How much is the compositeness of a bound state constrained by a and r_0 ? The role of the interaction range", EPJA58 (2022) 133

1. Motivation• To improve the Weinberg's formalism

starting from the pioneer work of Weinberg [Weinberg, "Evidence that the deuteron is not an elementary particle", PR137 (1965) B672] obtained in the limit of very small binding and zero range interaction in r-space.

scattering length effective range

$$a = R \left[\frac{2X_W}{1 + X_W} + O(\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}) \right] \quad r_0 = R \left[-\frac{1 - X_W}{X_W} + O(\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}) \right]$$

$$f = \frac{1}{k \cot \delta - ik} \approx \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2 - ik} \quad \text{(scattering matrix)}$$

Experimental data for deuteron (I = 0, J = 1)

 $a = 5.419(7) \text{ fm}, r_0 = 1.766(8) \text{ fm}, B = 2.224575(9) \text{ MeV}(\text{small binding})$

 $\implies X_W \simeq 1.68$ unacceptable

the actual compositeness should be $X \le 1$

 $\begin{cases}
1 \text{ scattering length} & \text{determine simultaneously} \\
2 \text{ the effective range} & \implies \text{ compositeness} + \text{ range of the interaction} \\
3 \text{ the binding energy}
\end{cases}$

• Application to three different cases (small and large binding)

 r_0 the effective range

- Z nonmolecular compositeness
- X = 1 Z molecular compositeness

2. Formalism

• We start from a potential written in momentum space as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}' | V | \boldsymbol{p} \rangle = V(\boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{p}) = V \theta(\boldsymbol{q}_{\max} - p') \theta(\boldsymbol{q}_{\max} - p)$$

 q_{max} is the range of the potential in momentum space. Its inverse would provide the range of the interaction in coordinate space (r-space).

• Next we solve the Bethe-Salpeter equation with this potential to obtain the *T*-matrix (four momentum) \implies The q^0 integration is readily done using Cauchy's residues

$$T(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = V(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$$

$$+ \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} V(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}) T(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{w_1(\mathbf{q}) + w_2(\mathbf{q})}{2w_1(\mathbf{q})w_2(\mathbf{q})} \frac{1}{s - (w_1(\mathbf{q}) + w_2(\mathbf{q}))^2 + i\epsilon}$$
with $w_i(\mathbf{q}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{q}^2 + m_i^2}$. By expanding in a power series
$$T(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \theta(q_{\max} - p')\theta(q_{\max} - p) T \implies T = V + VGT$$

$$\implies T = [1 - VG]^{-1}V \text{ (algebraic equation)} \qquad \Uparrow G(s)$$

• Energy dependence potential (linear function) \implies meson-meson system \implies taking an example of $D_{s_0}^*(2317)$ (*KD*, ηD_s)

$$V = V_{\rm eff} = V_0 + \beta \left(s - s_0 \right)$$



Aceti, Dai, Geng, Oset & Zhang, "Meson-baryon components in the states of the baryon decuplet", EPJA50 (2014) 57 \implies how to construct an effective potential V_{eff} and evaluate the compositeness of finding molecular state (one channel)

• The scattering matrix with potential $V_{\rm eff}$ for one channel

$$T(s) = \frac{1}{[V_0 + \beta(s - s_0)]^{-1} - G(s)}$$

it has a pole at $s_0 \implies$ hope to investigate

$$V_0^{-1} - G(s_0) = 0, \qquad V_0 = \frac{1}{G(s_0)}$$

• Establish the connection of amplitude \implies Quantum Mechanics

$$\frac{1}{C\left\{\left[\frac{1}{G(s_0)} + \beta(s-s_0)\right]^{-1} - G(s)\right\}} \approx \frac{1}{-\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2 - ik}$$

 $C \Longrightarrow$ normalization constant

$$\operatorname{Im} G = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{k}{\sqrt{s}} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad$$

establish the connection

$$8\pi\sqrt{s}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{G(s_0)} + \beta(s-s_0)\right]^{-1} - \operatorname{Re}G(s)\right\} \approx \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2$$

• at threshold

$$8\pi\sqrt{s_{\rm th}}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{G(s_0)} + \frac{\beta}{(s_{\rm th} - s_0)}\right]^{-1} - \operatorname{Re}G(s_{\rm th})\right\} = \frac{1}{a} \implies \beta$$

• derivative to k^2 at threshold

$$-\frac{1}{2}r_{0} = \frac{8\pi}{2\sqrt{s_{th}}} \left[\left[\frac{1}{G(s_{0})} + \beta(s_{th} - s_{0}) \right]^{-1} - \operatorname{Re}G(s)_{th} \right] \frac{s}{w_{1}(k)w_{2}(k)} |_{s_{th}} + 8\pi\sqrt{s_{th}} \left[-\beta \left[\frac{1}{G(s_{0})} + \beta(s_{th} - s_{0}) \right]^{-2} - \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}[G(s)]}{\partial s} |_{s_{th}} \right] \frac{s}{w_{1}(k)w_{2}(k)} |_{s_{th}}$$

• nonmolecular compositeness

$$Z = -g^2 G(s_0)^2 \beta$$

$$g^2 = \lim_{s \to s_0} (s - s_0) T(s) = \frac{1}{-G(s_0)^2 \beta - \frac{\partial G}{\partial s}|_{s_0}} \quad (L'\text{Hospital's rule})$$

for heavy particles (deuteron)

$$G(E) = \int_{|\boldsymbol{q}| < q_{\text{max}}} \frac{d^3 \boldsymbol{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{m_1 m_2}{E_1(\boldsymbol{q}) E_2(\boldsymbol{q})} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - E_1(\boldsymbol{q}) - E_2(\boldsymbol{q}) + i\epsilon}$$

The potential is now

$$V = V_0 + \beta (E - E_0)$$

Similarly

$$\frac{2\pi E_{\rm th}}{m_1 m_2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{G(E_0)} + \beta(E - E_0) \right]^{-1} - \text{Re}G(E_{\rm th}) \right\} = \frac{1}{a}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}r_{0} = \frac{2\pi}{m_{1}m_{2}} \left[\left[\frac{1}{G(E_{0})} + \beta(E_{th} - E_{0}) \right]^{-1} - \operatorname{Re}G(E)_{th} \right] \frac{E}{2E_{1}(k)E_{2}(k)} |_{E_{th}} + \frac{2\pi E_{th}}{m_{1}m_{2}} \left[-\beta \left[\frac{1}{G(E_{0})} + \beta(E_{th} - E_{0}) \right]^{-2} - \frac{\partial \operatorname{Re}[G(E)]}{\partial E} \right] \frac{E}{2E_{1}(k)E_{2}(k)} |_{E_{th}}$$

$$\mathbf{Z} = -\mathbf{g}^2 \, G(E_0)^2 \, \boldsymbol{\beta}$$

with

$$g^{2} = \lim_{E \to E_{0}} (E - E_{0})T(E) = \frac{1}{-G(E_{0})^{2}\beta - \frac{\partial G}{\partial E}|_{E_{0}}} \quad \text{(L'Hospital's rule)}$$
$$\beta = \frac{1}{E_{\text{th}} - E_{0}} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_{1}m_{2}}{m_{1} + m_{2}} + \text{Re}\,G(E_{\text{th}}) \right]^{-1} - \frac{1}{G(E_{0})} \right\}$$

3. Results

$$\begin{cases} \text{Deuteron} \\ D_{s_0}^*(2317) \implies Z, r_0 \\ D_{s_1}^*(2460) \end{cases}$$

 r_0 the effective range Z nonmolecular compositeness X = 1 - Z molecular compositeness



Deuteron

- a) Starting from $q_{max} = 100$ MeV, Z < 0.25 indicating a strong molecular *pn* component
- b) $q_{\text{max}} \approx 140 \text{ MeV}$ Z = 0 indicating that the deuteron is a molecular state
- c) beyond $q_{\text{max}} = 140$ MeV, Z < 0 negative, discard this situation
- 1) $q_{\text{max}} \ge 140 \text{ MeV}$, r_0^{theory} is close to r_0^{exp} , below this value noticeable disagreement
- 2) q_{max} is small \Rightarrow indicating that the range of the *NN* interaction in *r*-space is rather large
- the range of the interaction ⇒

 a picture of the deuteron far closer to
 the actual molecular nature than
 Weinberg's equations

 $D_{so}^{*}(2317)$



 $D_{s_0}^*(2317)$

The data from QCD lattice analysis of the finite volume levels by Torres, Oset, Prelovsek, Ramos, JHEP 05 (2015)153 $a(KD) = +1.3 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.1$ fm $r_0(KD) = -0.1 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$ fm

the nominal mass 2317 MeV

- a) $q_{\text{max}} > 400 \text{ MeV}$, **agreement** between r_0^{theory} and r_0^{exp}
- b) $\implies Z < 0.4$ indicating a *DK* molecular component with probability larger than 60%
- c) in **agreement** with the findings in [JHEP 05 (2015)153] $P(DK) = (72 \pm 13 \pm 5)$
- d) $q_{\text{max}} \ge 725 \text{ MeV}, Z \le 0$ \implies the the range of the interaction (light vector exchange)



 $D_{s_1}^*(2460)$

The data from QCD lattice analysis of the finite volume levels by Torres, Oset, Prelovsek, Ramos, JHEP 05 (2015)153 $a(KD^*) = +1.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$ fm $r_0(KD^*) = -0.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1$ fm

the nominal mass 2460 MeV

- a) $q_{\text{max}} > 400 \text{ MeV}$, agreement between r_0^{theory} and r_0^{exp}
- b) Z never becomes zero, independent of q_{max} , reaching a value of 0.2 for large q_{max}
- c) 0.3 < Z < 0.6. indicating a *KD** molecular component with probability $\geq 40\%$
- d) in agreement JHEP05(2015)153 $P(KD^*) = (57 \pm 21 \pm 6)$

 $\implies \eta D_s^*$ channel is mostly responsible for the remaining probability

4. Summary

We **propose an approach** to evaluate simultaneously the effective range and nonmolecular compositeness

 \implies improving the Weinberg's formalism [which was obtained in the limit of very small binding and zero range interaction in r-space]

•The range of the interaction is very important to consider

the combined information of *a*, r_0 and the binding \implies could provide a fair information on the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2460)$ which are bound by about 40 - 45 MeV

NN interaction (deuteron) has a longer range in *r*-space than the *KD* and *KD*^{*} in the cases of the $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ and $D_{s1}^*(2460)$ states

•The molecular compositeness

determine simultaneously the value of the compositeness within a certain range, as well as get qualitative information on the range of the interaction for three cases (with small or larger binding)

Thank you