On the prediction of spectral densities from Lattice QCD: numerical aspects

Matteo Saccardi In collaboration with Mattia Bruno, Leonardo Giusti







The 20th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure June 6th, 2023

Relying on: Dalla Brida, Giusti, Harris, Pepe, PLB 816 (2021) 136191

- Hadronic spectral densities play a pivotal role in particle physics
 - R-ratio (4.2 σ discrepancy in g_{μ} 2)
 - Inclusive semileptonic *B*-decay $(2.7\sigma \text{ discrepancy in } V_{cb})$



• Lattice QCD: model-independent non-perturbative theoretical prediction \rightarrow numerically ill-posed inverse problem

The Inverse Problem

• Here: vector isovector spectral density with multi-level [Dalla Brida et al. 2021]

$$C(t) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \,
ho(E) \qquad e^{-tE}$$

Euclidean time lattice correlation functions C(t)
 Padronic spectral densities ρ(E)

$$\sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*)C(t) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \,\rho(E) \, \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} e^{-tE} g_t(E_*)$$

- **1** Euclidean time lattice correlation functions C(t)
- 2 Hadronic spectral densities $\rho(E)$
- **3** Combine basis functions $b_t(E)$ with proper coefficients $g_t(E_*)$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*)C(t) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \,\rho(E) \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} e^{-tE} g_t(E_*)}_{\sim \delta_{\sigma}(E,E_*)}$$

- **1** Euclidean time lattice correlation functions C(t)
- 2 Hadronic spectral densities $\rho(E)$
- 3 Combine basis functions b_t(E) with proper coefficients g_t(E_{*}) to approximate a given kernel δ_σ(E, E_{*})

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[g]}{\delta g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}[g] = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \left| \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right|^2$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*)C(t) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \,\rho(E) \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} e^{-tE} g_t(E_*)}_{\sim \delta_{\sigma}(E,E_*)} \equiv \bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(E_*)$$

- **1** Euclidean time lattice correlation functions C(t)
- 2 Hadronic spectral densities $\rho(E)$
- **3** Combine basis functions $b_t(E)$ with proper coefficients $g_t(E_*)$ to approximate a given kernel $\delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*)$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[g]}{\delta g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}[g] = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \left| \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right|^2$$

Ombine correlators C(t) with the same coefficients g_t(E_{*}) to approximate smeared spectral densities

$$\rho_{\sigma}(E_{*}) = \int_{E_{0}}^{\infty} dE \, \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_{*}) \rho(E)$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[g]}{\delta g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}[g] = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \left| \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right|^2 \to g = A^{-1} f$$
$$A_{tr} = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE b_t(E) b_r(E), \quad f_t(E_*) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE b_t(E) \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*)$$



*k(A) condition number

Well-known mathematical problem: Fredholm integral equation, Hilbert and Cauchy matrices

On the prediction of spectral densities from Lattice QCD

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[g]}{\delta g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{A}[g] = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \left| \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_{t=1}^{t_{max}} g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right|^2 \to g = A^{-1} f$$
$$A_{tr} = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE b_t(E) b_r(E), \quad f_t(E_*) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE b_t(E) \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*)$$



*k(A) condition number

 $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(E_*) = \sum_t C(t)g_t(E_*)$, but C(t) are affected by statistical errors \rightarrow regularization

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{W}[g]}{\delta g} = 0, \quad \mathcal{W}[g] = \mathcal{A}[g] + g^{\mathsf{T}} Bg \to g = W^{-1} f, \quad W = A + B$$

- $B = \lambda \frac{Cov}{C_0^2}$ [M. T. Hansen, Meyer, and Robaina 2017; M. Hansen, Lupo, and Tantalo 2019]
- $B = \lambda 1$ (Tikhonov)

• $B_{tr} = \lambda e^{-Mt} \delta_{tr}$





• $\sigma_{\text{stat}}^2(\lambda) = g^T \text{Cov } g$

• $\sigma_{syst}(\lambda) = \rho_{\sigma} - \bar{\rho}_{\sigma}$ (work in progress)

• Tune λ to λ_* such that e.g. $\sigma_{stat}(\lambda_*) = 3\sigma_{syst}(\lambda_*)$



 $B = \lambda \mathbf{1}$, Toy Model

Other strategies [M. Hansen, Lupo, and Tantalo 2019; Bulava et al. 2022]

Signal-to-Noise ratio problem [Parisi 1984; Lepage 1989], e.g. with n_0 (global) updates of the lattice

$$C(t) = \langle V_k(t) V_k(0)
angle$$

 $rac{C(t)^2}{\sigma_C^2(t)} \sim n_0 e^{-2(M_
ho - M_\pi)t}$

 V_k zero-momentum isovector vector current

•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	
										•		
Ţ	Ţ	Ţ		Ţ	Ţ	Ţ		Ţ	Ţ	·		Ţ
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•

Signal-to-Noise ratio problem [Parisi 1984; Lepage 1989], e.g. with $n_0 \cdot n_1$ (global and local) updates of the lattice

 $C(t) = \langle V_k(t) V_k(0)
angle$ $rac{C(t)^2}{\sigma_C^2(t)} \sim \mathbf{n}_0 \cdot \mathbf{n_1}^2 e^{-2(M_
ho - M_\pi)t}$

 V_k zero-momentum isovector vector current



Need to factorize the fermion determinant and observables for local updates

- Straightforward in pure gauge theory [Lüscher and Weisz 2001]
- Recent developments for theories with dynamical fermions [Cè, Giusti, and Schaefer 2016; Cè, Giusti, and Schaefer 2017]

Motivations

•
$$C(t) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \langle V_k(t) V_k(0) \rangle$$
, $\delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(E-E_*)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

- $N_f=2,~a\simeq 0.065~{
 m fm},~M_\pi\simeq 270~{
 m MeV},~M_\pi L\simeq 4.3~{
 m [Dalla~Brida~et~al.~2021]}$
- $\sigma_{syst} \leq \sigma_{syst}^{MAX} = \rho_{max} \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE |\delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) \sum_t g_t(E_*)b_t(E)|$



• Improving the precision on C(t) at large t (Multi-Level) is beneficial for $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(E)$ at different energy scales

PRELIMINARY PLOT

 $\sigma = M_{\pi} = 270 \text{ MeV}, M_{\pi}L \simeq 4.3, \lambda : \sigma_{stat}(\lambda, E) = \sigma_{syst}^{MAX}(\lambda, E) \forall E$



Conclusions

- **Multi-level** effective to reconstruct $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}$ both at low and high energies
- Preliminary result with a conservative estimate of the systematic error
- Finite-Volume errors $\sigma_{Finite V}$ of order $e^{-\sigma L}$ [M. Bruno's talk]
- The general strategy is to achieve $\sigma_{syst} \ll \sigma_{stat} \simeq \sigma_{Finite V}$ to then increase V

Conclusions

- Multi-level effective to reconstruct $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}$ both at low and high energies
- Preliminary result with a conservative estimate of the systematic error
- Finite-Volume errors $\sigma_{Finite V}$ of order $e^{-\sigma L}$ [M. Bruno's talk]
- The general strategy is to achieve $\sigma_{syst} \ll \sigma_{stat} \simeq \sigma_{Finite V}$ to then increase V

Outlooks

- Working on *accurate* spectral reconstruction for zero-momentum isovector vector spectral density at $\sigma = M_{\pi} = 270$ MeV
- Systematic errors need to be studied more in depth
- Isovector axial and isoscalar vector channels will be studied
- Compare $ar{
 ho}_{\sigma}$ with data by smearing experimental ho [Alexandrou et al. 2022]

Conclusions

- Multi-level effective to reconstruct $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}$ both at low and high energies
- Preliminary result with a conservative estimate of the systematic error
- Finite-Volume errors $\sigma_{Finite V}$ of order $e^{-\sigma L}$ [M. Bruno's talk]
- The general strategy is to achieve $\sigma_{syst} \ll \sigma_{stat} \simeq \sigma_{Finite V}$ to then increase V

Outlooks

- Working on *accurate* spectral reconstruction for zero-momentum isovector vector spectral density at $\sigma = M_{\pi} = 270$ MeV
- Systematic errors need to be studied more in depth
- Isovector axial and isoscalar vector channels will be studied
- Compare $ar{
 ho}_{\sigma}$ with data by smearing experimental ho [Alexandrou et al. 2022]

Thank you for your attention!

Backup slides



Systematic Errors (Theoretical Aspects)

We can estimate the systematic error [MS et al. in prep]

$$\sigma_{syst}^{(1)}(E_*) = \rho_{\sigma}(E_*) - \bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(E_*) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} dE \left[\delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_t g_t(E_*)C(t) \right] \rho(E)$$

by means of a new reconstruction with the smearing kernel $\delta^{(1)}_{\sigma}$

• We can reconstruct $\sigma_{syst}^{(1)}$ as $\bar{\sigma}_{syst}^{(1)}$ and define an improved estimator

$$ar{
ho}^{(1)}_{\sigma}(E_{*}) = ar{
ho}_{\sigma}(E_{*}) + ar{\sigma}^{(1)}_{syst}(E_{*})$$

• We can iterate this procedure; if we use the same regulator, we obtain

$$\bar{\sigma}_{syst}^{(n)} = C^{T} W^{-1} \left(\mathbb{1} - AW^{-1} \right)^{n} f,$$
$$\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}^{(n)} = C^{T} W^{-1} \left[\mathbb{1} - \left(\mathbb{1} - AW^{-1} \right)^{n+1} \right] W A^{-1} f$$

where $\left|\bar{\sigma}_{syst}^{(n)}\right| < \left|\bar{\sigma}_{syst}^{(m)}\right|$, n < m, but $\sigma_{stat}(\bar{\sigma}_{syst}^{(n)})$ increase with n• We recover the non-regulated, numerically ill-defined result $\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}^{(\infty)} = C^{T}A^{-1}f$

Systematic Errors (Numerical Aspects)

Tune
$$\lambda$$
 such that e.g. $\sigma_{\textit{stat}} = 3\sigma^{(1)}_{\textit{syst}}$ at $\lambda = \lambda_{*}$



Systematic Errors (Other Strategies)

Tune λ such that [M. Hansen, Lupo, and Tantalo 2019]

$$\mathcal{W}[\lambda, g] = (1 - \lambda)\mathcal{A}[g] + \lambda\mathcal{B}[g]$$
$$\max_{\lambda} \mathcal{W}[\lambda, g(\lambda, E_*)] = \mathcal{W}[\lambda_*, g(\lambda_*, E_*)] \equiv \mathcal{W}[\lambda_*, E_*]$$



Systematic Errors (Upper Bound)

$$\sigma_{syst}(E_*) = \left| \int dE \,\rho(E) \left[\delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_t g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right] \right| \quad [\rho(E) \ge 0]$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\rho_{max}}_{\simeq 1 \text{ in our units}} \int dE \left| \delta_{\sigma}(E, E_*) - \sum_t g_t(E_*) b_t(E) \right| \equiv \sigma_{syst}^{MAX}(E_*)$$



Vector Correlator: Multi-Level



• At fixed regulator (and σ_{syst}), smaller σ_{stat} for larger n_1